

F.No.D-29013/9/2013-G.IV  
UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
DHOLPUR HOUSE, SHAHJAHAN ROAD,  
New Delhi-110 069,

Dt:31.12.2014

To,

M/s Jagdamba Printers  
198/40, Garhi, East of Kailash,  
New Delhi-110065.

Sub: Tender for printing and supply of special printed envelopes:

Sir,

I am directed to refer this office supply order of even no. dt. **18.7.2013** and to say that you were requested to supply 10,000 nos. each of UPSC D-3 & D-4 green envelopes with marking cloth lining. Further, you were also requested to furnish performance security of Rs.12,500/= within 07 days from receipt of the supply order. In spite of reminder dt. 26.8.2013, you had failed to deposit performance security within 07 days. As per the Clause 6(c) of the NIT, subsequently on 11.9.2013 the supply order was revised to 8500 envelopes ( i.e., 3000 D-3 envelopes and 5500 D-4 envelopes). On 11.11.2013 you had intimated that the EMD amount may be treated as performance security.

2. Against the supply order of 8500 envelopes, the firm has supplied only 3000 envelopes on 20.9.2013 and 1000 envelopes on 11.11.2013(total 4000 envelopes only). The user section on 7.11.2013 has complained about poor quality of envelopes supplied as the green paper in the envelopes was received in damaged/torn condition. As such, out of 4000 envelopes supplied, 2038 envelopes were returned to you due to poor quality/defective as per the user Section's report. Even the 1962 envelopes which were put to use were not strictly according to the specifications of the NIT.

3. It is further stated in spite of request dt.07.03.2014, the firm has neither replaced the defective envelopes nor has it made any attempt to improve the quality as per the specifications. This amounts to breach of contract on the part of M/s Jagdamba

Printers and therefore, as per the certificate of user section and advice of Finance Wing, the bill submitted by the firm has been restricted to Rs.18,543/= only for 1962 envelopes ( though defective ).

4. In view of position stated above, a Show Cause Notice dt. 13.8.2014 was issued to intimate as to why action should not be taken against your firm for supplying poor quality/defective envelopes.

5. On receipt of reply from the firm, the matter was examined again. However, the Competent Authority was not satisfied with your reply and approved to invoke the penalty against your firm as per clause 6(g) of NIT “ i.e. which provides that any bidder found to be supplying sub-standard/ duplicate/ spurious products will be summarily blacklisted and its performance security will be forfeited”. Accordingly, the following penalties against your firm have been imposed:

- i. The EMD/performance security of Rs.7900/= submitted is forfeited.
- ii. Your firm is also black listed in UPSC.

Yours faithfully,

Under Secretary(G)