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## Highlights

## During the year 2011-2012

1. Under recruitment through examination method, the Commission conducted 14 examinations, 10 for recruitment to Civil Services/Posts and 4 for Defence Services; a total of $21,02,131$ applications were received and processed; 9938 candidates interviewed for Civil Services/Posts (interviews for Defence Services were conducted by SSB of Min. of Defence) and 6863 candidates recommended for appointment to various posts, 5007 (including 307 candidates recommended through Reserve List) for Civil Services/ Posts (Appendix-4 \& Appendix-8) and 1,856 for Defence Services/ Posts (Appendix-5).

## (Chapter-3)

2. $100 \%$ Online mode of submission of applications and $100 \%$ online filling of Detailed Application Forms introduced by the Commission for all of its Examinations.
(Chapter-3)
3. A Committee of Experts' headed by Prof. B.B. Bhattacharya, EX- V.C., J.N.U. was formed to examine the issue of medium of language in the interview for Personality Test in the Civil Services Examination. The recommendations of the Committee, as accepted by the Commission and duly approved by Govt. have been duly implemented by including the same in instructions for the candidates contained in the Detailed Application Form for the Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011.
(Chapter-3)
4. A Committee of Experts' under the Chairmanship of Prof. Arun S. Nigavekar, ExChairman, U.G.C. has been formed to review
the existing structure of Civil Services (Main) Examination and suggest necessary changes, if required.
(Chapter-3)
5. A High Level Standing Committee constituted by the Commission to examine the modalities for implementing the recommendations of the Parliamentary Resolution on Official Languages dated $18^{\text {th }}$ January 1968 , has submitted its report to the Commission on $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2012. The Commission after accepting the recommendations made by the Committee in the report have duly forwarded the same to the Government on $12^{\text {th }}$ March 2012.
(Chapter-3)
6. The Union Public Service Commission received 295 requisitions (including 30 reopened cases) involving 1,972 posts for Direct Recruitment by Selectionfrom variousMinistries/Departments. Taking into consideration the requisitions carried over from the previous year, the Commission, in all, processed 528 requisitions involving 4,008 posts. Of these, 130 requisitions involving 1,511 posts were treated as closed for want of clarifications from the concerned Ministries/ Departments. In all, 189 requisitions involving 920 posts were advertised during the year 2011-12. However, recruitment action in respect of three requisitions involving four posts, were treated as cancelled on the request of the Ministries/Departments, after these posts had been advertised.
(Chapter-4)
7. During the year 2011-12, a total of 44,442 applications were received in direct recruitment cases; 5,238 candidates were called for interview and 3,946 candidates were actually interviewed. The number of candidates recommended during the period under report was 1,036 .
(Chapter-4)
8. 277 cases involving 1339 posts, for which 93,523 applications had been received, could be finalized culminating in the recommendation of 1,036 candidates, thus registering an Applicants to Post Ratio of 70 and a Recommendation to Post Ratio of 0.77 .
(Chapter-4)
9. In five cases of Direct Recruitment by Selection, in which the number of applicants was very high as compared to the number of vacancies, Recruitment Tests were conducted for short-listing of suitable number of candidates for Selection. In one case, Proficiency Test was also conducted during the year.
(Chapter-4)
10. In respect of 303 posts to be filled by Direct Recruitment by Selection none of the candidates from amongst those who had applied, was found suitable. Most of these posts required specialized medical, engineering or scientific qualifications.
(Chapter-4)
11. The Commission made recommendations in respect of 6,747 officers/ posts in regard to suitability of candidates/ officials for promotion, deputation, absorption etc.
(Chapter-6)
12. The Commission considered the service records of 15,426 officers and recommended (a) 6,507 officers for promotion in Central Services and (b) 240 officers for appointment on deputation/absorption.
(Chapter-6)
13. The Commission recommended 2246 SC, ST and OBC candidates to fill up the posts reserved for them in recruitment by examination. It also recommended 171 SC , ST and OBC candidates in respect of examinations held in 2009-10 and 2010-11 from the Reserve List during the period under report. In addition to these $50 \mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST} /$ OBC candidates were recommended against unreserved posts.
(Chapter-7)
14. The Commission recommended 104 candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes, 41 to the Scheduled Tribes and 233 to the Other Backward Classes to fill 67.3 percent of the posts reserved for them out of the posts filled by Direct Recruitment by Selection. This figure does not include 24 candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes, 05 candidates to the Scheduled Tribes and 107 candidates to the Other Backward Classes who were recommended against unreserved post.
(Chapter-7)
15. The Commission recommended 14 candidates with disabilities out of the 26 posts reserved for them out of the posts filled by Direct Recruitment by Selection and one such candidate was recommended against an unreserved post.

## (Chapter-7)

16. Where candidates were recommended for recruitment by Examination, it was noticed that there was delay of over one year or more in issuing offers of appointment by the concerned Ministries/ Departments in 207 cases. In 120 cases, offer of appointment has been delayed and not yet made.
(Chapter-9)
17. In 95 cases of Direct Recruitment by Selection on earlier occasions, offers of appointment to candidates recommended earlier by the Commission were either delayed for more than one year or the requisite information was not furnished by the Ministries/Departments regarding the issue of offers of appointment to recommended candidates even after one year had elapsed from the date of recommendation.
(Chapter-9)
18. The Commission imposed penalties (in respect of examination) on 14 candidates who violated the rules of examinations.
(Chapter-12)

## Brief history and workload over the years

1. The Royal Commission on the Superior Civil Services in India under the Chairmanship of Lord Lee, which submitted its Report in 1924, recommended the setting up of the Public Service Commission. This led to the establishment of the first Public Service Commission on October 1, 1926 under the Chairmanship of Sir Ross Barker. The limited advisory function accorded to the Public Service Commission and the continued stress on this aspect by the leaders of our freedom movement resulted in the setting up of a Federal Public Service Commission under the Government of India Act, 1935. The Federal Public Service Commission became the Union Public Service Commission after Independence and it was given a Constitutional status with the promulgation of Constitution of India on January 26, 1950.A list of former Chairmen and Members since 1926 is given at Appendix-47.
2. The functions of the Commission prescribed in Article 320 of the Constitution, inter-alia, are:
(a) Recruitment for all civil services and civil posts by:
(i) written examination with or without a viva voce examination or interview to supplement them;
(ii) interview;
(iii) promotion,
(b) advising the Government on disciplinary matters relating to government servants holding civil posts.
3. A comparison of the present workload of the Commission, with that in 1950-51 and the intervening period are shown in Table-1 to 4 and Diagram-1 to 4 . The number of applications received, candidates interviewed and recommended are shown in Table-1 and Diagram-1. The number of disciplinary cases handled by the Commission is shown in Table-2 and Diagram-2. The number of recruitment rules cases processed by the Commission is shown in Table-3 and Diagram-3. The number of records processed for departmental promotion (including meetings for the All India Services) is shown in Table-4 and Diagram-4.

Table-1: Recruitment by examination/interview

| YEAR | No. of applications received |  |  | Candidates interviewed/ Service Records evaluated |  |  | No. of candidates recommended/ <br> None found suitable (N.F.S.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exam. | Rectt. | Total | Exam. | Rectt. | Total | Exam. | Rectt. |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recom. | N.F.S |  |
| 1950-51* | 24680 | 18047 | 42727 | 3383 | 6484 | 9867 | 2780 | 883 | 120 | 3783 |
| 1960-61 | 34349 | 36833 | 71182 | 4862 | 9078 | 13940 | 3298 | 1727 | 249 | 5274 |
| 1970-71 | 81539 | 65197 | 146736 | 3473 | 13706 | 17179 | 4187 | 2059 | 190 | 6436 |
| 1980-81 | 243374 | 58748 | 302122 | 9256 | 14090 | 23346 | 4093 | 2591 | 361 | 7045 |
| 1990-91 | 615850 | 72079 | 687929 | 13838 | 16788 | 30626 | 4625 | 2341 | 655 | 7621 |
| 2000-01 | 762501 | 48019 | 810520 | 3351 | 8045 | 11396 | 4177 | 1050 | 179 | 5406 |
| 2010-11 | 1893030 | 106083 | 1999113 | 5342 | 4083 | 9425 | 4896 | 1117 | 155 | 6168 |
| 2011-12 | 2102131 | 44442 | 2146573 | 11092 | 3946 | 15038 | 6863 | 1036 | 303 | 8202 |

\# This data is from January 26, 1950 to March 31, 1951.


| Table-2: Disciplinary cases |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number of disciplinary cases <br> disposed off during the year |
| $1950-51^{\star}$ | 53 |
| $1960-61$ | 101 |
| $1970-71$ | 161 |
| $1980-81$ | 381 |
| $1990-91$ | 489 |
| $2000-01$ | 815 |
| $2010-11$ | 826 |
| $2011-12$ | 679 |


| Table-3: Recruitment Rules cases |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number of Recruitment Rules <br> cases processed |
| $1950-51$ | -- |
| $1960-61$ | 299 |
| $1970-71$ | 907 |
| $1980-81$ | 1,359 |
| $1990-91$ | 1,659 |
| $2000-01$ | 1,233 |
| $2010-11$ | 1,372 |
| $2011-12$ | 1,335 |

* This data is from January 26, 1950 to March 31, 1951.

** This data is from January 26, 1950 to March 31, 1951.


Table-4: Promotion/Deputation/Absorption Cases

| Year | Number of promotion/deputation/absorption cases in which recommendations made |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases excluding those of All India <br> Services | Officers records processed for induction into All <br> India Services |
| $1950-51$ | - | - |
| $1960-61$ | 5,200 officers' records | 2,054 |
| $1970-71$ | 12,924 officers' records | 1,617 |
| $1980-81$ | 20,711 officers' records | $2,010(499$ officers inducted) |
| $1990-91$ | 35,645 officers' records | $1,488(543$ officers inducted $)$ |
| $2000-01$ | 32,726 officers' records | $840(268$ officers inducted $)$ |
| $2010-11$ | 17,574 officers' records | $1,105(418$ officers inducted $)$ |
| $2011-12$ | 15,426 officers' records | $1,601(596$ officers inducted $)$ |



## Recruitment by Examination

1. The Commission conducted 14 examinations - 10 for recruitment to Civil Services/ Posts and 4 for Defence Services during 2011-2012, as detailed below.

## For Civil Services/ Posts

a. Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2011 (CSP)
b. Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011 (CSM)
c. Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011 (IFo.S)
d. Engineering Services Examination, 2011 (ESE)
e. Indian Economic Service/Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2011 (IES/ISS)
f. Geologists' Examination, 2011 (GEOL)
g. Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2011 (SCRA)
h. Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2012 (SCRA)
i. Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2011 (CPF)
j. Central Industrial Security Force (Assistant Commandants) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2010 \& 2011 (CISF)

## For Defence Services

a. Two examinations for National Defence Academy and Naval Academy (NDA \&NA) - National Defence Academy and Naval Academy Examination (I), 2011 and National Defence Academy and Naval Academy Examination (II), 2011.
b. Two examinations for Combined Defence Services (CDS) - Combined Defence Services Examination (II), 2011 and

Combined Defence Services Examination (I), 2012.

## Number of Applications

2. During the year 2011-2012, the Commission received 21,02,131 applications compared to $18,93,030$ during the previous year. The Table- $\mathbf{1}$ shows the number of applicants in various examinations during the last three years.

Table-1

| Exam | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civil |  |  |  |
| 1. CS(P) | 409110 | 547698 | 499120 |
| 2. CS(M) | 11894 | 12271 | 11837 |
| 3. IFoS | 43262 | 59530 | 67168 |
| 4. ESE | 139751 | 157649 | 191869 |
| 5. IES/ISS | 6989 | 7525 | 9799 |
| 6. SOLCE | -- | 2321 | -- |
| 7. CMS | $\# 33420$ | $\# 33875$ | -- |
| 8. GEOL | 4919 | 5262 | 6037 |
| 9. CPF | 111261 | 135268 | 162393 |
| 10. CISF, LDCE | 659 | -- | 729 |
| 11. SCRA | 135539 | 165038 | $£ 197759$ |
|  |  |  | $£ 190165$ |
| Total Civil | $\mathbf{8 9 6 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 6 4 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 6 8 7 6}$ |
| Defence |  |  |  |
| 1. NDA\&NA (I) | 277290 | 374497 | 317489 |
| 2. NDA\&NA(II) | 150514 | 193264 | 211082 |
| 3. CDS(II) | 89604 | 99017 | 100043 |
| 4. CDS (I) | $\$ 86575$ | $\$ 99815$ | 136641 |
| Total Defence | $\mathbf{6 0 3 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 6 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 5 2 5 5}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0 7 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 3 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 2 1 3 1}$ |

-- Exam. not held
\# Combined Medical Services Examination, 2010 was held during the year 2009-10 and Combined Medical Services Examination, 2011 was held during the year 2010-11.
\$ Combined Defence Services Examination (I), 2010 was held during the year 2009-10 and Combined Defence Services Examination (I), 2011 was held during the year 2010-11. Combined Defence Services Examination (I), 2012 was held during the year 2011-12.
£ Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2011 \& 2012 were held during the year 2011-12.


Number of Candidates Appeared
3. The following Table-2 shows examinationwise number of appeared candidates.

Table-2

| Exam. | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil |  |  |  |
| 1. $\mathrm{CS}(\mathrm{P})$ | 193091 | 269036 | 243236 |
| 2. $\mathrm{CS}(\mathrm{M})$ | $\partial 11514$ | 11865 | 11237 |
| 3. IFoS | 10330 | 10389 | 10427 |
| 4. ESE | 51840 | 53877 | 52685 |
| 5. IES/ISS | £ 1030 | £1727 | £1195 |
| 6. SOLCE | -- | 1712 | -- |
| 7. CMS | \#18602 | \#20864 | \#19341 |
| 8. GEOL | 2476 | 2235 | 2546 |
| 9. CPF | 61995 | 70076 | 79284 |
| 10. CISF, LDCE | 489 | -- | 578 |
| 11. SCRA | 63662 | $\wedge \wedge$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 87959 \\ & \not \subset 84407 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Civil | 415029 | 441781 | 592895 |
| Defence |  |  |  |
| 1. NDA (I) | 140720 | 192758 | 164829 |
| 2. NDA (II) | 68001 | 85854 | 107263 |
| 3. CDS (II) | 52970 | 50033 | 44130 |
| 4. CDS(I) | @38742 | @31585 | @ 50152 |
| Total Defence | 300433 | 360230 | 366374 |
| Grand Total | 715462 | 802011 | 959269 |

[^0]Diagram 2: NUMBER OF CANDIDATES APPEARED

$\partial \quad$ Change in figure from 11516 to 11514 , due to change in community.
£. Information in respect of Indian Economic Services/ Indian Statistical Services Examination, 2008 was available in 2009-10. Information in respect of Indian Economic Services/ Indian Statistical Services Examination, 2009 was available in 2010-11. Information in respect of Indian Economic Services/ Indian Statistical Services Examination, 2010 was available in 2011-12. Information in respect of Indian Economic Services/Indian Statistical Services Examination, 2011 is not available at present.
-- Exam. not held
\# Combined Medical Services Examination, 2009 was held in 2008-09 for which information was made available in 2009-10, Combined Medical Services Examination, 2010 was held in 2009-10 for which information was made available in 2010-11, Combined Medical Services Examination, 2011 was held in 2010-11 for which information was made available in 2011-12.
@ Combined Defence Services (I) Examination, 2009 was held in 2008-09 for which information was made available in 2009-10, Combined Defence Services (I) Examination, 2010 was held in 2009-10 for which information was made available in 2010-11 and Combined Defence Services (I) Examination, 2011 was held in 2010-11 for which information was available in 2011-12 and Combined Defence Services (I) Examination, 2012 was held in 201112 for which information is not available at present.
§ Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2010 was held in 2010-11, but information received in 201112.

ф Special Class Railways Apprentices'Examination, 2011 was held in 2011-12 for which information was available in 2011-12. Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2012 was also held in 2011-12 for which information is not available at present.

## Candidates Interviewed

4. The Commission conduct interviews only for the Civil Services/ Posts. In respect of Defence Services, the examination results are passed on to the Ministry of Defence for interviews, physical tests, etc. During the year 2011-2012, the Commission conducted interviews in respect of Civil Services/Posts based on the results of the following examinations held during the year 2010-11 and 2011-12:-
a. Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010
b. Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2010
c. Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010
d. Geologists' Examination, 2010
e. Engineering Services Examination, 2011
f. Combined Medical Services Examination, 2011
g. Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011
h. Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2010
i. Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2011
j. Central Industrial Security Force (Assistant Commandants) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2010 \& 2011.
4.1 In addition to above, service records were also evaluated in respect of Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008.
5. For conduct of interviews, the services of a number of Advisers were also utilised. Eminent persons from diverse fields are selected as Advisers. Names of Presidents for various P.T. Boards in respect of the above examinations are given at Appendix -11 and their bio-data are given at Appendix - 1. The services covered by Examinations conducted by the Commission during 2011-12 are given at Appendix-10.
6. In all, the Commission interviewed 9938 candidates for various Civil Services/Posts during 2011-12. In respect of Defence Services Examinations, interviews, physical tests etc. were conducted by Services Selection Board of Ministry of Defence. Examination-wise number of candidates interviewed by the Commission for various Civil Services/ Posts are given at Table-3.

Table-3

| S. <br> No. | Examination | No. of candidates interviewed during 2011-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For Civil Services/Posts |  |  |
| 1. | CS(M), 2010 | 2587 |
| 2. | CPF (AC), 2010 | 1740 |
| 3. | IES/ISS, 2010 | 92 |
| 4. | GEOL, 2010 | 592 |
| 5. | CMS, 2011 | 468 |
| 6. | IFoS, 2011 | 241 |
| 7. | ESE, 2010 | *1719 |
| 8. | ESE, 2011 | 2004 |
| 9. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CISF (LDCE) } \\ & 2010 \& 2011 \end{aligned}$ | 141 |
| 10. | SCRA, 2010 | 193 |
| 11. | SCRA, 2011 | 161 |
|  | Total | 9938 |
| S. <br> No. | Examination | Service Records evaluated during 2011-12 |
| 1. | SO/Stenos. (Grade-B/ Grade-I) LDCE 2006, 2007 \& 2008 | 1154 |

* P.T. Boards held in 2010-11, Information was available in 2011-12.


## Candidates Recommended

7. The Commission recommended 6863 candidates for appointment to Civil as well as Defence Services/ Posts during 2011-12. For Defence Services, the recommendations for appointment were based on the written examinations conducted by UPSC and the interviews and physical tests conducted by Services Selection Board of Ministry of Defence. In addition to above, 307 candidates were also
recommended from Reserve List for Civil Services/Posts. Examination-wise number of candidates recommended is given at Table-4.

Table-4

| S.No. | Examination | No. of candidates recommended during 2011-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) For Civil Services/Posts |  |  |
| 1. | CS(M), 2010 | 921 |
| 2. | CPF (AC), 2010 | 970 |
| 3. | IES/ISS, 2010 | 57 |
| 4. | GEOL, 2010 | 236 |
| 5. | CMS, 2011 | 352 |
| 6. | IFoS, 2011 | 85 |
| 7. | ESE, 2010 | 585 |
| 8. | ESE, 2011 | 693 |
| 9. | CISF (LDCE) 2010 <br> \& 2011 | 41 |
| 10. | SCRA, 2010 | 44 |
| 11. | SCRA, 2011 | 42 |
| 12. | SOs./Stenos. (Grade'B'/ Grade-I) LDCE, 2006, 2007 \& 2008 | 674 |
| Total |  | 4700 |
| b) For Defence Services/Posts |  |  |
| 1. | NDA (II), 2010 | 480 |
| 2. | CDS (II), 2010 | 405 |
| 3. | CDS (I), 2011 | 392 |
| 4. | NDA(I), 2011 | 579 |
| Total |  | 1856 |
| c) | Candidates recommended through reserve list. | 307 |
| Grand Total of (a), (b) and (c) |  | 6863 |

## Applicants to Posts Ratio

8. The number of applications for an examination divided by the number of posts to be filled by the said examination gives the Applicants to Posts Ratio (APR). The APR gives an index of the number of candidates aspiring
for Civil Services/Posts through each of these examinations. As per APR calculations, 525 candidates applied for every post filled through Civil Services Examination, 2010 as compared to 414 for the year 2009. In respect of Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2011 number of candidates applied for each post was 4709 , which was the highest amongst all examinations. Details are given at Table -5.

## Recommended to Post Ratio

9. The number of finally recommended candidates divided by the number of posts gives the Recommended to Post Ratio (RPR). When the RPR value is one, candidates have been selected for all the posts. Where the RPR is less than one, the number of candidates recommended falls short of the number of posts. As may be seen from the table below, RPR is less than one in some of the examinations viz Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010, Engineering Services Examination, 2010, Indian Economic Services/Indian Statistical Services Examination, 2010, Geologists' Examination, 2010, Combined Medical Services Examination, 2011 and Engineering Services Examination, 2011. In respect of Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010 and Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade‘B’/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008 and Geologists' Examination, 2010, there is actual shortfall, while as in respect of other examinations. viz Civil Services Examination, 2010, Engineering Services Examination 2010, Geologist's Examination, 2010 and Combined Medical Services Examination 2011 and Engineering Services Examination, 2011, less no. of candidates are recommended due to reserve list rule and the remaining candidates will be subsequently recommended after operation of Reserve List. Details of Civil Posts are given in Appendix-4, and of Defence Posts in Appendix-5.

Table-5

| Name of the Examination | Number of Posts | Number of Applicants | Number of Recommended Candidates | APR | RPR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil Services Examination, 2010 | 1043 | 547698 | 921 | 525 | 0.88 |
| Engineering Services Examination, 2010 | 657 | 157648 | 585 | 240 | 0.89 |
| Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2010 | 970 | 135269 | 970 | 139 | 1.00 |
| Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010 | 61 | 7525 | 57 | 123 | 0.93 |
| Geologists' Examination, 2010 | 303 | 5262 | 236 | 17 | 0.78 |
| Combined Medical Services Examination, 2011 | 387 | 33875 | 352 | 88 | 0.91 |
| Special Class Railways Apprentices' <br> Examination, 2010 | 44 | 165038 | 44 | 3750 | 1.00 |
| Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2011 | 42 | 197759 | 42 | 4709 | 1.00 |
| Central Industrial Security Force Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2010 \& 2011 | 41 | 729 | 41 | 18 | 1.00 |
| Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011 | 85 | 67168 | 85 | 790 | 1.00 |
| Engineering Services Examination, 2011 | 778 | 191869 | 693 | 247 | 0.89 |
| Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade-'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008 | 948 | 2321 | 674 | 2 | 0.71 |
| Total | 5359 | 1512161 | 4700 | 282 | 0.88 |

## Highlights of Civil Services

 Examination, 2010
## Recommendations

10. The final result of the Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010 was declared on May 11,2011. In the result, the Commission recommended a total number of 921 candidates, which included 148 SC, 74 ST, 271 OBC and 28 Physically Challenged candidates.

## Women Candidates

11. The number of women candidates appeared,
interviewed and recommended during 2011-12 and 2010-11 are at Table-6.

Table-6

| Exam. | Appeared | Intervi- <br> ewed | Recomme- <br> nded |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil Services <br> (Main) <br> Examination, <br> 2009 | 1286 | 422 | 195 |
| Civil Services <br> (Main) <br> Examination, <br> 2010 | 1418 | 449 | 203 |

## Physically Challenged Candidates

12. The number of physically challenged candidates recommended for appointment on the basis of Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010 was 28.

## Interviews

13. For the Civil Services Examination 2010, eight Personality Test Boards were constituted. Candidates were allowed to opt for either English or any one of the Indian Languages as medium for the Personality Test. Out of 2587 candidates (candidates actually qualified for interview were 2589, but two candidates did not appear for the Personality Test) who appeared at the Personality Test, 1946 candidates opted for English whereas 643 candidates opted for an Indian Language as a medium for interview as per details given in Table -7.

Table-7

| Name of Indian Language | Number of <br> Candidates |
| :--- | :---: |
| Gujarati | 13 |
| Hindi | 559 |
| Kannada | 04 |
| Malayalam | 03 |
| Marathi | 20 |
| Punjabi | 02 |
| Tamil | 20 |
| Telugu | 18 |
| Urdu | 03 |
| Maithili | 01 |
|  | $\mathbf{6 4 3}$ |

## Indian Languages in the Main Examination

14. The number of candidates who opted for the literature of an Indian Language as one of the optional subjects for the 2010 Examination was 2111. Language-wise break up is given in Appendix-12. In addition, details of the number of candidates who chose an Indian Language as a
medium for answering other optional subjects are given in Appendix-13.

## Qualifications of candidates

15. Out of the 921 candidates recommended for appointment, there were 321 Postgraduates and 600 Graduates. The break-up of the academic qualifications of successful candidates, subjects taken by them and their age-wise analysis etc. are given at Appendix-14 and University-wise break up of candidates applied and selected is given at Appendix-15.
16. It may also be mentioned that as per provisions of Rule 16 (4) \& (5) of the Civil Services Examination Rules 2010, the Commission maintained a consolidated Reserve List of 242 candidates. On receipt of a request from the Department of Personnel \& Training, the result of 121 candidates ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ Merit Order List) would be declared by the Commission.

## Highlights of Engineering Services Examination 2010

17. The Engineering Services Examination, 2010 was notified on January 30, 2010. The Commissionstarted receivingonline applications for Engineering Services Examination, 2010. 33545 applications were received online and 124094 applications were received offline. In response to the Notification a total number of 157649 candidates applied for the Examination. A total number of 53877 candidates appeared in the examination. The written examination was held from June 26, 2010 to June 28, 2010 and the result for the written part of the examination was declared on January 19, 2011. A total number of 1854 candidates qualified for the Personality Test, including 274 SC, 142 ST, 645 OBC \& 90 PH. Eight Personality Test Boards were held from February 14, 2011 to March 09, 2011.

## Highlights of Engineering Services Examination 2011 <br> 18. The Engineering Services Examination, 2011 was notified on January 08, 2011. 183605

 applications were received Online and 8264 applications were received Offline. In response to the Notification a total number of 191869 candidates applied for the Examination. A total number of 52685 candidates appeared in the examination. The written examination was held from May 14, 2011 to May 16, 2011 and the result for the written part of the examination was declared on December 13, 2011. A total number of 2158 candidates qualified for the Personality Test, including 921 Gen., 279 SC, 147 ST, 811 OBC \& 87 PH. 6 Personality Test Boards were held from January 9, 2012 to February 3, 2012 and 7 P.T. Boards were held from February 6, 2012 to February 18, 2012.
## Qualifications of candidates

19. Qualification, Community, Discipline, Age, Gender-wise and University-wise analysis of candidates for the Engineering Services Examination 2010 is given at Appendices 16 and 17 respectively.

## Indian Forest Service Examination

20. The profile of candidates for the Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011 is given at Appendix- 18.

## Examinations not held/ postponed

21. During the period under report, the Commission did postpone or did not notify the following examination:

Section Officer's/ Stenographer's (Grade 'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2009 notified but postponed and did not hold the examination for $2010 \& 2011$.

## Changes introduced during the year

22. The Commission introduced the following major changes during the year:-
(i) Introduction of $100 \%$ online submission of applications for all its examinations.
$100 \%$ online mode of submission of applications was introduced by the Commission for all of its examinations starting from Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2012.
(ii) Introduction of $100 \%$ online filling of detailed application forms for all of its examinations.

100 \% online filling of Detailed Application Forms was introduced by the Commission for all of its Examinations with effect from Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011.
(iii) Medium of language in the interview for personality test in the civil services examination.

The following changes in the Instructions No. 27 for the candidates contained in the Detailed Application Form of Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011 and Column 10 of Application Form for the Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011 were incorporated on the basis of the recommendations of the Expert Committee and subsequent acceptance by the Commission:-
a) The candidates, opting for the Indian Language medium (other than Hindi) for the written part of the Civil Services (Main) Examination, given the option of choosing either the same Indian Language or English or Hindi as the medium for the interview.
b) The candidates, opting to write the Civil Services (Main) Examination in English, similarly given the option to be interviewed either in English, or in Hindi, or in any other Indian Language opted by them for the compulsory Indian Language Paper in the written part of the Civil Services (Main) Examination. The candidates who are, as per present policy, exempted from the compulsory Indian Language paper will
have to appear for the interview in English or Hindi only.

## Constitution of Experts Committees in respect of Civil Services Examination

23. The Commission constituted the following two Experts' Committees during the year 20112012 in respect of Civil Services Examination:-
i) A Committee of Experts' headed by Prof. B.B. Bhattacharya, EX- V.C., J.N.U. was formed to examine the issue of medium of language in the interview for Personality Test in the Civil Services Examination arising out of the PIL No. 45/ 2010 filed in the Bombay High Court. The recommendations of the Committee, as accepted by the Commission and duly approved by Govt. have been duly implemented by including the same in instructions for the candidates contained in the Detailed Application Form for the Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011.
ii) A Committee of Experts' under the Chairmanship of Prof. Arun S. Nigavekar, ExChairman, U.G.C. has been formed to review the existing structure of Civil Services (Main) Examination and suggest necessary changes, if required. The Committee is yet to submit its report to the Commission.

## Other Experts Committees

24. Besides the above, a High Level Standing Committee constituted by the Commission to examine the modalities for implementing the recommendations of the Parliamentary Resolution on Official Languages dated $18^{\text {th }}$ January 1968 has submitted its report to the Commission on $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2012. The Commission after accepting the recommendations made by the Committee in the report have duly forwarded the same to the Government on $12^{\text {th }}$ March 2012.

## 4 <br> Chapter

## Direct Recruitment by Selection

1. Direct Recruitment by Selection is made for filling up vacancies that are not filled by the competitive examinations conducted by the Union Public Service Commission. In this method of recruitment, requisitions for various posts are received from different Ministries/ Departments. Requisitions are also received from statutory organizations, local authorities or public institutions for which recruitment is made by the Union Public Service Commission in terms of Article 321 of the Constitution.

## Number of Requisitions Received

2. During the year 2011-12, the Commission received 295 requisitions (including 30 reopened
cases) involving 1,972 posts from various Ministries/ Departments compared to 497 requisitions involving 2,875 posts received during the previous year. Taking into account the number of requisitions/posts carried over from the previous years, the Commission, in all, processed 528 requisitions involving 4,008 posts during 2011-12 compared to 755 requisitions involving 4,372 posts during 2010-11. Of these, 130 requisitions involving 1,511 posts were sent back to the concerned Ministries/Departments for want of clarifications from them and were treated as closed. The number of requisitions received and the posts involved, during the last two years are shown in Diagram 1.

3. Diagram- $\mathbf{1}$ indicates that there was a decrease in the number of fresh requisitions and posts to be filled, sent by the Ministries/ Departments to the Commission during the year 2011-12 compared to the previous year 2010-11. Ministry-wise break-up of 1,972 posts for which requisitions were received during 2011-12, is given in Appendix - 19.

## Number of Applications Received, Candidates Interviewed and Recommended

4. During the period under report, the Commission issued advertisements for applications in 189 cases involving 920 posts. The number of posts, Ministry-wise, which were advertised during the year 2011-12 are given in Appendix-20. However, recruitment action in respect of three requisitions involving four posts, were treated as cancelled after these
posts had been advertised, due to various reasons like court orders, withdrawal of requisition, etc. Details of the cases in which the requisitions were cancelled/modified during the year 2011-12 are given in Appendix - 21. Taking into consideration the cases carried over from previous years, the Commission, in all, processed 528 requisitions involving 4,008 posts during the year 2011-12. The Commission received, in all, 44,442 applications during 2011-12. Called 5,238 candidates for interview out of which 3,946 candidates were actually interviewed. Further, 277 cases involving 1339 posts were finalized by the Commission recommending 1,036 candidates for various posts during the year under report. It would be seen from Diagram-2 that the number of applications received, number of candidates actually interviewed and recommended during 2011-12 have decreased compared to the previous year.


Table-1: Broad Discipline-wise Number of Posts Finalized and Candidates Recommended During 2011-12

| Broad Discipline | Number of <br> Posts | Number of <br> Applicants | Candidates <br> Recommended | Applicants to <br> Post Ratio | Recommendation to <br> Post Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Engineering | 643 | 53,112 | 479 | 83 | 0.74 |
| Scientific and Technical <br> (Excluding Engg.) | 223 | 15,525 | 185 | 70 | 0.83 |
| Non-Technical | 242 | 17,770 | 196 | 73 | 0.81 |
| Medical | 231 | 7,116 | 176 | 31 | 0.76 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 , 5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7}$ |

Notes: 1. Applicants to Post Ratio $=$ Number of applicants divided by number of posts.
2. Recommendation to Post Ratio $=$ Number of candidates recommended divided by number of posts.
5. Position, at a glance, of the number of requisitions received, sent back to the Ministries for clarifications, effective cases for action, cases finalized, etc. by the Commission during the year 2011-12 is given at Appendix - 9 .
6. During the year 2011-12, recruitment action was finalized in respect of 277 cases, involving 1,339 posts and 93,523 applications, for which 5,666 candidates were called for Selection, 4,297 candidates were actually interviewed and 1,036 candidates were recommended for various categories of posts.
7. Broad discipline-wise number of posts in respect of which recruitment action was finalized, candidates recommended, applicants to post ratio and recommendation to post ratio are given in Table -1.
8. Discipline/ Specialization-wise and community-wise number of posts in respect of which recruitment action was finalized under each of the broad categories of engineering, scientific and technical (excluding engineering), non-technical and medical, and the number of candidates recommended thereto by the Commission during the year 2011-12, are given in Appendix - 22, Appendix - 23,

Appendix - 24 and Appendix - 25 respectively. A statement showing the percentage variation (discipline-wise) in 2011-12 over the corresponding figures of previous year is given in Appendix - 2.

## Recruitment Tests

9. Recruitment Tests enable short-listing of suitable number of candidates whenever the number of candidates is very high compared to the number of vacancies. In such cases, some weightage is assigned to the performance of the candidates in the test for final assessment. Further, such tests are also occasionally used in case the essential qualifications lay down minimum skill standards. In both the cases, each recruitment test is followed by Interview for final selection.
10. During the year 2011-12, recruitment tests were held in six cases of recruitment involving 155 posts. In five cases the number of applicants was very high as compared to the number of vacancies and in one case essential qualifications lay down minimum skill standards for which Proficiency Test was conducted. Details of these cases are given in Table -2.

Table-2 : Recruitment Tests Held During the Year 2011-12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post | Number of Posts | Scale of <br> Pay (Rs.) | Candidates <br> Applied | Candidates Appeared in the Test | Status as on March $31,2012$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | General Duty Medical Officer (GDMO) in Health Department, Government of Puducherry | 92 | $\begin{aligned} & 15600- \\ & 39100+ \\ & \text { GP } 5400 \end{aligned}$ | 1,067 | 513 | 85 candidates were recommended |
| 2 | Deputy Director (Admn/ Insurance / Training) in Employees State Insurance Corporation (ESI), Ministry of Labour and Employment | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 15600- \\ & 39100+ \\ & \text { GP } 5400 \end{aligned}$ | 4,434 | 2,824 | Written result awaited |
| 3 | Deputy Central Intelligence Officer (Technical) in the Intelligence Bureau, Ministry of Home Affairs | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 15600- \\ & 39100+ \\ & \text { GP } 5400 \end{aligned}$ | 10,237 | 2,887 | Seven candidates were recommended |
| 4 | Deputy Legal Advisor Grade-III of ILS, Department of Legal Affairs, Ministry of Law and Justice | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 15600- \\ & 39100+ \\ & \text { GP } 7600 \end{aligned}$ | 2,176 | 1,442 | Interview yet to be fixed. |
| 5 | Assistant Programmer, Central Bureau of Investigation, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pension | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP } 4600 \end{aligned}$ | 2,367 | 935 | Written result awaited |
|  | Proficiency Test |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Assistant Directors (Hindi TypeWriting and Hindi Stenography) Central Hindi Training Institute, Department of Official Language, Ministry of Home Affairs | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 15600- \\ & 39100+ \\ & \text { GP } 5400 \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 4 | One candidate was recommended |

Average Time Taken in Completion of Recruitment Process
11. From the date of receipt of complete requisition to the date of recommendation, on an average, it took about 10.3 months time in disposing normal recruitment cases during the year 2011-12 which is significantly lower than 11.1 months time taken during the previous year 2010-11.

## Applicants to Post Ratio (APR)

12. Applicants to Post Ratio (APR) is an index of the number of candidates aspiring for a post. There were, on an average, 70 applications per post in cases of Direct Recruitment by Selection which were finalized during the year 2011-12.

From Diagram-3, it is observed that the number of applicants per post in respect of Scientific \& Technical posts and Medical Posts have significantly increased compared to the previous year. However, the number of applicants per post has significantly decreased in respect of Engineering and nontechnical posts with reference to the previous year.

## Recommendation to Post Ratio (RPR)

13. A Recommendation to Post Ratio (RPR) of less than 1 indicates non-availability/ poor availability of suitable candidates for the post. From Diagram-4, it is observed that RPR is high in respect of Medical posts and low in case of Engineering, Scientific \& Technical and Nontechnical posts as compared to previous year i.e. 2010-11.

14. In all, there were 303 posts during 201112 for which none of the candidates who had applied, was found suitable. Most of these posts required specialized medical, engineering or scientific qualifications. Details of cases in which suitable candidates could not be found during 2011-12 are given at Appendix - 26.

## Bulk Recruitment Cases

15. In some recruitment cases, applications are received in bulk i.e. more than 500 applications per case in response to the Commission's advertisements. There were 23 such cases involving 386 posts finalized during 2011-12 which had elicited 67,805 applications. Details of such cases are given at Appendix - 27.

Table -3: Recruitment made for Statutory Bodies/Local Authorities

| Sl. No. | Statutory Body/Local Authority | Number of posts | Candidates recommended |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Employees' State Insurance Corporation | 17 | 17 |
| 2 | Municipal Corporation of Delhi | 1 | 1 |

## Recruitment for Statutory Bodies/Local Authorities

16. During the year 2011-12, the Commission also made recruitment for Statutory Bodies/Local Authorities by Direct Recruitment by Selection. Recruitment action in respect of 18 posts were finalized and 18 candidates were recommended against these posts. Details are given in Table-3.

Changes Made to Improve the Efficiency and Functioning of the Commission

Parameters for keeping names in the Reserve List:-
17. In cases of direct recruitment by selection, it has been decided that the maximum number of names to be kept in the Reserve List may be $50 \%$ of the total number of posts/vacancies in each category to which recruitment is being made subject to a minimum of 02 (two) names in each category, depending upon the availability of candidates who fulfill the minimum cut-off marks for such category, in order of merit. In case $50 \%$ of the total number of posts/vacancies in each category works out to a fraction, this will be rounded off to the next higher number.

## Introduction of online Recruitment Applications:-

18. The Commission carried out $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ pilot testing of Online Recruitment Applications (ORA) system during June 2011 and December

2011 for receiving applications. Consequently w.e.f. January 2012 the Commission have completely introduced online mode of receiving applications in cases of direct recruitment by selection through interview.

## Publication of Recruitment Advertisements:-

19. It has been decided by the Commission that consequent upon switching over to the online mode for receiving applications, recruitment advertisements shall be published on monthly basis on the Second Saturday of each month in cases of direct recruitment by selection through interview.
20. Procedure to be followed in cases of Direct Recruitment through Selection dispensing with MR Comments etc:-
(i) The existing procedure of sending summary sheets of candidates proposed to be called for interview and application dossiers of rejected candidates to the requisitioning Ministry/ Department for their comments in cases of direct recruitment by selection through interview has been dispensed with.
(ii) The procedure of summoning the Ministry's Representative on the day of Interview/ Personal Talk for briefing the Presidents of the Interview Boards has also been dispensed with.

## Recruitment Rules, Service Rules and Mode of Recruitment

1. The number of references relating to framing/amendment of Recruitment Rules and for deciding mode of Recruitment as a one-time measure pending finalization of Recruitment Rules received during the period 2011-12 were 1306.73 references were pending at the beginning of the year. Of the total of 1379 references, 1335 references were processed, leaving a balance of 44.
2. The number of references relating to framing/amendment of Recruitment Rules and deciding mode of recruitment as a one - time measure received and disposed of during the financial years 2009-10, 2010-2011 and 2011-12 are given below.

Table -1

| Year | Brought <br> Forward | Reference <br> Received | Reference <br> Disposed <br> of | Balance at <br> the end of <br> the Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2009-10$ | 45 | 773 | 759 | 59 |
| $2010-11$ | 59 | 1386 | 1372 | 73 |
| $2011-12$ | 73 | 1306 | 1335 | 44 |

3. Approval of the Commission was obtained for framing/amendment of recruitment rules in 270 cases including Mode of recruitment cases. Approval of the Commission was inter-alia obtained in the following 6 organized services:-
(i) Amendment of Indian Inspection Service Rules
(ii) Amendment of Indian Supply Service Rules
(iii) Amendment of Indian Legal Service Rules 1957
(iv) Amendment of Central Engineering (Group 'A') Service Rules.
(v) Amendment of Indian Information Service Rules.
(vi) Amendment of AFHQ Stenographers' Service Rules
4. The average time taken by the Commission in processing and approval of proposals for framing of/amendment to recruitment rules was 31 days during the period under report.
5. In consultation with the Commission, the Department of Personnel and Training issued instructions in July, 1985 that where the Ministries/ Departments are not in a position to make regular appointments for the reason that the recruitment rules are still to be framed, they should make an immediate reference to the Commission for their advice on deciding the mode of recruitment to the post along with their suggestions. During 2011 12 Commission's advice has been communicated in respect of 19 such proposals.
6. Single window System has been introduced in the Commission from $1^{\text {st }}$ September, 2011 in respect of proposals relating to amendment/ framing of recruitment rules and for deciding the method of recruitment as a one time measure pending finalization of recruitment rules. Under the Single Window system, the initial scrutiny of the proposal is done in the Commission in the presence of the representative of the Ministry/ Department concerned, to whom the deficiencies, if any, in the proposal are pointed out for rectification. The objective of the single Window System is to speed up the processing of
the proposal by avoiding the time consuming correspondence between the Commission' office and the Ministry/ Department and to make the process of finalising the RRs more interactive with the Ministry/ Department thereby
sensitizing them about the processes involved and the provisions available in the Guidelines framed by the Department of Personnel \& Training for framing/ amending the Recruitment Rules and Service Rules.

## Promotions and Deputations

## Promotion to the All India Services

1. Promotion ofState Service Officers to the All India Services viz. Indian Administrative Service/ Indian Police Service/Indian Forest Service (IAS/IPS/IFoS) is governed by the Promotion Regulations framed by the Government of India under the provisions of Article 309 of the Constitution of India. The Selection Committees, presided over by the Chairman or a Member of UPSC, make selections of officers of various States/ UTs for promotion to the All India Services. The Government of India (Department of Personnel \& Training) vide their notification dated July 25, 2000 amended the IAS/IPS/IFoS Promotion Regulations providing for year-wise preparation of Select Lists. Accordingly, for some states,

Select Lists of previous years were also prepared along with the Select Lists against the vacancies of 2010 for promotion to the various All India Services.
2. The comparative figures of officers of various States considered and recommended for induction in to the All India Services during the last five years are given in Diagram-1.
3. During 2011-12, the Commission considered 1601 officers of various States and recommended 596 of them for induction into the All India Services whereas in the previous year 2010-11 in all 1105 officers were considered and 418 of them were recommended for induction. The service-wise number of officers recommended is given in Table-1.


Table-1: Service-wise number of officers recommended

| Particulars | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IAS (From State Civil Service) | 204 | 257 |  |  |  |
| IAS (From Non-State Civil Service) | 16 | 12 |  |  |  |
| IPS | 93 | 240 |  |  |  |
| IFoS | 105 | 87 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 6}$ |

4. The service-wise comparative figures of officers of various States considered and recommended for induction to the All India Services during the last two years are given in Diagram-2.
5. In view of the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana order dated 1.2.2010 in CWP No. 15798 of 2009 (filed by Sh. Parveen Kumar) Select Lists are henceforth styled coinciding with the year of the vacancies. In the case of overlapping Select Lists, the second Select List is named by adding "A" to the year of the Select list.

Accordingly, during the year 2011-12, Selection Committee Meetings were held for preparation of current Select Lists of 2010 for promotion to the All India Services in respect of 46 Cadres/Sub Cadres out of total of 116 Cadres/Sub Cadres. In 22 Cadres/Sub Cadres "Nil" vacancy was determined by Govt. of India and in one Cadre no State Service Officer was eligible (Appendix28). Taking into account the above, the overall percentage of Cadre covered (for preparation of the Select Lists against the vacancies of 2010 during 2011-12) comes to $59.50 \%$.

6. In respect of the remaining Cadres/ Sub-Cadres, Select Lists could not be prepared due to various reasons such as vacancies not being determined by the Government of India, Court orders/directions, seniority disputes, nonfinalization of select lists of earlier years and nonreceipt/delayed receipt of proposals from the State Governments etc. The percentage of SCMs held and other cases is given in Diagram - 3 .
7. 31 Selection Committee Meetings were held in which the Select Lists for current year only, were prepared. 15 Selection Committee Meetings were held in which 37 Select Lists for current and previous years were prepared (22 Select Lists of previous years and 15 Select

Lists of current year). In addition, 20 Selection Committee Meetings were held for preparation of 44 Select Lists of previous years only. Hence a total of 66 Selection Committee Meetings were held in which 112 Select Lists were prepared.
8. During the year 2011-12 the Commission also convened 10 Review Selection Committee Meetings in pursuance of CAT/High Court/ Supreme Court directions. During these Review Meetings, 13 Select Lists were reviewed. In all, 125 Select Lists have been prepared in the Selection Committee Meetings and Review Selection Committee Meetings held during the year 2011-12 as summarized in Table- 2 (details in Appendix -29).


Table - 2

| S1. No. | Particulars | No. of Cadres/Sub-cadres |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Select Lists for 2010 | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| 2. | Select Lists of previous years finalized. | $\mathbf{6 6}$ |
| 3. | Select Lists of previous years finalized in Review Meetings, convened <br> in pursuance of CAT/Court directions (Appendix $-\mathbf{3 0})$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |

9. List of Cadres/Sub-Cadres for which no meeting could be held during the year due to non preparation of Select Lists of earlier years, incomplete proposals, stay orders from various courts, etc. are given in Appendix- 31.

## Empanelment of Indian Police Service officers for appointment to the post of Director General of Police (Head of Police Force) in States

10. The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide their order dated 22.9.2006 in WP No. (Civil) No.310/1996 (Prakash Singh and ors.Vs. Union of India and ors.) has inter-alia directed that the Director General of Police of the State shall be selected by the State Govt. from amongst the three senior-most officers of the Department who have been empanelled for promotion to the rank by the Union Public Service Commission. By virtue of the UPSC (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations 1958, the Commission has so far not been involved in the matter of promotion to the post of DGP in respect of the States. However, in view of the aforesaid specific order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Commission filed Interim Applications (IAs) before the Hon'ble Court seeking directions regarding the modalities for such empanelment for directions to all concerned authorities to provide assistance to the Commission in finalization of the process for empanelment. The IAs filed by the Commission are still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
11. During the year 2011-12, a meeting of the Empanelment Committee for appointment to the post of DG \& IGP (Head of Police force), Karnataka was held on 13.05 .11 in compliance with the order dated 21.04.11 of the Hon'ble CAT, Bangalore Bench in OA No 35/11 (filed by Dr D.V. Guruprasad Vs State of Karnataka). Another meeting of empanelment committee was held on 30.11.2011 to prepare a panel consisting of the names of three officers as suitable for appointment to the post of DG \& IGP of Karnataka.

## Promotions \& Deputations

12. The Commission handles work relating to (i) Promotion to Central Services; and (ii) Deputation/ Absorption. During the year 20112012, the Commission made recommendations in respect of 6,747 officers/posts compared to 3,978 officers/posts for which recommendations were made during 2010-2011. For making the said recommendations, the Commission considered the service records of 15,426 officers during 20112012 as compared to 17,574 records considered during 2010-2011.

## Promotions in Central Services

13. The Commission considered 670 cases involving 12,478 officers for Promotion to various posts under the Central Services. 523 Departmental Promotion Committee Meetings were convened during 2011-2012 where 6,507 officers were recommended. During the year 2010-2011, the Commission considered 1,225 cases involving 14,181 officers. 351 Departmental Promotion Committee meetings were convened where 3,598 officers were recommended.

## Deputation/Absorption

14. The Commission considered 447 cases involving 2,948 officers for Deputation / Absorption. 168 Selection Committee Meetings were convened during 2011-2012 where 240 officers were recommended. During the year 2010-2011, the Commission had considered 597 cases involving 3,393 officers and 149 Selection Committee Meetings were convened where 380 officers were recommended.

## Ad-hoc Appointments

15. The appointments made by the Government to various Group "A" and Group " $B$ " posts pending recruitment of candidates through the Commission are treated as adhoc appointments and are required to be reported to the Commission by all the Ministries and

Departments through monthly and half yearly returns. However, monthly/half yearly returns from 55 Ministries/ Departments and Union Territories were not received during the year as detailed in Appendix- 32.
16. During the year 2011-2012, 15 Ministries/ Departments/ Union Territories reported fresh adhoc appointments to 644 Group "A" and 470 Group "B" posts. During the year 2010-2011, 25 Ministries/ Departments/Union Territories reported adhoc appointments to 379 Group "A" and 90 Group "B" posts.
17. At the end of 2011-2012, 49 cases of adhoc appointments were continuing for more than one year. The year-wise breakup is given in Table-3. Ministry-wise break-up is given at Appendix-33.

Table-3

| Sl. <br> No. | Years | Group <br> "A"" | Group <br> '"B" |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Between 1-2 Years | - | 28 |
| 2. | Between 2-3 Years | - | - |
| 3. | Between 3-4 Years | - | 19 |
| 4. | Between 4-5 Years | - | 01 |
| 5. | Between 5-10 Years | 01 | - |
| 6. | More than 10 Years | - | - |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |

Note: The figures reflected above are those indicated by the Ministries / Departments in the half-yearly report for the period ending December, 2011. Where the Ministries/ Departments have not submitted report for December, 2011, figures indicated in the half-yearly report for June, 2011 have been taken.

## Promotion through DPCs

18. Out of 6,507 officers recommended for promotion during the year 2011-12, 891 officers belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes were recommended against 1312 vacancies reserved for these categories. No Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes officers could be recommended against 421 reserved vacancies because of non-availability of eligible candidates. However, 733 officers belonging to

Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes categories were recommended for promotion within Group "A" posts against unreserved vacancies where reservation orders are not applicable. Ministry/ Department wise break up is given at Appendix-34.

## Introduction of new procedure for receipt of DPC/Deputation proposals known as 'Single Window System'

19. In order to expedite the processing of DPC/Deputation cases, as per the decision of the Commission, a new procedure known as 'Single Window System' whereby Ministries/ Departments are required to bring their proposals of DPC/ Deputation by hand which are scrutinized on the spot by the designated officers of the UPSC, has been introduced. Deficient proposals are returned and only complete proposals are accepted. This new procedure has been made fully operational with effect from 01.08.2010.
20. A statement containing statistical information about proposals received/returned and the processing stage of accepted cases under the Single Window System during the period from 1.8.2010 to 31.03.2012 is at Table - 4 .
21. Analysis of data contained in the aforementioned statement reveals the following:-

## DPC Proposals

22. Out of 683 proposals received and accepted under Single Window System, in 616 DPC Meetings have been held and Advice letter issued. In terms of percentage, $89 \%$ proposals stands disposed of.
23. Apart from 616 disposed off cases, 30 more cases have been processed and Hon'ble Members have been nominated or dates of meeting have been fixed. Thus, overall $94 \%$ of proposals received during the period have been processed/ finalized.

Table - 4

| DPC Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cases received | Accepted/ Returned after on the spot scrutiny | Meetings held/ Advice Letter issued/ disposed of | Member nominated/ Meeting fixed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reply/ } \\ \text { Document(s) } \\ \text { awaited } \end{gathered}$ | Under Examination/ Submission | Number of cases Received in Dak/ Post (not as per the new procedure) and summarily Returned |
| 849 | 683 (Accepted) <br> 166 (Returned) | 616 | 30 | 20 | 17 | 108 |
| Deputation Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total cases received | Accepted/ Returned after on the spot scrutiny | Meetings held/ Advice Letter issued/ disposed of | Eligibility approved/ Meeting fixed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reply/ } \\ \text { Document(s) } \\ \text { awaited } \end{gathered}$ | Under Examination/ Submission | Number of cases Received in Dak/ <br> Post (not as per the new procedure) and summarily Returned |
| 550 | 322 (Accepted) <br> 228 (Returned) | 219 | 28 | 20 | 55 | 138 |

24. The Average Time Taken to dispose of a DPC proposal (Meetings held and Advice letter issued) works out to 63 days only as against 120 days of Normal Time of Disposal. 134 proposals have been disposed of within 30 days time.

## Deputation Proposals

25. Out of 322 proposals received and accepted under Single Window System, in 219 SCM/SCM (PT) have been held and Advice letter issued. In terms of percentage, $66 \%$ cases stands disposed of.
26. Apart from 219 disposed of cases, 28 more cases have been processed and Eligibility approved or Hon'ble Members have been nominated or date of meetings have been fixed. Thus, overall $78 \%$ of proposals received have been processed/ finalized.
27. The Average Time Taken to dispose of a deputation proposal (Meeting held and Advice letter issued) works out to 103 days only as against 180 days of Normal Time of Disposal. 52 proposals have been disposed of within 60 days time.

# Representation of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes Candidates and Persons with Disabilities 

1. Candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Backward Classes (SC/ST/OBCs) were recommended by the Commission by a relaxed standard as per rules for various examinations.

## Recruitment by Examination

2. During the year 2011-12, the Commission was able to recommend 2246 candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Backward Classes for all the vacancies reserved for them from all the examinations except for Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010, Geologists' Examination, 2010 and Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade-'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008. In respect of Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010, against


#### Abstract

the reserved vacancies of 8 Scheduled Castes, 3 Scheduled Tribes and 17 Other Backward Classes, 8 Scheduled Castes, 3 Scheduled Tribes and 16 Other Backward Classes candidates were recommended. In respect of Geologists' Examination, 2010 against the reserved vacancies of 42 Scheduled Castes, 24 Scheduled Tribes and 70 Other Backward Classes, 39 Scheduled Castes, 22 Scheduled Tribes and 67 Other Backward Classes candidates were recommended and in respect of Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade-'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008 against the reserved vacancies of 189 Scheduled Castes, 112 Scheduled Tribes, 90 Scheduled Castes, 25 Scheduled Tribes were recommended respectively. Examination-wise details are at Appendix-35. Comparative statement of 201011 and 2011-12 is given in Table-1.


Table - 1

| Particulars | 2011-12 |  |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reserved <br> Posts | Recom- <br> mended <br> against <br> reserved <br> Posts | Short <br> fall | Recom- <br> mended <br> against <br> un- <br> reserved <br> Posts | Reserved <br> Posts | Recom- <br> mended <br> against <br> reserved <br> Posts | Short <br> fall | Recom- <br> mended <br> against un- <br> reserved Posts |
| Recruitment by <br> Examination | 2413 | $\star \star 2217$ | $\# 196$ | 50 | 1562 | 1531 | 31 | 15 |
|  |  | $91.88 \%$ |  |  |  | $98.02 \%$ |  |  |

** Also includes 335 candidates recommended against the posts reserved for them as well in normal standard, in respect of Examination having reserve list rule. Their status will be known after the allocation of service (application of reserve list rule).
\# The shortfall in recommendation of 3 SC, 2 ST \& 3 OBC candidates in Geologists' Examination, 2010 is due to the fact that these candidates have applied \& qualified for both Cat.-I \& Cat.- II posts. However they will be appointed against only one post, either category- I or category-II, according to their respective merit position \& preference given by them. There is shortfall in recommendation of 1 OBC in Engineering Services Examination, 2010 because the result of one OBC candidate was withheld and subsequently his candidature was cancelled at later stage. Shortfall in recommendation of 1 OBC in Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010 and shortfall of 99 Scheduled Castes, 87 Scheduled Tribes in Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade-'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008 is due to fact that suitable candidates were not found.
3. The details of SC/ST/OBC candidates applied, appeared, interviewed and recommended during 2011-12 in examinations conducted by the Commission are given at Appendix-6 and Appendix-7. In addition to above, 5 Scheduled

Castes, 5 Scheduled Tribes and 127 Other Backward Class Candidates were recommended during 2011-12 through Reserve List. Details are given in Appendix-8.

## Category-wise number of candidates for Examinations



## Direct Recruitment by Selection

4. The number of posts reserved for the Scheduled Castes, the Scheduled Tribes and

the Other Backward Classes and the candidates recommended against those posts during the year 2011-12 are given in Table-2.

Table-2: Recruitment Finalized for the Posts Reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled
Tribes/Other Backward Classes during 2011-12

| Particulars | SC | ST | OBC | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Reserved Posts | 142 | 86 | 334 |
| 2 | Candidates applied against reserved vacancies | 12321 | 2323 | 19885 |
| 3 | Candidates called for interview | 649 | 187 | 1446 |
| 4 | Candidates appeared in interview | 478 | 140 | 1078 |
| 5 | Candidates recommended | 104 | 41 | 233 |
| 6 Shortfall (details at Appendix - 26) | 38 | 45 | 101 | 378 |
| Out of item 6 above, <br> (i) Posts for which no such candidate applied (details at Appendix - 36) <br> (ii) Posts for which no such candidate was found suitable out of the applied <br> candidates | 36 | 184 |  |  |

5. Against 562 posts reserved for them, a total of 104 candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes, 41 to the Scheduled Tribes and 233 candidates belonging to the Other Backward Classes were recommended by the Commission during the year 2011-12.
6. Further, 24 Scheduled Caste candidates, 05 Scheduled Tribe candidates and 107 Other Backward Class candidates were recommended against unreserved posts. Details are given in Appendix - 37.
7. Comparative information in respect of posts reserved for Scheduled Castes/ Scheduled Tribes/ Other Backward Classes and such candidates recommended during the year 2011-12 and the previous year 2010-11 is given in Table-3.

## In-Service Training to Candidates Belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/ Other Backward Classes

8. The Commission recommended inservice training to 30 candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes, 18 to the Scheduled Tribes
and 44 to the Other Backward Classes who were selected for appointment on the basis of relaxed standards so that they could come up to the general standard. Duration of in-service training ranged from three months to one year after their appointment to the post in the areas comprising the duties attached to the post. Community-wise and duration-wise distribution of Scheduled Castes/ Scheduled Tribes/ Other Backward Classes candidates for whom in-service training was recommended by the Commission during the year 2011-12, is given in Table-4.
9. Further, in-service training was recommended by the Commission in respect of six persons with disabilities belonging to the unreserved category and one person belonging to the OBC Category.

## Persons with Disabilities Recommended for Appointment

10. 15 persons with disabilities were recommended for appointment by Direct Recruitment by the Commission by Selection against the 27 posts reserved for them during

Table-3: Number of Posts Reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Other Backward Classes and the Candidates Recommended

|  | 2011-12 |  |  |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Particu- } \\ & \text { lars } \end{aligned}$ | Reserved Posts | SC/ST/ <br> OBC <br> candi- <br> dates <br> recom- <br> mended <br> against <br> Re- <br> served <br> Posts | Short fall | SC/ST/ <br> OBC <br> candi- <br> dates <br> recom- <br> mended <br> against <br> Un- re- <br> served <br> Posts | Total SC/ST/ <br> OBC candidates recommended |  | SC/ST/ <br> OBC <br> candi- <br> dates <br> recom- <br> mended <br> against <br> Re- <br> served <br> Posts | Short fall | SC/ST/ <br> OBC candidates recommended against Unreserved posts | Total SC/ <br> ST/OBC <br> candidates <br> recom- <br> mended |
| Direct <br> Recruitment by Selection | 562 | 378 | 184 | 136 | 514* | 617 | 520 | 97 | 89 | 609* |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age (Per- } \\ & \text { cent) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 67.3 | 32.7 |  | 91.5* |  | 84.3 | 15.7 |  | 98.7* |

[^1]the year 2011-12 and one such candidate was recommended against an unreserved post. Discipline-wise number of posts reserved for
persons with disabilities and such candidates recommended in direct recruitment cases, are given in Table - 5 .

Table-4: Community-wise and Duration-wise Distribution of Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe/Other Backward Class Candidates to whom In-Service Training was Recommended

| Duration of In-service training | Community-wise Candidates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SC | ST | OBC | PH | Total |
| 3 months | 3 | 4 | 6 | - | 13 |
| 6 months | 14 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 38 |
| 9 months | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 15 |
| One year | 8 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |

Table-5: Discipline-wise number of posts reserved for Persons with Disabilities and such candidates recommended during the year 2011-12

| S1. No. | Discipline | Number of posts reserved for <br> Persons with Disabilities | Number of such candidates <br> recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Engineering | 15 | 7 |
| 2 | Scientific and Technical | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | Non-Technical | 8 | 6 |
| Total |  |  |  |

## Disciplinary Cases

1. The following tables (Table $1 \&$ Table 2) show the number of disciplinary cases referred to the Commission, cases disposed of by them and the balance at the end in respect of the preceding five years and the year under report.
2. During the year (2011-2012), the Commission received 655 disciplinary cases for tendering advice under Article 320 (3) (c) of the Constitution of India and relevant Pension Rule. Considering the 172 cases brought forward from the previous year (2010-2011) which were pending with the Commission as on April 1, 2011, the total number of cases with the Commission during the year was 827. The Commission tendered
their advice in 424 cases, including 05 cases of reconsideration and returned 255 cases to the Government for deficiencies in documentation/ procedure. Thus, out of the total of 827 cases, 679 cases were disposed of during the year leaving a balance of 148 cases at the close of the year.
3. Details of charge/misconduct, group wise break-up of the officers involved and the Commission's advice regarding penalties/ dropping of proceedings/setting aside the penalties already imposed are given in the chart at Appendix-38. The chart also shows the number of cases, which were returned to the concerned Ministries/Departments/State Governments on various grounds.

Table-1: Number of disciplinary cases referred to the Commission

| Year | No. of cases pending <br> at the commence- <br> ment of the year | No. of cases <br> received during <br> the year | No. of advice let- <br> ters sent during <br> the year | No. of cases <br> returned during <br> the year | Balance left at <br> the end of the <br> year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006-07$ | 334 | 854 | 622 | 262 | 304 |
| $2007-08$ | 304 | 681 | 532 | 235 | 218 |
| $2008-09$ | 218 | 708 | 455 | 288 | 183 |
| $2009-10$ | 183 | 850 | 402 | 395 | 236 |
| $2010-11$ | 236 | 762 | 417 | 409 | 172 |
| $2011-12$ | 172 | 655 | 424 | 255 | 148 |

Table-2: Disciplinary cases dealt with during the year 2011-12

| Subject | No. of cases <br> Pending at <br> Commencement <br> of the year 2011- <br> $\mathbf{1 2}$ | No. of cases <br> received <br> during the <br> year <br> $2011-12$ | No. of <br> cases <br> disposed <br> of during <br> the year <br> $2011-12$ | No. of cases <br> pending <br> at the end <br> of the year <br> $2011-12$ | No. of cases <br> out of effective <br> advices in <br> which Govt. <br> have taken <br> decision till <br> $\mathbf{3 1 . 3 . 2 0 1 2}$ | No. of cases <br> in which <br> Govt. have not <br> communicated <br> decision till <br> $\mathbf{3 1 . 3 . 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disciplinary <br> cases | 172 | $655 \star$ | $679 \star \star$ | 148 | 288 | 122 |

$\star \quad$ Represents number of officers
** Includes 255 cases returned to Ministries/Departments/State Government for completion of record or procedures and 424 advices.
4. Appendix-39 gives the Ministry/ Department/ State Govt. wise details of total 424 cases in which the Commission tendered the advice. This Appendix shows that there were 66 cases involving charges of affecting integrity and 349 cases relating to other charges of misconduct or lack of devotion to duty. Out of the remaining 9 cases, the Commission advised to hold denovo proceedings in 5 cases and in 4 cases advice of miscellaneous nature was communicated. In respect of the 66 cases involving allegations of lack of integrity, the Commission advised imposition of major penalties in 58 cases, minor penalties in 7 cases and in 1 case no penalty was advised. As regards 349 cases belonging to the other category, the Commission advised imposition of major penalties in 212 cases and minor penalties in 109 cases. In 28 cases involving charges other than those affecting integrity, no penalty was advised.
5. Out of 410 cases (Refer Col. 15 of Appendix-38), where the Commission conveyed their considered advice, the Government have
passed orders in 288 cases. In the remaining 122 cases, orders/decisions of the Government are awaited. In 12 cases, involving 12 officers, in respect of whom advice of the Commission had been communicated in previous years, orders passed by the Government were not in accordance with the advice of the Commission. Out of these twelve, one case pertains to the year 2006-07, another case pertains to the year 2007-08, four cases to 2008-09, two cases to 2009-10 and four cases pertain to the year 2010-2011. Details of the cases are given in Chapter -10.
6. The Commission would like to point that out of the cases received in the Commission for advice, a large number of cases were found incomplete. During the year under report, about $30.8 \%$ of the cases had to be returned to the Ministries/ Departments/State Governments either for fulfilling the prescribed procedural formalities or for supply of relevant documents etc. A careful scrutiny of the case records at the initial stage would obviate delays in finalization of the cases.

## Delays in Implementing Advice of the Commission

Delay in offers of appointment to the candidates recommended by the Commission on the basis of results of various examinations.

1. There were 207 cases, as compared to last year's figure of 74 cases, where offers of appointment to the candidates recommended by the Commission on the basis of results of various Examinations were delayed by the Ministries/ Departments (Appendix-40). Of these 207 cases, as many as 120 cases were such where offers of appointments were yet to be made even though a period of more than one year had elapsed [as compared to 31 such cases of last year] since the recommendations were made (see Diagram-1). Of these 120 cases of delay of offer of appointment, 15 cases pertain to Geologists' Examination
and 105 cases pertain to Engineering Services Examination.
2. The Commission is of the firm view that candidates recommended by it should not be made to wait for years for the receipt of the offers of appointment from the concerned Ministries. It is also noted that in many cases, candidates selected by the Commission, in the meantime, secure placement elsewhere and do not become available for appointment under the Government thus rendering the whole exercise of selection of such candidates futile and infructuous. The Commission would like to reiterate the need for devising suitable measures to ensure that recommended candidates are issued offers of appointment as quickly as possible.

Diagram-1: Delays in issuing of offer of appointment for Recruitment by Examination


[^2]
## Delay in notification of Recruitment Rules

3. Recruitment Rules approved by the Commission are required to be notified within 10 weeks. It is observed that there has been considerable delay on the part of the Ministries/ Departments in notifying the Recruitment Rules finalized in consultation with them. During the year 2010-11, there were 768 cases pending notification for more than a year after these were approved by the Commission. As against these 768 cases pending in the year 2010-11, there were 819 cases pending for more than one year for notification during the period under report. Out of these 819 cases, recruitment rules have not been notified in 320 cases despite a time lag of over five years, in 112 cases of over four years, in 116 cases of over three years, in 112 cases of over two years and in 159 cases of over a year. The Ministry / Department-wise details are given in Appendix-41. The delay in notification by the Ministries/Departments vitiates the very basis of the recruitment process for civil posts/services.

Delay in Offers of Appointment to Candidates Recommended by the

## Commission through Direct Recruitment by Selection

4. Despite repeated observations made by the Union Public Service Commission in their earlier reports, various Ministries /Departments of the Government take a long time in implementing the Commission's advice.
5. In respect of 95 cases, offers of appointment to candidates recommended by the Commission earlier, were delayed for more than one year by the concerned Ministries/ Departments (refer to Appendix - 40). In five such cases, offers of appointment were issued after a period of more than one year. In the remaining 90 cases, either the offers of appointment had not been made or the requisite information had not been furnished by the Ministries/ Departments till the close of the year though more than one year had elapsed from the date of recommendation (see Diagram-2).
6. The Commission would like to emphasize the importance of avoiding delays in making offers of appointment to candidates recommended for appointment.


# Non-Acceptance of the Commission's Advice by the Government 

## Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to General Central Service

1. Advice of the Commission was sought by the Ministry of Science and Technology on the disciplinary action instituted against a Group ' $A$ ' officer of Survey of India under Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965. The charges against him (the Charged Officer) were as follows:
(i) While functioning as Superintending Surveyor and in charge of POP Section, Rajasthan on 9.12.2005 at about 15:45 hrs, he telephoned his superior officer viz., the Director (holding the charge of Addl. Surveyor General) on the intercom and without any provocation started abusing him. Immediately, when the Director went down to the Map Scales Officer, he again shouted and abused his superior officer using foul and filthy language.
(ii) While functioning in the above post, the Charged Officer attempted to physically assault his superior officer viz., the Director, with a chair in the presence of office staff who gathered there, by then.
1.1 The charges were denied by the Charged Officer and an inquiry was held in this case. The Inquiry Officer held Article I of the charge as proved and Article II as not proved. The Disciplinary Authority agreed with the findings of Inquiry Officer in respect of Article I, but disagreed in respect of Article II. The Article II was held partly proved to the extent that even though there was no direct or indirect evidence that the Charged Officer attempted to pick up a chair to assault his superior officer, the evidence
adduced was sufficient to conclude that an attempt to assault, which was thwarted by those around, was made by the Charged Officer. The case was referred to the Commission on $3^{\text {rd }}$ November, 2008 for their advice in the matter.
1.2 The Commission, after consideration of the case, held that the charge under Article I was partly proved on the basis of statement of witnesses of both prosecution and defence sides. In respect of charge under Article II, the Commission observed that the Charged Officer did approach his superior officer to physically assault him but was stopped by the staff members and outsiders from doing so. But it was not established that he tried to assault his officer with a chair. Hence this charge was also held as partly proved. In view of the above, the Commission concluded that ends of justice would be met in this case if the penalty of 'reduction of pay by two stages for a period of three years with further directions that during the period of such reduction he will earn increments of pay and on expiry of such period the reduction will not have the effect of postponing the future increments of pay' is imposed on the Charged Officer. Advice of the Commission was communicated to the Department of Science \& Technology on $26^{\text {th }}$ November, 2009.
1.3 On $5^{\text {h }}$ May, 2010 the Disciplinary Authority passed an order imposing the penalty of 'reduction of pay by two stages for a period of three years with cumulative effect i.e. during the period of such reduction, the Charged Officer will not earn increments of pay and on the expiry of such period, the reduction will have the effect of postponing the future increments of pay' on the Charged Officer, in disagreement with the advice
of the Commission. The Disciplinary Authority observed that the charges leveled against the Charged Officer i.e. abusing and attempting to physically assault his senior officer were very serious in nature and the penalty recommended by the Commission was not commensurate with the gravity of the charges. It has been stated by the Disciplinary Authority that when consulted, the DOPT also agreed with the penalty suggested by the Disciplinary Authority.
1.4 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

## Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to General Central Service

2. Advice of the Commission was sought by the Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution on the disciplinary action instituted against an officer, under rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 vide charge memo dated 27.10.2003. The charges in brief, against him were as follows:
i. Misbehaved with the staff, often threatening them with dire consequences, presented himself indecently before one lady official and used unparliamentary language with the staff as well as senior officers.
ii. Misused his official position by living unauthorisedly in the premises of office (Regional Reference Standard Laboratory) even while he was drawing HRA.
iii. Misused official vehicle for personal use without maintaining Log Book, also claimed local TA as per his TA claim for his official tour, though he went to the Railway Station in official vehicle.
iv. Misused official telephone making personal trunk calls to his residence in Delhi and certifying those calls as official calls.
v. Engaged a Casual Labour without the valid expenditure sanction of the competent authority and allowed him to continue working till the completion of 240 days of casual engagement so as to enable him to claim appointment on a regular basis.
vi. Presented a false claim of double HRA despite the fact that he had claimed and was also sanctionedTTA for shifting his family from his last place of posting to his present place of posting.
vii. Remained absent unauthorisedly from his headquarters from 20.4 .2001 to 7.5 .2001 , 14.5.2001 to 17.5 .2001 and 13.6.2001 to 18.6.2001.
viii. Deliberately delayed the release of payment to the suppliers thus causing administrative problems and bringing bad reputation to the department.
2.1 A regular inquiry was held in this case and the Inquiry Officer held Articles (i), (iii), (vii) and (viii) as not proved, Article (ii) partly proved to the extent that the Charged Officer stayed in the lab from time to time and Articles (iv), (v) and (vi) as fully proved. The Disciplinary Authority accepted the findings of the Inquiry Officer and the case was referred to the Commission in December, 2005 for advice.
2.2 The Commission after considering the facts and circumstances of the case observed that as regards Article (ii), misuse of official position by living unauthorisedly in the premises of RRS Lab, the same could not be held as proved because of the facts that the family of the Charged Officer was not regularly staying with him at Ahmedabad and his wife and children used to visit him occasionally; and hence he might not be in a hurry and very particular to reach home in time and thus might be leaving the office late or at times staying at the Laboratory itself to complete his work on hand.
2.3 Article of charge (iv) pertains to misuse of official telephone by making personal calls to his
residence in Delhi and certifying them as official calls. The Commission observed that as per available records it was clear that the calls were made from the office telephone to the Charged Officer's residence at Delhi. However, the records show that an amount of Rs. 6443/- was recovered from the Charged Officer for the alleged personal calls to his residence. The Charged Officer could not be charged with this article of charge, because in view of the recovery of telephone charges, it would mean double jeopardy.
2.4 As regards Article (v) of the charge which relates to engagement of a casual labour without valid expenditure sanction of the competent authority and allowing him to continue till completion of 240 days, the Commission observed that no documentary evidence in the form ofletter of appointment or the letter of the Director(LM) directing the Charged Officer to discontinue the service of the casual labour has been produced so as to show that he was appointed by the Charged Officer or that he failed to follow the direction of the Director to discontinue the services the casual labour. No instructions/rules have been produced by the prosecution to show as to what procedure was required to have been followed by the Charged Officer while appointing the casual labour. There was no evidence to show that the casual labour was doing only the personal work of the Charged Officer or that he extended him any undue favour for such work. Since the post of Lab Assistant was lying vacant for a long time, the appointment of a casual labour on temporary basis till some alternate arrangements were made for looking after the routine lab work seemed to be justified.
2.5 Article of charge (vi) was that the Charged Officer claimed double HRA on the plea that his family was residing at Ahmedabad, though he claimed transfer TA for shifting his family from Ahmedabad to his new place of posting Guwahati. The Commission observed that as per Ministry of Finance OM No. 11014/1/84-E.II(B) dated 8.3.1988, the Central Govt. Employees on their
transfer/posting to North Eastern Regions, A\&N Islands and Lakshadweep from outside or transferred within the regions could claim two HRAs. Having fulfilled the conditions laid down vide para (VIII) of the Special (Duty) Allowance, no irregularity seemed to have been committed by the Charged Officer in claiming the double HRA since it only stipulated that for claiming double HRA, the accommodation at the old station should be in bona fide use of the members of the family. Further as per Ministry of Finance OM dated 8.3.1988, grant of Transfer TA for the family did not debar him from claiming HRA. In view of the above observations, the Commission concluded that the ends of justice would be met in this case if the proceedings against the Charged Officer are dropped and he is exonerated from all the charges. Accordingly advice of the Commission was communicated to the Department of Consumer Affairs on $5^{\text {th }}$ June, 2006.
2.6 On $1^{\text {st }}$ January, 2010 the Competent Authority passed an order imposing the penalty of 'reduction by one stage from his present basic pay of Rs.27230/- + Grade Pay to Rs.26240/- + Grade Pay in the time scale of pay for a period of one year with effect from the date of issue of the penalty order against him with further direction that he will not earn increments of pay during the period of reduction and that on the expiry of this period, the reduction will have the effect of postponing his future increments of pay' on the Charged Officer, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission.
2.7 Aggrieved by the penalty imposed by the Disciplinary Authority, the Charged Officer filed O.A. No. 497/2010 at Hon'ble CAT, Principal Bench, New Delhi challenging the said penalty order. The Hon'ble CAT in its judgement dated 2.11.2010 quashed and set aside the penalty order on the ground of procedural lapse as the Charged Officer was not provided with a copy of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ stage advice of CVC and directed to proceed afresh from that stage.
2.8 The disciplinary proceedings were started de novo from the stage of supply of CVC's $2^{\text {nd }}$ stage advice and after following the prescribed procedure the case was again referred to the Commission for advice on $14^{\text {th }}$ January, 2011. After considering the case, the Commission observed that there was no additional information or evidence to change the advice to exonerate the Charged Officer, already tendered by them on 5th June, 2006. The Commission's advice reiterating their earlier recommendation was communicated to the Department on $28^{\text {th }}$ April, 2011.
2.9 On $24^{\text {th }}$ June, 2011 the Ministry passed an order imposing the penalty of 'reduction in pay by one stage from his present pay in the pay band of Rs. 28,240/- + Grade pay to Rs. 27,230/- + Grade Pay in the time scale of pay till his retirement on 31.8.2011 with effect from the date of issue of the penalty order, with further direction that the Charged Officer will not earn increments of pay during the period of reduction and that on the expiry of this period, the reduction will have the effect of postponing his future increments of pay' on the Charged Officer, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. Ministry has stated that after considering the fresh representation of the Charged Officer, only two Articles (v) \& (vi) were found as proved. Regarding Article (v) i.e. engagement of casual labour without following proper procedure, it has been stated that there was evidence to show that the Charged Officer was directed on 8.3.1999 to terminate the services of the casual labour which he did after considerable delay. The fact that there was a direction to him to disengage the daily wager was also admitted by him. Further, since the appointment was oral, there was no question of any written order of appointment being produced. There was also evidence to show that the Charged Officer was using him for his personal work. Regarding Article (vi), presenting false claim of HRA, it has been stated that from the circumstantial evidence, it appeared that no house was hired by the Charged Officer as required under rules under OM No. 11014/1/E.II(B)/84 dated 8.3.1988. Further,
it was found in June, 2001 that no person was staying at the alleged residence of the Charged Officer.
2.10 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

## Action under Rule 8 of All India Services (Discipline \& Appeal) Rules, 1969 initiated against an Officer belonging to Indian Forest Service

3. Advice of the Commission was sought by the Government of Rajasthan on the disciplinary proceedings initiated against an officer of Indian Forest Service, under Rule 8 of the $\operatorname{AIS}(D \& A)$ Rules, 1969 vide Memo dated 12.10.2000. The charges framed against the officer were as under:

Article I: The Member of Service (MOS) issued Muster Rolls for getting the advance work done. The entries of work had to be made in these Muster Rolls, after getting the works done. However, the work was not got completed and MOS was responsible for assisting in the release of payment by showing the entries of works in a fake manner.

Article II: In spite of being the incharge of the stores and aware of the fact that the material required for works were not available in the stores nor the concerned Divisions were allotted that material, the MOS assisted in getting the payment made pertaining to the same works having been done, in an irregular manner.

Article III: Despite instructions of Chief Conservator of Forests, the MOS did not take care and failed in checking of the $10 \%$ works got done as per rules. He wrongfully gave pass order under his signatures in the Muster Roll and Measurement Books and issued a cheque for making payment for the works, which have not been found according to the Muster Roll. He was responsible for his carelessness
in supervision as well as contributing in wrong deeds.
3.1 The written statement of defence submitted by the MOS was not found to be satisfactory and an inquiry was held in this case. The Inquiry Officer did not clearly mention any charge as partly or fully proved, but held the MOS responsible for carelessness/supervisory lapses. The Disciplinary Authority disagreed with the findings of Inquiry Officer, held all the three charges as partially proved. The case was referred to the Commission on $7^{\text {th }}$ April, 2007 for their advice in the matter.
3.2 The Commission, after consideration of the case, held Articles II \& III as partially proved. As regards Article III, the Commission observed that though the MOS conducted $10 \%$ check as per norms prescribed under the Rules, he cannot be absolved of the charge/responsibility of making payments for the fake work because he was informed well in advance about some suspected irregularity in Muster Roll. Since he did not take any positive action in this regard, he was found responsible for the payment made for the fake work. In view of the above, the Commission concluded that ends of justice would be met in this case if the penalty of 'reduction of pay by one stage in time scale of pay for a period of two years without cumulative effect' is imposed on the MOS. Advice of the Commission was communicated to the Government of Rajasthan on $13^{\text {th }}$ October, 2008.
3.3 On $28^{\text {th }}$ May, 2009, the Ministry of Environment and Forests referred the case to the Commission for reconsideration, on the grounds that the penalty proposed by the Commission was on the higher side. However, since no new fact or any point of law or evidence that warranted reconsideration of the advice was brought out, the case was returned to the Ministry on 2nd July, 2009.
3.4 On $12^{\text {th }}$ March, 2010, Ministry of Environment and Forests passed an order
imposing the penalty of 'Censure' on the MOS, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. It was stated that the DOPT had also been consulted in the matter and they also agreed with the penalty proposed by the Disciplinary Authority.
3.5 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

## Action under Rule 9 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 against an Officer belonging to Ministry of Defence (Finance)

4. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated on 16.11.1998 against a Sr. Auditor in Ministry of Defence (Finance) under Rule 14 of CCS(CCA) Rules, 1965, later on continued under Rule 9 of CCS(Pension) Rules, 1972, after his retirement. The charges framed, in brief, were as follows:
I. He, while functioning as Sr. Auditor during the period 13.5.1992 to 13.5.1994 failed to discharge his duties effectively as provided in the relevant Office Manual, which led to processing of payment against 44 fraudulent claims to the tune of Rs. 41.59 lakhs approximately.
II. He failed to detect that (i) the fraudulent claims have been floated against fake sanctions purported to have been issued by Ministry of Defence (ii) the contingent bills have not been preferred by officers of DGOS authorized to do so, and (iii) the appropriate procurement procedure relevant to the value of the stores procured has not been followed and the Charged Officer also failed to ensure that budget allotment was available for effecting the procurement.
III. He processed the payments of the 44 fraudulent claims to the tune of Rs 41.59 lakhs approx. as Sr. Auditor, although expenditure as per the fake sanctions were debitable to the Revenue Head "Ordnance Stores", contrary to the functioning of the Section as prescribed in
the relevant OM and without even getting the bills noted in Accounts Section.

IV He processed payments against 44 fraudulent claims to the tune of Rs. 41.59 lakhs approx. with undue haste, without reasonable care/caution and following the prescribed procurement procedure.

V He processed the 44 bills without obtaining orders of the appropriate authority and without following prescribed procedure.
4.1 On denial of the charges, a regular inquiry was held in this case. The Inquiry Officer held Articles I, II \& III as partially proved and Articles IV andV as not proved. The Disciplinary Authority disagreed with the findings of inquiry and issued a disagreement note. After considering all the records/aspects of the case, the Disciplinary Authority imposed the penalty of 'compulsory retirement' on the Charged Officer vide order dated 28.10.2002.
4.2 Aggrieved with the said penalty, the Charged Officer (CO) preferred an appeal dated 29.11.2002 to the Appellate Authority. The Appellate Authority upheld the order of Disciplinary Authority and rejected the appeal vide order dated 8.5.2003. Thereafter, the CO filed an OA in the Hon'ble CAT (PB), New Delhi. The Hon'ble CAT on 21.7.2005 ordered that the punishment could not be sustained in law and set aside the impugned orders. The Hon'ble CAT directed the Department to reinstate the applicant in service forthwith and stated that department was at liberty to proceed further in accordance with law.
4.3 The order/judgement dated 21.7.2005 of the Hon'ble CAT was challenged by the Department in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi by filing a WP(C). The High Court vide their order dated 10.10.2007 disposed off the WP and ordered the petitioner to reinstate the respondent, place the respondent under suspension and continue with the inquiry proceedings from the
stage of supply of inquiry report alongwith the disagreement memo to the Charged Officer. As per the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Disciplinary Authority considered the case and after following the prescribed procedure referred the case to the Commission for advice on $30^{\text {th }}$ September, 2009.
4.4 The Commission on consideration of the case observed that in Article I the Disciplinary Authority has alleged that the Charged Officer was posted in 'M' Section and hence deemed to have been authorized to audit and process misc. \& contingent claims debitable to Minor Head 800 - other expenditure. On the other hand, he processed payment of 44 contingent bills debitable to Minor Head 110-Stores for which he had not authority. Moreover, the organization which was supposed to have received the services and stores confirmed that the bills were fake. Secondly, the CO was supposed to verify the specimen signatures to the extent of $100 \%$ which he failed to do effectively.
4.5 The Commission on detailed analysis of this Article observed that the specimen signatures register was a part of the additional document seen by the Charged Officer in the office of the CBI. By admitting that it was a system failure, the Charged Officer in fact admitted that fake bills were processed. Since he was the dealing hand, it was his responsibility to point out the deficiencies in the bills. Further, even if it was accepted that the bills under Minor Head 110 could be processed in ' M ' Section, a reading of the items purchased would have shown that they were 'stores' items and not usual ordinary items like stationery etc. As such the charge that the Charged Officer failed to discharge his duties effectively which leads to processing of payment of 44 fraudulent claims, was held as proved.
4.6 As regards Article II, the Commission observed that it was already proved in Article I that the claims were fraudulent. Regarding the fake sanctions, it was observed that the authenticity of
sanctions was to be verified with reference to the specimen signature, but this was not done by the Charged Officer, which was evident from the fact that specimen signatures of the officers preferring the bills under consideration did not exist at all. The Charged Officer did not make any effort to check the authenticity of the sanctions, which proved that he failed to detect that fraudulent claims had been preferred against fake sanctions.
4.7 Regarding the element of charge that the Charged Officer failed to detect that the contingent bills preferred by officers of DGOS who were not authorized to do so, the Commission observed that a Senior Auditor was expected to know the functioning of various units around him and should be able to detect that bills had not been preferred by an authorized officer.
4.8 In respect of the element that the appropriate procurement procedure relevant to the value of the stores had not been followed, the Commission observed that the purchases made through the sanctions were of heavy value, which could not be termed as usual or ordinary to be passed without verifying the procurement procedure followed. The Charged Officer could not be absolved of his responsibility in this regard.
4.9 The last element under Article II, relating to failure in ensuring budget allotment for effecting the procurement, the Commission observed that the bills were not routed by the Charged Officer to Accounts Section for verification of budget availability. Though he looked for the certificate regarding compliance of the procedure endorsed on all the bills, he did not actually verify it. This element was also held proved.
4.10 Regarding Article III, the Commission held the same as proved since it has already been proved vide Article I and element (iv) of Article II.
4.11 Regarding Article IV of the charge that the Charged Officer processed payments against 44
fraudulent claims to the tune of Rs. 41.59 lakhs approx. with undue haste without reasonable care \& caution, the Commission observed that though a bill can be cleared with proper checks in a day or two, in this case the bills were processed without reasonable care and caution. The sanctions were fake, the claims were fraudulent, the prescribed procurement procedure was not followed, the bills were not noted in the Accounts Section such deficiencies point to the fact that the bills were passed in undue haste. Thus, the charge was held as proved.
4.12 The fifth Article of charge was that the act of the Charged Officer resulted in fraudulent payment to the tune of Rs. 41.59 lakhs approx. to the alleged supplies and caused pecuniary loss to the Govt. which indicated his complicity with the alleged suppliers. The Commission observed that from the fact that Articles I to IV were proved, it become clear that the Charged Officer had some motive to process fraudulent claims based on fake sanctions with undue haste without following the prescribed procurement procedure. This charge was held proved on the basis of preponderance of probability.
4.13 However, the Commission also observed that in this case the Charged Officer deserves benefit of doubt to the extent that the Disciplinary Authority had not produced any revised instructions/manual defying the fact that there existed any work allocation between the M \& R Sections; nor any explanation as to why the Inspection Cell did not raise any Audit objection to the continuing of the practice by ' $M$ ' Section regarding the Heads under which the expenses have been charged in the period under review of the case; nor the AO or AAO , under whom the Charged Officer function pointed out any deviation regarding the work allocation of the Sections or the Heads to which the amount was to be charged or any irregularity in the purchases made during the period under review by the inquiry.
4.14 The Commission concluded that the charges established against the Charged Officer constitute grave misconduct on his part and the penalty of 'withholding of $50 \%$ monthly pension for five years and further withholding of $50 \%$ of gratuity' would meet the ends of justice in this case. Accordingly, advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Defence on $31^{\text {st }}$ March. 2010.
4.15 In May, 2010, a fresh reference was received from the Ministry of Defence (Finance) seeking reconsideration of the advice tendered by the Commission. The Disciplinary Authority had stated that when the Charged Officer was compulsorily retired from service, all his retiral benefits were paid as compulsory retirement do not entail forfeiture of pensionary benefits. The amount was not recovered when he was reinstated and placed under suspension in pursuance of the CAT order.Therefore, it was not possible to recover $50 \%$ gratuity, as advised by the Commission. Vide their letter dated 22.12.2010, the Ministry of Defence further clarified that when asked to deposit the amount of gratuity, the Charged Officer had expressed his inability to deposit the amount due to his financial problems. He had also informed that he was under lot of stress due to the death of his wife. The only option available with the Ministry was to recover the $50 \%$ of gratuity from his monthly pension drawn by him, but that would take five years and eleven months to recover the amount of gratuity as per the calculations made in the matter.
4.16 The Commission vide their letter dated 29.3.2011 reiterated their advice stating that no new fact or evidence had been brought out by the Disciplinary Authority and that the practical difficulty of recovering the $50 \%$ gratuity from the Charged Officer was not insurmountable, since the same could be recovered from his monthly pension.
4.17 On $20^{\text {th }}$ October, 2011 the Ministry of Defence(Finance) issued order imposing the
penalty of ' $30 \%$ cut in pension only for five years' on the Charged Officer in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. It was stated that the reduced penalty was in view of the poor financial condition of the Charged Officer as a result of huge expenditure incurred on the treatment of his wife (who has expired) and the hardship he was likely to face as a result of recovery of $50 \%$ of gratuity and a cut of $50 \%$ in pension. It was stated that comments of DoP\&T were taken into consideration while passing the order on 20.10.2011 in this case.
4.18 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

## Action under Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 initiated against an Officer belonging to Central Secretariat Service

5. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an officer of Department of Economic Affairs vide memo dated 23.11.2004. The charges framed against the officer were as under:

Article I:While working as Section Officer in the R\&I Section of the Department of Economic Affairs, he willfully disobeyed the lawful and reasonable orders of his superior officer viz. Director (Admn) and exhibited insubordination and disobedience of orders.

Article II: He made wild allegations and discourteous comments against his senior officers, endorsed copies of communications containing such allegations to other senior officers thereby spreading false information against senior officers affecting their reputation and maligning them.

Article III: While replying to a memorandum dated 4.10.2002 he made allegations against senior officers and criticized the working of Administration Division without basis and circulated extracts from office file among a number
of senior officers thereby communicating official document/information to persons to whom he is not authorized to communicate.

Article IV: While working in CM Division he was in the habit of coming late to office and absenting himself without prior permission during working hours and failing to perform the task assigned to him with the quality of performance expected of him. He also failed to work as a member of a team and showed lack of devotion to duty.
5.1 On denial of the charges, a regular inquiry was ordered and the inquiring authority submitted his report holding the Articles I, II \& III as partially proved and Article IV as not proved. The Disciplinary Authority accepted the findings of Inquiry Officer and the case was referred to the Commission on $28^{\text {th }}$ January, 2011 for their advice in the matter.
5.2 The Commission, after examination of the case, observed that Articles I, II \& III of charge relating to insubordination, disobedience of orders, conduct of unbecoming of a government servant, acting in discourteous manner as well as communicating official document/ information to persons to whom he was not authorized to communicate, were substantiated on the basis of the available documentary evidence. Further it was observed that the Charged Officer while making his submissions had placed heavy reliance on deposition of witnesses whereas, the Inquiry Officer had relied more on the documentary evidence holding that the written evidence holds better ground than the oral evidence. The Commission observed that it is an undisputed fact that the documentary/written evidence hold better ground than oral evidence and a perusal of the documentary evidence adduced during the course of inquiry substantiated this. Since the documentary/written evidence relied upon by the prosecution was largely in the nature of notes/representation etc. recorded by the Charged Officer himself, no amount of invocation by the Charged Officer
of oral evidence by witnesses in his defence can serve to cast doubt on the findings of misconduct (i.e. insubordination, disobedience, unauthorized communication of official documents/communications) arrived at. The use of discourteous and improper language in cold print cannot be wished away on the plea of circumstances, work environment etc. or condoned on the ground of being an office bearer of an Association. In any case, these communications were not written in his capacity as an Association office bearer nor did they pertain to general issues which fall within the purview of Union/Association it was purely a personal matter relating to the Charged Officer himself as an individual. Even Unions/Associations are required to observe certain norms of decency and courtesy in correspondence with the relevant authorities.
5.3 In view of the above observations, the Commission concluded that ends of justice would be met in this case if the penalty of 'reduction of pay by two stages in the time scale of pay for two years without cumulative effect with the stipulation that he will not earn increments of pay during the period of such reduction" was imposed on the Charged Officer. Advice of the Commission was communicated to the Department of Economic Affairs on $24^{\text {th }}$ June, 2011.
5.4 On $11^{\text {th }}$ November, 2011, the Department issued an order imposing the penalty of 'Censure’ on the Charged Officer, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission.
5.5 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

Action under Rule 8 of AIS (D\&A) RULES, 1969 initiated against an Officer belonging to Indian Administrative Service
6. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an officer belonging to Indian

Administrative Service, under Rule 8 of AIS (D\&A) Rules, 1969 on the following charges:
i. An FIR was registered against the MOS on the complaint of General Manager, HP SSI\&EC that the MOS came to office with his double barrel gun and abused the employees of the office and allegedly broke the glass panes of GM's room and pushed the barrel of his gun through the broken panes towards officials and threatened. On reaching the spot, the Police recovered a loaded double barrel gun, one dagger and a knife from him and he was found under the influence of alcohol on medical examination. Later on, he was produced before the Ld. Addl. CJM on 27.7.2005 and he was granted judicial remand up to 12.8 .2005 , but was bailed out on 12.8.2005 on security and personal bond.
ii. A complaint was received from Reader to DC and PA to ADC to the effect that the MOS abused both the officials and threatened them of dire consequences for not furnishing the information of the whereabouts of the ADC/ DC/SP etc. He also uttered disrespectful words to the $\mathrm{DC} / \mathrm{SP} / \mathrm{ADC}$ and the persons sitting in the room of PA to ADC .
6.1 MOS denied the charges and a regular inquiry was held in this case.The Inquiry Officer held Article (i) as partly proved and Article (ii) as fully proved. The Disciplinary Authority agreed with the findings of the Inquiry Officer and decided to impose a penalty. The Government of Himachal Pradesh referred the case to the Commission for advice on $27^{\text {th }}$ September, 2006.
6.2 The Commission after considering the facts and circumstances of the case observed that the MOS had given contradictory statements regarding his movements in the office of HP SSI\&EC. It was established that the MOS was out of his chamber during the relevant period. MOS had admitted that he knocked the door of GM's chamber, which was 'locked from inside' which proves that he did go to the GM's chamber
and did try to enter the same. The statement of witnesses bring out clear evidences of the glass panes being broken, raised voice of the MOS, gun being in the hands of the MOS at the relevant time and office property including nameplates being thrown down/damaged and the employees of the Corporation feeling threatened by the MOS's behaviour. The Commission observed that no satisfactory explanation as to why the gun, the large knife etc. were brought and were allowed to be kept in the office by the MOS, had been brought out. If he had a threat perception in his own office that necessitated his bringing the double barreled gun, knife etc, he surely would not have brought his wife along for the duration of his stay in the office on that day, as he had done. Moreover, the doctor's report and the Inquiry Officer's analysis which brought out that the MOS was in the maniac/aggressive phase of his personality disorder during the relevant period, not only offer an explanation for his conduct, but also perhaps explain his wife's presence with him. As regards his alleged slurred speech due to intoxication, the Commission observed that the examining doctor's own report immediately after the incident clearly indicates MOS's consumption of alcohol.
6.3 Regarding Article (ii), the Commission observed that the available records clearly showed that the MOS did indeed behave rudely with the officials present there. It was also established that he had a knife in his possession then though he might not have used it to threaten the officials. The MOS attempted to express regret to the concerned officials in the DC's chamber later only confirm his having realized the extent of resentment that he had caused. However, the fact that a written complaint against a fairly senior IAS officer was preferred by the concerned staff could reasonably be taken to show that the impugned incident did indeed take place.
6.4 The Commission concluded that attributing the highly reproachable conduct of the MOS (on
both occasions) to the maniac/aggressive phase of his personality disorder (accentuated by his not taking the prescribed medicines) cannot be accepted at face value. And hence, the penalty of 'reduction of pay three stages lower in the time scale of pay for five years and further he will not earn increments of pay during the period of such reduction and the reduction will have the effect of postponing his future increments' was advised in this case. Accordingly advice of the Commission was communicated to the State Govt. on $30^{\text {th }}$ March, 2007.
6.5 On $20^{\text {th }}$ June, 2007, the State Govt. referred the case to the Commission seeking reconsideration of the case stating that the penalty proposed by the Commission was excessive and harsh. They had stated that the MOS had already been punished in two other disciplinary proceedings against him. Further, the JAG/Selection Grade/Supertime scale had not been released by the State Govt. due to pending disciplinary cases against him and he was facing great financial hardships. However, since no new points, facts or evidences which were not considered earlier were brought out, the case was returned to the State Government, vide Commission's letter dated $8^{\text {th }}$ November, 2007.
6.6 On $26^{\text {th }}$ November, 2009, the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh passed order imposing the penalty of 'withholding of one increment without cumulative effect' on the MOS, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission.
6.7 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

Action under Rule 29-A of CCS(CCA) Rules, 1965 - review of penalty imposed on an Officer belonging to Intelligence Bureau
7. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against a Joint Assistant Director, Intelligence

Bureau vide charge memo dated 22.3.1995 on the following charges:

He submitted an application dated 2.12.1993 for 12 days E.L. w.e.f. 6.12.1993 to 17.12.1993 on grounds of availing LTC (All India) and urgent domestic work. He followed it by another application dated 19.12.1993 alongwith medical certificate dated 18.12.1993 requesting extension of leave (commuted) upto 24.12.1993. Subsequently, he sought extensions of leave (commuted) upto 27.3.1994 in piecemeal without submitting medical certificates. Thereafter, a telegram dated 5.5.1994 requesting extension of leave on health grounds without mentioning the period and also stating that the residential address changed and details will follow by dak, was received from him. No further communications from him about the change in his residential address and the leave required by him have been received. As the medical certificate dated 18.12 .1993 was not from an authorized Govt. medical attendant and further communications requesting for leave on health grounds were received without support of medical certificates from authorized Govt.medical attendant, he was directed to appear before the Medical Board on 3.5.1994. But the official communications sent to him through Registered A/D Post and also by special messengers had been received back undelivered. Lastly, he was directed on 15.6.1994 to resume duty by 24.6.1994 failing which departmental proceedings will be initiated. Even then, he did not join duty.

Thus the Charged Officer had been unauthorisedly absenting himself from duty w.e.f.18.12.1993. He also failed to keep the office informed of his correct address/whereabouts and avoided to receive official communications sent to him.
7.1 The Charged Officer did not submit his written statement of defence. A regular inquiry was held in this case. In the meantime, he retired on superannuation. The Inquiry Officer submitted his report holding the charges as proved. The case was referred to the Commission in the year 1999.

In accordance with the advice of the Commission communicated vide letter dated 01.07.1999, the penalty of 'withholding of the entire pension and gratuity on permanent basis' was imposed on the Charged Officer on 4th October, 1999.
7.2 Aggrieved by the penalty order dated 04.10.1999 of the Disciplinary Authority, the Charged Officer filed an OA before the Hon'ble CAT Principal Bench, New Delhi requesting for quashing the penalty order and releasing the pensionary benefits. The OA was dismissed by the Hon'ble CAT vide order dated 07.03.2005 without going into merits, as it was time-barred. Appeal filed by him was also dismissed on the same ground by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its order dated 08.07.2005. It was later informed by him that an SLP filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court, also was dismissed, on 06.01.2006.
7.3 Subsequently the Charged Officer sent representations to various authorities including Hon'ble President, Home Minister etc. Considering his representation dated 11.02.2010, the Home Minister allowed him a personal hearing with DIB/IG, IB. During the personal hearing, the Charged Officer explained about his miserable condition resulting from imposition of the drastic penalty of withholding of his full pension and gratuity, despite rendering about 32 years of unblemished service in IB. Thereafter, in terms of Rule 29-A of the CCS(CCA) Rules, 1965, the competent authority referred the case to the Commission in July, 2010 for their advice.
7.4 The Commission on consideration of the case observed that Hon'ble Home Minister on behalf of the President, has reconsidered the case purely on compassionate grounds.As no new fact/ evidence which has a bearing on the case and which was not made available earlier, had been brought out by the Disciplinary Authority, the Commission observed that there was no need for modification on the penalty already imposed on
the Charged Officer. Advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Home Affairs on $12^{\text {th }}$ November, 2010.
7.5 On $30^{\text {th }}$ May, 2011, the Disciplinary Authority passed an order "allowing the Charged Officer to draw $90 \%$ of his pension from 01.07.2010 for the remainder of his life and an amount of gratuity of Rs. 1,18,320/- to be paid to him", in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. They have mentioned in the order that the modification of the penalty was purely on compassionate grounds.
7.6 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

## Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to Indian Information Service

8. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an Officer of All India Radio, Ministry of Information \& Broadcasting under Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 on the following article of Charge :-

Article-I- While functioning as Director (News), RNU,All India Radio, Hyderabad during the period 2005-2006 he was transferred as Joint Director, Directorate of Field Publicity, Guwahati vide Ministry of I\&B order No.176/2005-IIS dated 12.12.2005. He relinquished the charge of the said post on the forenoon of 7.7.2006 vide AIR, Hyderabad's Order No.Hyd-21(3) KA/2006-S dated 7.7.2006. But within 4 days he resumed the charge again at AIR Hyderabad on 10.7.2006 vide his certificate of transfer of charge dated 10.7.2006 on the basis of two fake communications, one stated to have been issued by Secretary (I\&B) i.e. D.O. letter dated 7.7.2006 and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ from Under Secretary (IIS), Ministry of $I \& B$ (a telegram dated nil) to the effect that his file pertaining to his request for retention
at AIR, Hyderabad in capacity as Director was under active consideration and that he would be retained in the same capacity as Director News All India Radio, till further orders. The Charged Officer is the ultimate beneficiary of the above said fake letters and it is clear that he indulged himself in unfair practices of maneuvering and manipulations in order to get his transfer order cancelled by any means to continue at RNU, AIR, Hyderabad.
8.1 The Charged Officer admitted the charges and did not express his desire to be heard in person in terms of Sub-rule 4 of Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965. As such, no oral inquiry was held. The case was referred to the CVC, who recommended imposition of suitable major penalty. This was endorsed by the DA and the matter was referred to UPSC in November, 2008 for advice.
8.2 The Commission after analyzing the facts and circumstances of the case records observed that from the documents on records, it was amply clear that the Charged Officer had tried to employ all kinds of means - fair and foul - mainly foul, in order to stay on at Hyderabad and to avoid his posting to Guwahati. As per the fact finding inquiry report conducted by ADG (News) into the alleged misconduct, the latter changed his statement a number of times till he finally came to accept his guilt. In his 'explanation' to the Ministry of Information \& Broadcasting on 24.7.2007, he stated that the fake letters/faxes were sent by local News Reader-Cum-Translators and junior IIS officers to spoil his career. Later during the inquiry, he said he believed these were sent by his 'friends' in Delhi, who would help him stay on in Hyderabad.It was only in May, 2008 when replying to the charge sheet that he finally confessed to the charges. It was apparent that the Charged Officer was himself behind the phone call, forged letter and the fake fax messages as (i) he was the one who came to the office on 10.7.2006 to apprise
the Station Director, AIR, Hyderabad about the receipt of these communications; and (ii) he was the sole beneficiary of these communications. He not only took the Station Director for a ride but also tried to mislead ADG (News) by stating that he was ignorant about the faxes and then blaming his local colleagues, while all the time being the real culprit. The CO's conduct raises questions about his sense of integrity and reliability and his worthiness to continue on a responsible post like that of Director that he had been holding and still continues to hold in an organization such as the AIR.
8.3 The Commission advised that the ends of justice would be met in this case if a penalty of 'dismissal from service' imposed on the Charged Officer. The advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Information \& Broadcasting vide letter dated $10^{\text {th }}$ June, 2009.
8.4 The Ministry passed an order dated $12^{\text {th }}$ September, 2011 imposing the penalty of 'Compulsory retirement with full compensation pension and gratuity admissible to the Charged Officer from the date of his compulsory retirement, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. It has been stated that when consulted the DoPT has opined that the Charged Officer would be reaching normal superannuation age in July, 2014 and the case against him was not connected with taking any bribe. Further, the Disciplinary Authority has stated that the case was examined under Rule 40(1) of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 which provides that a Government Servant compulsorily retired from service as a penalty may be granted by the authority competent to impose such penalty, pension or gratuity or both at a rate not less than two-thirds and not more than full compensation pension or gratuity or both admissible to him on the date of his compulsory retirement. And hence, after consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the Disciplinary Authority granted
both full compensation pension and gratuity admissible to the Charged Officer on the date of his Compulsory Retirement.
8.5 Since the order passed by the Govt. is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

## Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to CHS Cadre

9. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an Officer, (Prof. of Microbiology,VMMC, Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi) under Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 on the following article of Charge :-

Article-I- He has been reported to be absent from his duty from 28.2.2004 to 6.4.2004 and since 8.4.2004 to till date without prior permission of the Competent Authority.
9.1 A formal inquiry was held in this case and the Inquiry Officer submitted his report that since the Charged Officer had admitted the charges, the charges stand proved and no further inquiry was needed. Charged Officer's version for admittance of charges and Inquiry Officer's report was examined by Disciplinary Authority wherein it was observed that crux of the matter was that Charged Officer had absented himself for a very long period of about three years from the office in an unauthorized manner. Accordingly, the matter was referred to the Commission in May, 2009 for advice to impose a suitable penalty on the Charged Officer, as he had joined the duty back and since then has been discharging duty regularly.
9.2 The Commission after analyzing the facts and circumstances of the case records noted that regarding Charged Officer's absence from duty from 28.2.2004 to 06.4.2004, the DA had confirmed that the Charged Officer was on duty
for the period from 28.2.2004 to 06.4.2004 and have decided to treat this period on duty.Therefore, the Article of charge as his unauthorized absence from 28.2.2004 to 06.4.2004 is not proved. Further, the Commission observed from the records that the period of absence of the CO from duty was from 08.4.2004 to 01.3.2007 as the CO had rejoined his duties w.e.f. 02.3.2007 as per Office Order No.PF-1-29/2004-VMMC/ Admn.I dated 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ March, 2007 issued by the Office of the MS, Safdarjung Hospital. Therefore, the element of charge regarding unauthorized absence of the CO from 08.4.2004 to 01.3.2007, as admitted by the CO, stands proved.
9.3 The Commission advised that the ends of justice would be met in this case if a penalty of 'removal from service' which shall not be a disqualification for further employment under the Govt.' imposed on the CO.The advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Health \& Family Welfare vide letter dated $09^{\text {th }}$ September, 2009.
9.4 In June, 2010, the Ministry had again referred the case of the CO for reconsideration of the advice of the Commission. Since, there was no new facts/evidence had been brought forward by the Ministry, the case records were returned to the Ministry vide letter dated $14^{\text {th }}$ September, 2010.
9.5 The Ministry passed order dated $02^{\text {nd }}$ June, 2011, imposing the penalty of 'withholding four increments of pay besides treating the period of unauthorized absence - 8.4.2004 to 1.3.2007 as "dies-non" on the CO," in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. They have also informed that DoP\&T has been consulted in the matter, and agreed with the proposal of the Ministry of Health \& Family Welfare to impose the penalty of" "withholding of four increments of pay besides treating the period of unauthorized absence as 'dies non'" on the CO.
9.6 Since the order passed by the Govt. is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to Central Engineering Service
10. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an Officer of Central Engineering Service, under Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 on the charge that the Charged Officer while posted and functioning as Executive Engineer Central Public Works Department (CPWD), New Delhi, violated the provision of the CPWD Works Manual 2003 by reducing the time limit between the date of call for tender and date of opening to 6 days instead of 10 days for work costing upto Rs. 10 lakhs. Further, he did not allow 4 days period of receipt of application form for the date fixed for the opening of the tender and not inviting tenders through advertisements, which costs more than Rs. 2 lakh. No reasons were recorded by the CO for overlooking these requirements.
10.1 The charge was not accepted by the Charged Officer. Therefore, the case was remitted to regular enquiry. The Inquiry Officer held the charge as proved. The Disciplinary Authority agreed with the findings of the Inquiry Officer. A reference seeking advice was received in the Commission on $25^{\text {th }}$ November, 2010 with the tentative approval of the Competent Authority to impose a formal penalty as specified under Rule 11 of the $\operatorname{CCS}(C C A)$ Rules, 1965.
10.2 The Commission after considering the facts and circumstances of the case observed that the Charged Officer has not recorded reasons for reducing the period of publicity of tenders while mentioning the date of application, date of issue of tender and date of receipt of tenders as 6.10.2003. The Commission also observed that the CO's contention that time for publicity was available between 30.9 .2003 to 6.10 .2003 may be
correct but the time between issue of tender and receipt of tender was in hours, instead of days, in violation of provision of CPWD Works Manual 2003. The Charged Officer has no explanation for this lapse. The reasons cited in his representation were of no relevance because time allowed to the contractor for deciding the rates of tenders after issue of tender was very less. The Charged Officer had not recorded any reason for overlooking the aforesaid provision. The Commission concluded that the charge is proved. Accordingly, the Commission advised that the penalty of 'Censure' be imposed on the Charged Officer. The advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Urban Development on 2.2.2011.
10.3 On 23rd November, 2011, the Ministry of Urban Development passed the order imposing the penalty of "withholding of increment of pay for a period of three years without cumulative effect" on the Charged Officer in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. They have stated that Department of Personnel \& Training was consulted in the matter. DOP\&T advised for imposition of minor penalty of the highest order i.e. withholding of increment of pay for a period of three years without cumulative effect against the Charged Officer, which was accepted by the Disciplinary Authority.
10.4 Since the order passed by the Government is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to General Central Services
11. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an Officer, Deputy Director (R), Mumbai Distt., Directorate of Lighthouses \& Lightship, Ministry ofShipping under Rule 14 of CCS(CCA) Rules, 1965 on the following articles of charges :

Charge-I:- That Charged Officer, Deputy Director (R), while looking after current duties
of Director (R), Mumbai Distt., Directorate of Lighthouses \& Lightship, during the period from 23.8.02 to 01.12 .02 , committed gross misconduct in the execution of the civil maintenance work of improvement and re-surfacing of the approach road from the main road from Killa (Hanuman Stop in Ratnagiri) to Ratnagiri Lighthouse DGPS Station, Ratnagiri (Maharashtra). The said work was undertaken on the basis of oral instructions from Headquarter, Noida but no attempt was made by him to seek written confirmation of these oral orders.

Charge-II:- That during the aforesaid period, he under the stated oral instructions to execute the aforesaid civil maintenance work made expenditure out of the budgetary provision of the Directorate General of Lighthouse \& Lightships on a piece of land, which did not belong to the Directorate and without any proper authorization of the Competent Authority.

Charge-III:- That during the aforesaid period and while executing the aforesaid civil work, he acted in gross violation of General Financial Rules, 1963 as detailed in the Statement of Imputations.

Charge-IV:- That during the aforesaid period, and while executing the aforesaid work, he failed to establish the reasonability/authenticity of the hand picked quotations and showed the undue favour to a firm.

Charge-V:- That during the aforesaid period and while executing the aforesaid civil work, he showed undue haste in releasing the payment to Contractor without waiting for the regular Director to join, exceeded the financial powers vested in him and did not follow the laid down procedure.

Charge-VI:- That during the aforesaid period and while executing the aforesaid civil work, he caused to be submitted two sets of estimates one dated 25.11.2002 and second dated 27.11.2002. However, the second estimate was neither a
revised estimate nor was in supersession of the first. Further, in the second estimate increased/ inflated rates were indicated which cannot be justified in a very short span of two days when no such drastic fluctuation in the market rates of construction material was reported. Thus, there was an attempt on the part of him to extend undue financial gain to the Contractor.
11.1 A formal inquiry was held in this case and the Inquiry Officer held the Article I, II, IV \&V as partly proved and Article III \& VI as proved. The disciplinary authority agreed with the findings of the Inquiry Officer except Article-IV \& V and issued statement of disagreement and a reference seeking advice was made to the Commission in February, 2010.
11.2 The Commission after analyzing the facts and circumstances of the case records observed that the plea of the Charged Officer that the work was initiated in response to telephonic instructions regarding Secretary's visit to the site is correct but the subsequent follow up was not as per rules. Secretary's visit to the site does not imply that rules need to be flouted. It was clear that a lot of time was wasted by the Charged Officer awaiting further instructions from HQ at Noida and ultimately he had to rush, cutting corners and taking short cut on rules during the last two days. Articles-I, II, IV \& V of the charges were proved partially and Articles-III \& VI in full. The charges which had been proved fully were more serious ones. As per Articles-III, topping the road upto 50 MM surfacing work was required but payment was released for 70 mm . Physical verification showed work to be only for 50 mm . As per Article VI, it was proved that there were two estimates for the same work and payment was made to the contractor in haste and beyond the financial power of the Charged Officer. In sum, the Commission observed that payment had been found to be inflated and rules have been short circuited by the Charged Officer and flouted in carrying out the works. The Commission advised that the ends of justice would be met in this case
if a penalty of 'reduction to a lower stage in the time scale of pay by three stages for a period of 4 years be imposed on the Charged Officer. Further during the period the Charged Officer would not earn any increments and this would have the effect of postponing future increments of his pay' be imposed on Charged Officer. The advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport \& Highways vide letter dated $06^{\text {th }}$ August, 2010.
11.3 The Ministry passed an Order dated $30^{\mathrm{th}}$ September, 2010 imposing the penalty of 'reduction to a lower stage in the time scale of pay by one stage for a period of two years without cumulative effect and not adversely affecting his pension' on Charged Officer, in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. They have stated that the competent authority in DoP\&T has accorded ex-post-facto approval to the orders already issued by the Ministry of Shipping imposing a penalty of reduction to a lower stage in the timescale of pay by one stage for a period not exceeding two years, without cumulative effect and not adversely affecting pension, on the Charged Officer.
11.4 Since the order passed by the Govt. is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

Action under Rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 against an Officer belonging to General Central Services
12. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against an Officer (Assistant Commandant) belonging to CISF, under Rule 14 of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 on the following two allegations:-

Article-I-While posted and functioning as Assistant Commandant, in CISF Unit, FBP Farakka during the period from 06.5.2005 till date, CO committed a gross misconduct in that while being a Member of Recruitment Board
at CISF Unit, IOC Barauni-I w.e.f. 11.5.2006 to 19.5.2006, failed in his duty and, as such, a candidate namely Prabhu Kumar (Roll No.2404759) who did not even appear in the written test, was recruited as Constable in CISF by the board.

Article-II-During the aforesaid period, committed gross misconduct in that he made grave mistake in computing the marks obtained in different events by Disco Kumar (Roll No.2401551) and Pawan Kumar (Roll No.2401651), wherein 16 marks obtained in descriptive test by Pawan Kumar were added in the overall tally of the marks of Disco Kumar, resulting in unmeritorious selection.Thus, CO exhibited utter negligence in discharge of his duties as member of the Recruitment Board.
12.1 A formal inquiry was held in this case and the Inquiry Officer held the Article I of charge as proved and Article II of charge as not proved. The Disciplinary Authority agreed with the findings of the Inquiry Officer and a reference seeking advice was made to the Commission in May, 2009.
12.2 The Commission after analyzing the facts and circumstances of the case records, noted that the Charged Officer (CO) was appointed as a Member of Recruitment Board for recruitment of constables of CISF. He was responsible to check/ conduct eligibility verification (ET) and PET in respect of candidates from Roll No. 2404661 to 2404830. On the basis of the board proceedings, Shri Prabhu Kumar, Roll No. 2404759 was selected for appointment as constable in CISF. From the examination of handwriting in the answer sheet of written examination and specimen handwriting and signatures of Shri Prabhu Kumar examined by Govt. Examiner of Questioned Documents (GEQD) Kolkata, it is evident that the handwriting and signatures available in the sample and questioned documents did not match with each other. It shows that both the Objective and Descriptive answer sheets in respect of Prabhu Kumar (Roll No.2404759) were written
by someone else. CO, in his defence, stated that he detected a bogus candidate appearing in the PET. This shows that bogus candidates were appearing in the CISF Recruitment Test. Keeping in view the incidence of bogus candidates appearing in the Recruitment Test, CO should have been even more pre-emptive to thwart the bogus candidate from taking part in the Test. But he could not do so. Thus, the CO's plea, instead of defending, goes against him. CO stated Shri Prabhu Kumar himself took the written test on $19^{\text {th }}$ May, 2007. From the Examination Report of GEQD, it was clear that script on the answer sheet meant for Shri Prabhu Kumar was not of his own. Thus, CO's argument was not worthy of acceptance. CO stated that he performed his duties with due care and vigilance, while on the other hand he made a contradictory plea stating that apart from matching facial features of candidates with admit card, he had no means to ensure the identity of a candidate by matching his handwriting as available in his application form. This plea is of no avail because if one was cautious and vigilant, a proxy was detectable by matching his age, signatures and photograph on the admit card and his reaction to any suspicious questions that may be put to him. The CO being in a senior position in the Armed Police Force need not be told how imposters could be detected. If the CO was able to detect a bogus candidate in the same Test as claimed by him, nothing prevented the CO from applying a similar procedure in detecting the proxy for Prabhu Kumar. But CO failed to do so leading to recruitment of an undeserving candidate, who did not even appear in the written test, as Constable in CISF by the Recruitment Board of which the CO was a Member.
12.3 The Commission was of the view that the charges against the CO under Article I were proved. The Commission advised that the ends of justice would be met in this case if a penalty of 'reduction to a lower stage in the time-scale of pay by one stage till 31.8.2010 and not adversely affecting his pension' imposed on the CO. The advice of the Commission was communicated to the Ministry of Home Affairs vide letter dated 8/14.1.2010.
12.4 In March, 2010, the Ministry again referred the case of the CO for reconsideration of the advice of the Commission. Since, there was no new facts/evidence had been brought forward by the Ministry, the case records were returned to the Ministry vide letter dated $25^{\text {th }}$ March, 2010.
12.5 However, vide order dated $11^{\text {th }}$ June, 2010, the Ministry imposed the penalty of 'withholding the next increment till his retirement without cumulative effect' on the CO , in disagreement with the advice of the Commission. It was stated that Department of Personnel \& Training, when consulted in the matter, advised vide their letter dated 21.5.2010, to impose the penalty of 'withholding the next increment till retirement, without cumulative effect' on the CO.The CO was getting retired from Govt. service w.e.f. 30.9.2010, on attaining the age of superannuation.
12.6 Since the order passed by the Govt. is not in accordance with the advice of the Commission, this has been treated as a case of non-acceptance of the Commission's advice.

# Administration and Finance 

## Administration Division

1. Administration Division of the Commission is responsible for handling of Establishment, Administrative, Vigilance and Welfare matters of officers and staff in the Secretariat of Commission. The Secretariat of the Commission is headed by Secretary who is of the rank of Additional Secretary to the Government of India. Chairman, UPSC has been vested with all the administrative and financial powers of the Commission and is declared as Head of the Department. While the service conditions of Chairman and Member of UPSC is regulated by a set of rules called UPSC (Members) Regulations, the service conditions of the staff is regulated by UPSC (Staff) Regulations.The Secretariat of the Commission has strength of 1999 employees, the details of which are reflected in Table-1 and Table-3 at Appendix-43. The organization chart of the Commission is given at Appendix-44. The representation of Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs) and Other Backward Classes (OBCs) and Persons with Disabilities in the Secretariat of the Commission is given in Appendix-45.

## Training

2. In-house training programmes on (i) Noting \& Drafting (ii) Stress Management (iii) Leave Rules (iv) RTI - Handling of Requests, Appeals (v) Pay Fixation (vi) Store procurement/ purchase procedure in Govt. \& (vii) Pension Rules, were held for Officers / Staff members of the Commission.

## Records Management

3. As a part of the ongoing efforts to improve Records Management, a Special Drive to record, review and weed-out unwanted records/files was launched from November 1, 2011 to November 30, 2011 which has resulted in recording, reviewing, and weeding out of 2786, 4397 and 4381 files respectively.

## Finance Division

4. The Finance Division of the UPSC is headed by an Additional Secretary who is of the level of Joint Secretary to the Government of India and nominated as Financial Advisor of the Commission. Finance Division is responsible for formulating, operating and controlling the budget of the Commission and other matters pertaining to expenditure, control and monitoring and extending financial advice etc. The Financial Advisor of the Commission is supported by F\&BO, officer of Director/Deputy Secretary level with finance background.

## Budgetary position during 2011-12

5. UPSC is a constitutional body entrusted with certain important functions under Articles 320 and 321 of the Constitution, which interalia involve conduct of various prestigious examinations for appointment to senior level posts under the Government. As per Article 322 and 113 of the Constitution, expenditure of UPSC is charged on the Consolidated fund of India. For 2011-12, a provision of Rs. 146.58 Crores has been made, which is primarily meant for conduct of various Competitive Examinations
and Recruitment Tests by the Commission. The Examinations have to be conducted in accordance with the pre-determined time schedule and therefore expenditure is a committed liability which cannot be deferred. The expenditure on Examination and Selection are directly related to
the number of candidates appearing in various Examinations and Recruitment Tests being conducted by UPSC. The position with regard to provisions made and utilization of funds during the last Five years is given at Table-1.

Table-1

| Year |  | BE | RE | Surrender | Net Appropriation <br> (final grant) | Actual <br> Expenditure | Unspent <br> ( Rupees in lakhs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \%age <br> utilization of <br> funds <br> (col 6 over 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| $2007-08$ | 7512.00 | 7512.00 | 325.00 | 7187.00 | 7138.69 | 48.31 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0 3 \%}$ |
| $2008-09$ | 7781.00 | 9569.00 | - | 9569.00 | 9522.41 | 46.59 | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 1 \%}$ |
| $2009-10$ | 11091.00 | 13101.00 | - | 13101.00 | 13072.41 | 28.59 | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7 8 \%}$ |
| $2010-11$ | 11667.00 | 14196.00 | - | 14196.00 | 14191.80 | 4.20 | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 7 \%}$ |
| $2011-12$ | 14658.00 | 14526.00 | 168.00 | 14490.00 | 14476.67 | 13.33 | $\mathbf{9 9 . 6 6 \%}$ |

[^3]
## Miscellaneous

## Right to Information Act- 2005

1. The Right to Information Act, 2005 came in to effect from $12^{\text {th }}$ October, 2005. As per RTI Act, 2005, the Union Public Service Commission is covered under clause 2(h) of the Act as a "Public Authority". Accordingly, the Commission as a responsible "Public Authority" has taken appropriate action in this regard. Commission has appointed 22 CPIOs and 09 Appellate Authorities for handling the request received from Information Seekers.
2. As proactive measures, following information have been uploaded in the UPSC website:-
a) Composition of the Commission.
b) Organizational Chart of UPSC.
c) List of CPIOs/Appellate Authorities.
d) Channels of Submission from JS and above.
e) Statement of Committees constituted for purpose of advice.
f) List of officers on Central Deputation.
g) Write up on the complaints Committee for prevention of harassment of women at work place.
h) RTI Annual Return.
i) Details of Monthly receipt and disposal of RTI Applications.
j) Details of Appropriation-UPSC (Charged)
k) Record Retention Schedule, 2009.
l) Guidelines for CPIOs.
m) List of subjects allotted to various Branches of the Commission.
3. The position of the RTI Applications and Appeals received is as under:-

| S. <br> No. | Particular | Number |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Total number of RTI <br> Applications received during the <br> year 2011-12 | 3610 |
| 2. | Total number of RTI Appeals <br> received during the year 2011- <br> 12 | 583 |

## Implementation of the Judgments/ orders of the Central Administrative Tribunal

4. In matters relating to disciplinary cases filed in CAT, the Commission is generally a proforma respondent and in the CAT cases relating to promotion/deputation, the Commission acts only as an Advisory Body and the concerned Ministries/Departments/State Governments, who are the main respondents, are responsible for implementation of the judgments/orders of the CAT. 78 judgments/orders of the CATs were received during the period from April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012, out of which, 32 judgments/ orders of CATs have been implemented and in 27 cases, the application/petitions were dismissed by the CATs in favour of UPSC/Government. 19 judgments/orders of the CAT have not been implemented for reasons such as filing of writ petition in the High Courts, judgment/order under examination in the Commission etc.

## Foundation day of the Commission

5. $85^{\text {th }}$ Foundation Day of UPSC was celebrated on $4^{\text {th }}$ October, 2011. Hon'ble Members and retired Hon'ble Chairmen/ Members of the Commission graced the function, which was followed by a colourful cultural programme presented by talented artists from amongst staff members of the Commission.

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ UPSC Lecture on 'Governance and Public Service’

6. Commission has taken a decision to start a Series of Lectures on 'Governance and Public Service' on the occasion of the Foundation Day of the Commission celebrated every year on $1^{\text {st }}$ October. The Second Lecture of the Series was delivered by Hon'bleVice President of India, Shri Hamid Ansari on $3^{\text {rd }}$ May, 2011 and Third Lecture of the Series was delivered by the former Hon'ble President of India, Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam on $1^{\text {st }}$ December, 2011 at Vigyan Bhawan, New Delhi.

## National Conference of Chairpersons of State PSCs

7. The $14^{\text {th }}$ National Conference of Chairpersons of State Public Service Commissions held on $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ February, 2012 at Ajmer, Rajasthan was inaugurated by Dr. Jitender Singh, Hon'ble Minister for Energy, Non-Conventional Energy Resources, PHED, GWD, Information \& Public Relations, Government of Rajasthan. Hon'ble Chairman, Union Public Service Commission, Prof. D. P. Agrawal, presided over the event. H.E. the Governor of Rajasthan, Shri Shivraj Patil graced the valedictory session with his presence on $10^{\text {th }}$ February, 2012.
8. 20 Chairmen and 5 Members from 24 State Public Service Commission participated and discussed a number of issues of mutual interest.

## Workshop on use of I.T. in State PSCs

9. In pursuance of a decision taken during the $13^{\text {th }}$ National Conference held in Mumbai, Commission organized a workshop on "Use of information technology in State PSCs" especially for North Eastern region, in Kolkata on $13^{\text {th }}$ January, 2012. 15 State PSCs participated and discussed the use of I.T. in the State PSCs.

## Visit by delegations

10. 6 Foreign Delegations from Afghanistan (twice), Kenya,Taiwan, South Africa and Mongolia
visited the Commission and held discussion on various issues related to selection methods.

## Other Visits

11. Hon'ble Chairmen and Members from State Public Service Commission visited the Commission on various occasions during the year and held discussions on a number of issues of mutual interests.

## UPSC (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations, 1958

12. During the year 2011-12 the Commission considered 2 proposals received from the Government seeking exemption from its purview. These were examined and observations of the Commission conveyed to the concerned Ministry/ Department. List of posts/services exempted from the purview of Commission since the issue of U.P.S.C. (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations, 1958 is given at Appendix-42.

## Seniority and Service matters

13. The Commission tendered advice in 4 cases relating to inter-se-seniority and 25 cases relating to miscellaneous service matters. The comparative statement of 2010-11 and 2011-12 is at Appendix-3.

Publication of Half-Yearly Newsletter of State Public Service Commissions
14. A Half-Yearly Newsletter of Public Service Commissions for the period January to June and July to December is being printed and distributed after obtaining necessary inputs/data from State Public Service Commissions and various Branches of the Commission. The Newsletter, inter-alia, contains incumbency statement of UPSC and 28 State Public Service Commissions, appointment/retirement of Chairman/ Members, Examinations/ Recruitments held, Departmental Promotion Committee/Selection Committee Meetings held, visit by Dignitaries etc. The $59^{\text {th }}$
and $60^{\mathrm{th}}$ issues of the Newsletter were printed and distributed during 2011-12.

## Progress in use of Hindi in the office of Union Public Service Commission

15. In order to ensure compliance of Official Language policy of the Central Government and to achieve the targets fixed in the Annual Programme in the implementation of Official Language, adequate arrangements have been made. For this purpose a full-fledged Hindi Branch has been set up in the Commission.
16. More than $80 \%$ staff working in the Commission's office have acquired working knowledge in Hindi and this office has already been notified in the Gazette of India under Rule 10 (4) of the Official Language Rules, 1976. 20 Sections of the Commission have been specified to do their routine work in Hindi under Rule 8(4) of the Official Language Rules 1976. Regular inspections were conducted by the officers of Hindi Branch and they were apprised of the Official Language Policy and rules. On the spot remedial measures were suggested during these inspections.
17. An Official Language Implementation Committee under the Chairmanship of the Secretary has been constituted and its quarterly meetings are held regularly to review the progress made in the use of Hindi in the official work of the Commission.
18. The following incentive schemes are in operation to promote the progressive use of Hindi, such as:-
19. Incentive scheme for the Officers/ employees doing original noting/ drafting in Hindi in the Official work.
20. Incentive scheme for officers for giving dictation in Hindi; and
21. Incentive scheme for conferring "RAJBHASHA AWARD" to the Section
in the office of the Commission doing their maximum work in Hindi.
22. All the advertisements issued by the Commission for the posts and Services under the Central Government were prepared and published both in Hindi and English simultaneously in leading Newspapers of the country including "ROZGAR SAMACHAR". Information for candidates for all the posts and services was also issued bilingually. Annual Report and half- yearly 'News letter' of Public Service Commissions were prepared and printed/published in Hindi alongwith English version simultaneously as per schedule. The Website is in bilingual form and the process of its updation is an on-going process, which is being followed.
23. Translation work of documents from English to Hindi and vice-versa received from various Branches/Sections of the Commission was done, which besides other subjects, included instructions, results of various examinations, letters relating to Right to Information \& disciplinary cases pertaining to service matters.
24. The meeting of 'Evaluation Committee' was held on May 10, 2011 under the chairmanship of Secretary, UPSC. The Committee decided to give twenty three cash awards to officials, five cash awards to Sections for their maximum official work done in Hindi \& two cash awards to officers, who had given maximum dictations in Hindi.
25. Three Hindi Workshops were organized for Officers and employees of the Commission to encourage them to do their day-to-day official work in Hindi. The progress made in the use of official work was regularly reviewed in the Monthly O\&M meetings of various Branches of the Commission.
26. Non-Hindi knowing officers/ employees of the Commission were nominated for Hindi Training under the Hindi Teaching Scheme.

During the year, 8 persons were given lumpsum cash award for their outstanding performance \& 7 persons were given additional advance increments under the various training programmes.
24. On the occasion of 'Hindi Day' (14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ September), a Hindi Fortnight was organized from $1^{\text {st }}$ Sept. 2011 to $14^{\text {th }}$ Sept. 2011 in the Commission's office. Various Hindi Competitions such as, Hindi Noting/Drafting, Hindi Essay, Hindi Typing, Hindi speech, Hindi Poem and Hindi Dictation for Non-Hindi speaking officials were organized during this period. Hon'ble Chairman of the Commission issued an Appeal to all the officers and staff to make maximum use of Hindi in their official work. On $14^{\text {th }}$ September, 2011, the main function was organized under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Chairman, Prof. D.P. Agrawal. Prizes/Certificates were given to 47 winners, who participated in various competitions held during the fortnight.

## Examination Reforms

25. Examination Reforms Branch carries out studies on diverse aspects of the process of examination. Detailedstatistical analysis ofdifferent examinations are carried out on a regular basis to monitor the quality of test items and papers. This analysis is essential for the effectiveness of the tests administered and to affect necessary changes and improvements in the examination methodology and selection process.
26. Socio-economic background of the candidates called for Personality Test (Interview) of the Civil services Examination and Engineering Services Examination are analysed to study the trends and profile of the candidates who appear for Personality Test and finally qualify these examinations.
27. Detailed analysis on community,age,gender, qualification and university-wise candidates in the Civil Services (Main) Examination, Engineering Services Examination and Indian Forest Service Examination are also done, which form a part of the Annual Report. Further, the branch also monitors the progress of direct recruitment by interview and by recruitment test. It serves as a repository of vital information pertaining to previous selections through direct recruitment by interview and prepares material on the same for the Annual Report of the Commission.

## Penalties Imposed On Candidates for Misrepresentation and Other Malpractices

28. During the year 2011-12, fourteen (14) cases came to notice of the Commission wherein the candidates used unfair means, appeared in examination other than opted subject, used mobile phone, submitted false information and fabricated documents etc. The Commission took serious note of such cases and after due process, imposed penalties that ranged from cancellation of candidature of those candidates for that particular Examination/Selection to debarring them also from the Commission's future Examinations/ Selections for periods varying from 5 years to permanently.
29. During the year 2011-12, four cases came to the notice of the Commission in which candidates had submitted fake experience certificates while applying for a post. The Commission took serious note of these cases and after due process debarred the concerned candidates permanently from appearing in any Examination/Selection held by the Commission.
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## Appendix-1 <br> Profiles of Hon'ble Chairman and Members of the Commission

## Professor D. P. AGRAWAL

Professor D.P. Agrawal assumed the charge of Chairman of the Union Public Service Commission with effect from August 16, 2008 (AN). Before assuming the charge of Chairman, he was Member, U.P.S.C. during the period from October 31, 2003 to August 15, 2008. Prior to this, Professor D.P. Agrawal was the founder Director of Atal Behari Vajpayee Indian Institute of Information Technology and Management (IIITM), Gwalior. IIITM was set up by Ministry of Human Resource Development in 1998 and declared by Government of India, in 2001 as a Deemed University. The institute has already created a niche for itself in industries in India and abroad. Many initiatives started by him at IIITM, Gwalior have been replicated in the country.

Professor Agrawal has been an eminent teacher, educational administrator and institution builder. He was Professor and Dean at IIT Delhi from where, in 1994, he took over as Joint Educational Adviser (T) in the Ministry of HRD, Government of India. He has planned and developed a number of initiatives at national level in technical education, particularly in the areas of information technology and computer education. He has made substantial contribution for the development of vocational education through advice and guidance.

Professor Agrawal was National Project Director of the World Bank assisted project for strengthening technical education in India. This project covered 19 States and 590 polytechnics. He has contributed to the development of Centres for Excellence in higher technical and in polytechnic education. He was instrumental in conceptualizing a number of new institutions.

Professor Agrawal was Managing Director of Educational Consultants India Ltd. (Ed.CIL), a PSU of Government of India. He brought about major changes in work culture of this organisation, including decentralized decision making and transferring functional responsibilities to lower executives.

At IIT Delhi, Professor Agrawal held many key administrative positions including Dean of students. He was very active in academics and research too and supervised nearly 100 thesis at PhD, Masters and Undergraduate levels. He has been a consultant to a number of companies. He has been a member of Editorial Boards of National and International journals. He attracted research funds to IIT, Delhi for his projects from Defence, DST and CSIR. His research papers have received awards from Institutes of Engineers. In addition to being a member of a number of governing bodies of institutions, Professor Agrawal is a member of a number of professional institutions and societies.

Professor Agrawal has contributed significantly to the growth of quality technical education in the country through his close interaction with AICTE. He has been Chairman of Central Regional Committee of AICTE and other Boards of AICTE.

Professor Agrawal was born on $2^{\text {nd }}$ October, 1949. After graduating in Engineering in 1970 from AMU Aligarh, he obtained his Ph.D from IIT, Delhi. He has been a visiting faculty at Imperial College, London and Cranfield University in UK. He has lectured in Germany and Czechoslovakia. He has led a number of delegations of the Government of India to the USA, Australia, Germany, New Zealand and Canada.

Prof. Agrawal was christened as 'Engineer of the Year 2006' by the Institution of Engineers. Hon. Fellowship Award was conferred by Indian Society for Technical Education on $14^{\text {th }}$ December, 2006. He was also awarded with the Honorary Degree of Jiwaji University, Gwalior, during its Convocation Ceremony held on 29.10.2009. Recently he has been conferred with the Distinguished Alumni Award for the year 2011 by the IIT Delhi Alumni Association.

## Prof. K.S. CHALAM

Obtained his B.A. Hons., M.A., Ph.D., B.Ed. from Andhra University and DNEP (Warsaw) from Poland. His fields of interests include Economics of Education, Political Economy, Public Economics, Dravidian Studies and Staff Development. Member, UPSC w.e.f. June 1, 2005.

Prof. Chalam held the position of (i) ViceChancellor, Dravidian University, Kuppam (AP) (2005); (ii) Member, Planning Board, Govt. of M.P. (2002-04); (iii) Founder Director, UGC Academic Staff College, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam (1987-2005); (iv) Director,Swamy Ramananda Tirtha Rural Institute, Pochampally, Hyderabad (1997-98); (v) Professor of Economics, Andhra University (1990-2005). He is on several Committees as Hon'ble Chairman, Member such as UGC, NCRI, A.U. etc. He was Search Committee Member for selection of ViceChancellor for Telugu and Telangana Universities in Andhra Pradesh.

Prof. Chalam K.S. started his career as a Lecturer in Economics. He became a Reader and Professor of Economics in Andhra University. He was instrumental in developing the concept of Staff Development in higher education in India and became the Founder Director of Academic Staff College, A.U. in 1987, the first in the Country. He was Vice-President, President AP Economic Association, 1996; Life Member Indian Political Economy Association; Secretary, Indian Section

Amnesty International, 1984-85; President, Mahendra Degree College Pathapatnam. He has organised several Seminars and Conferences as Director, Consultant for academic and social activist groups. He has attended the UN Conference on Racism at Tehran, Kathmandu, Cairo, Durban, South Africa. He has participated and chaired sessions of SAAPE, Colombo, Sri Lanka on Poverty in SAARC region and contributed to the publication of 'Poverty in SAARC Countries'. He has participated in the international conference on 'Privatization of Power and Water' in Bangkok in 2002. Prof. Chalam's paper on the 'Origin of Science and Technology in India' presented at the $31^{\text {st }}$ South Asia Conference atWisconsin, USA was applauded by scholars as a new field of research.

An internationally renowned expert on Staff Development and Political Economy, Prof. Chalam has published 22 books in English, 6 in Telugu, 90 research papers in journals including 8 in EPW and has written more than 200 edit page articles in Vaartha, Eenadu, Bhoomi \& Jyothi in Telugu. His books on Political Economy and Educational Planning are offered as Text books in several universities. He is the Founder Editor of 'South India Journal of Social Sciences'. As a Staff developer, he has conducted around 300 Refresher Courses in all major disciplines of Knowledge for Lecturers and Readers in Andhra University. He is considered as Father figure in Staff Development in higher education in India.

Besides Research guidance for the award of 10 Ph.D's and 4 M.Phil's, Prof. Chalam's research includes 10 research projects of UGC; School of Economics including Govt. of A.P.; DPEP UNESCO project on Primary Education, 2000 and others. He was one of the earliest scholar to specialise in Economics of Education in 1972. This became an important branch of study in Economics as Human Development in 1990 at Andhra University. He was adjunct Professor at
the Department of Education and Economics at Andhra University for two decades. He has delivered several endowment, memorial and keynote addresses at several Universities and Institutes. He is a visiting Professor at several Universities in India.

In the field of Consultancy, Prof. Chalam has developed International contacts with Academics and NGOs to build social and academic institutions. Served as a Member, World Bank Monitoring Mission, DPEP, Govt. of India \& ODA for Tamil Nadu, M.P., West Bengal \& Bihar; Member, School Reforms Committee, Govt. of A.P; Member, $9^{\text {th }} \& 10^{\text {th }}$ Plan Working Groups on Higher Education, Govt. of India;CAPART-199798; Expert Member,Vision 2020, HRD, Govt. of AP. NAAC, etc.; Member Tenth \& Eleventh Plan Consultative Groups on Higher Education and Sub-Plan, Planning Commission, Govt. of India.

Prof. Chalam was honoured with Distinctions \& Awards such as UGC Career Award in Economics-1984;Man of the year-1994;American Biographical-Institute, USA; Vijayasree, Int. Friendship Society, 1991; State Award - Teacher with Social Consciousness, 2000; International Educator - 2004 by International Biographical Centre, Cambridge and others. The Department of Economics, Andhra University conducted a National Seminar in his honour on his $60^{\text {th }}$ Birthday during 6-7 October, 2008. The essays in his honour are being published in 9 volumes (a record) by his student Prof. D.P. Rao. Already 2 Volumes were published in his honour on his $50^{\text {th }}$ and $60^{\text {th }}$ Birthday by his former students.

Participated in 8 international conferences including one at Frankfurt (1983), Stockton, USA (1985), Cambridge (1990), Manchester, UK (1999), three at UNWCAR-2001, Edinburgh, U.K (2007) and others. He has visited the countries of USA, UK, Holland, Finland, Poland, Singapore, Thailand, Malaysia, Germany, Iran, Nepal, Egypt, South Africa, Sri Lanka, East Berlin etc. on academic pursuits.

## Mrs. SHASHI UBAN TRIPATHI

Senior Cambridge, Delhi Public School, Mathura Road, New Delhi; B.A. (English - Hons); M.A. (English),PatnaWomen's College, Patna University. She is a Gold-Medalist of Patna University having topped at the Graduate and Postgraduate levels.

Joined Indian Foreign Service in 1970. During her 37 years of diplomatic career, she handled varied and important assignments in a number of countries. Her first posting abroad was as Second-Secretary and later as First-Secretary (Political) in the Embassy of India, Kathmandu, Nepal from 1974-77. She served as First Secretary (Information) in the Embassy of India, Moscow, USSR from 1977-80 where, inter-alia, she edited a popular magazine called 'INDIA' in Russian. From Moscow, she was posted as First-Secretary (Head of Chancery) and incharge of Indian Technical and Economic Cooperation (ITEC) Programme in the Embassy of India, Kabul, Afghanistan where during the critical years of 1980-83 in the background of internal strife, she assisted in the reconstruction efforts through Indian experts deputed to that country.

She took over as Deputy Director General of Indian Council for Cultural Relations (ICCR), New Delhi in 1983 - an autonomous body funded by the Ministry of External Affairs, responsible for projecting India's rich cultural heritage abroad. In the wake of the tragic Air India Kanishka crash, a special post of Counselor (Information, Publicity and Spokesperson) was created in the High Commission of India, Ottawa, Canada to which, she was deputed from 1985-88. After a three year eventful tenure in Canada, she moved back to the neighbourhood as Deputy Consul General in the Consulate General of India, Karachi, Pakistan from 1988-92, where she put in place systems that helped in easing visa restrictions on travel to India. From 1992-94, she served as Minister and then Deputy Chief of Mission (Political \& Economic Affairs) in the Embassy of India, Bonn, Germany.

For the next ten years, she served as Head of Mission - first as Ambassador of India, Warsaw, Poland in 1994-97, where she was pivotal in reviving and rejuvenating India's relations with Poland at a time when Central European countries were becoming increasingly Eurocentric and subsequently as High Commissioner of India in Harare, Zimbabwe (1997-98). She helped prominent Indian PSUs to get a foothold in niche markets in that once prosperous African country. Her tenure as Consul General of India in New York, USA (1999-02) was marked by the entry of major Indian corporate players on the New York Stock Exchange, as also the change in the perception of India as a rising economic force. During the tragic terrorist attack of 9/11, she set up a twenty-four hour 'Crisis Centre' at the Consulate General of India to render disaster relief whose work was appreciated by the local as well as India Media and the Hon'ble Parliament. She was Ambassador of India in Ottawa, Canada from 2002-04 before taking over as Secretary (West), Ministry of External Affairs.

She has been a sought-after speaker and has delivered speeches on foreign affairs and on different aspects of India at various foreign universities.

She joined as Member, Union Public Service Commission in May, 2007.

## Prof. PURUSHOTTAM AGRAWAL

(b. 1955 at Gwalior, India), Graduation (1974 Maharani Laxmi Bai College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh), MA (Political Science, 1977 - Jiwaji University, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh), MA (Hindi Literature, 1979-Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi), Ph.D (Hindi Literature, 1985, ‘The Social Meaning of Kabir’s Bhakti'; Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi).

Formerly Chairperson, Center of Indian Languages, School of Language, Literature and Culture Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University,New

Delhi; Chief Advisor, Hindi Textbooks (classes VI-XII), NCERT, New Delhi (2005-07), Prof. Agrawal taught at Ramjas College, University of Delhi (1982-90), edited and published "Jigyasa", an inter-disciplinary academic journal (1983-84), served as Associate Professor, Center of Indian Languages, JNU (1990-2003). Professor of Hindi Literature, Center of Indian Languages, School of Languages, Literature and Culture Studies (SLLCS), JNU (2003-07).

He served as British Academy Visiting Professor at Faculty of Oriental Studies, Cambridge University and as Fellow of Wolfson College, Cambridge University, United Kingdom in 2002. Conducted two seminars on the "Identity discourses in the current Indian politics" at the Center of South Asian Studies, Cambridge University. Also served as Visiting Professor at El Colegio de Mexico (National College of Mexico,Mexico City) during May-July 2002. Conducted four seminars on the themes of culture and history of Indian people. In Nov.-Dec.2004, during an academic tour of the United States of America, Prof. Agrawal lectured at the Columbia University, New York; Emory University, Atlanta; Rice University, Houston. The Halle Institute of the Emory University organized a luncheon meeting in his honor, where he spoke on the post-election political scene in India.

Prof.Agrawal wonDeviShankarAwasthy Samman for "Teesra Rukh", 1996, and Mukutdhar Pandey Samman for "Sanskriti:Varchswa aur Pratiroadh", 1997. Some of the books written by Prof.Agrawal are Kabir:Sakhi aur Sabad (A collection of Kabir's poetry with an analytical introduction), Shivdan Singh Chauhan (A monograph in "Makers of Indian Literature" series published by Sahitya Akademi, Delhi), Majbooti Ka Naam Mahtma Gandhi (published version of annual Gandhi Lecture, organized by Gandhi Peace Foundation, Delhi), Nij Brahma Vichhar:Dharma Samaj aur Dharmetar Adhyatma (Essays on religion, spirituality and philosophy), Vichaar ka Ananta
(a collection of theoretical and cultural essays), Teesra Rukh (A collection of literary and cultural essays), Sanskriti: Varchswa aur Pratiroadh (a collection of cultural and political essays). His latest and much talked about book 'Akath Kahani Prem Ki: Kabir Ki Kavita aur Unka Samay’ (2009) was awarded the First Rajkamal Kriti Samman.

Prof. Agrawal contributes regularly to academic journals and popular magazines on wide-ranging issues of culture, literature and current affairs. Numerous articles both in Hindi and English have been published in academic journals, popular magazines and newspapers over the last twentyfive years.

Prof. Agrawal served as Member in several Board of Studies; Academic, Research and Governing Council of important Universities of the Country. In many international conferences and academic events, he delivered various keynote address, presented papers, delivered lectures, spoke on important themes besides organizing an international conference on 'Evolution of Hindi’.

An active social activist and consultant, Prof. Agrawal served as chief advisor (2003-06) of the 'Peaceful co-existence in South Asia' project of the Aman Trust, Delhi. As a consultant to Oxfam, India (1998-2002), he had organized inter-faces of scholars, creative artists and social activists as part of the Violence Amelioration and Mitigation Project (VMAP). Of particular value have been the interfaces between Kabir-panthis and the scholars of Kabir, and conferences on the question of social identities and a dialogue on Spirituality without Religion. His writings have been included in a course 'Public intellectuals of India' offered by the College of Mexico, Mexico City.

Member, Union Public Service Commission of India, New Delhi since July 2, 2007.

## Dr. K.K. PAUL

An M.Sc (Hons) and a Ph.D in Chemistry from Panjab University, Dr. Krishan Kant Paul joined IPS in 1970 and was allocated to the UT (AGMUT) Cadre. He was amongst the first Ph.Ds in Police Service. In the context of his research work in Chemistry, he has over 20 papers published in international scientific journals of repute. He has maintained his touch with the academics and continued to write on various matters of topical interest. One of his Columns "Brass Tacks" appeared regularly in "The Tribune" and was widely read.

Dr. Krishan Kant Paul, while in the UT Cadre remained posted in A\&N Islands and later as the Chief of Police in Arunachal Pradesh. He also had tenures in the Central Intelligence Agencies. While posted in Delhi, he had wide ranging experience of urban policing and was involved in handling some mega events like the Asian Games in 1982, followed by NAM and CHOGM in 1983.

Dr. Paul is credited with introduction of several new technologies in policing in Delhi and making Delhi Police into one of the most modern police forces in the country. He has had one of the longest ever tenures in Delhi as Commissioner of Police and introduced several innovative measures for the benefit of the common man. "Citizens First" was made into a guiding principle to focus on the service aspect of policing. Community policing initiatives were placed on a firm footing giving the force an orientation of a service organization rather than merely being a law and order force. In this context several pro-active policing measures were taken up.

Considering the significance of modernization and transparency, the Arms Licensing Branch of Delhi Police was modernized and was able to get an ISO certification. The recruitment process in Delhi Police has also been standardized and won an ISO certification. Procurement Wing of

Delhi Police and some sections of Traffic Police have also been able to get an ISO certification. All the Police Stations were computerized and networked in a record time. A special scheme "Parivartan", to fight crimes against women was initiated which won international acclaim. The prestigious "Weber Savvy" award for Community Policing and Law Enforcement was also won by Delhi Police during his tenure. Amongst the other modernization efforts were the computerization of fingerprints and intensive use of CCTV cameras for security in public places and for management of traffic. Networking of Traffic signals through computers was also achieved.

He is the recipient of several commendations and appreciations from the Government, besides "Kathin Seva Padaks" and with Bar, President's Police Medal for Distinguished Services and Police Medal for Meritorious Services besides the Internal Security Medal.

He has joined as Member of Union Public Service Commission on July 26, 2007.

## Lt. Gen. (Retd.) NIRBHAY SHARMA, PVSM, UYSM, AVSM,VSM

One of the most distinguished and decorated Field Commanders of the Indian Army. Has done most of Command and Staff assignments dealing with either J\&K or North Eastern States, the last assignment being a Corps Commander and Security Advisor to Government of J\&K from 2003 to 2005. During this period, his landmark contribution in fighting the ongoing proxy war in Kashmir is well known. Apart from brilliantly directing and coordinating antiterrorists operations of over one lakh elements of security force, it also included construction of anti-infiltration obstacle system along the LOC, opening Road Uri-Muzaffarabad as also construction of ‘AMAN SETU' and earlier having raised a Division size Force during 'OP VIJAY' in 1999, all in a record time. His slogan of "Jawan aur Awam aman hai Mukam" and its
manifestation on ground has brought in a new approach and momentum to the ongoing peace process in Kashmir.

Fought 1971 War on the Eastern Front as a part of Airborne Assault Group, which was the first Indian Army Unit to enter Dacca. In 1980s and 90 s, apart from commanding the battalion on the China border in Arunachal Pradesh and being part of Sino-Indian Joint Working Group, also coordinated all counter-insurgency actions in the North East with various civil agencies, inter-ministerial groups and specialist delegations on behalf of the Army Headquarters. The peace process with Naga rebels also commenced during this period. Close interaction with the representatives of the armies and governments of Nepal, Bhutan, Myanmar and Bangladesh for effective border management, formulation of joint strategies to combat militancy, anti national/criminal/hijacking activities and disaster management were also part of his charter during this period.

As Director General (Perspective Planning) in the Army HQ from 2001 to 2003, headed a Study on Restructuring of Army HQ, as also assisted in drafting ‘Army Vision 2020’. Retired as Master General of Ordnance in October 2006, wherein his stamp of dynamism is very distinctly seen in the field of material management of 1.2 million strong Indian Army, with varied and complex inventory costing over Rs. 50,000 Crores and the Annual Revenue Budget of Rs. 6000 Crores.

Presently Member, Union Public Service Commission.

## Shri IDREES MOHAMMAD GHANI KHAN

Born on July 2, 1948 at Rampur, U.P.An alumnus of La Martiniere College, Lucknow and the Aligarh Muslim University from where he did his M.Sc. (Geology). After a brief stint as Management Trainee in Indian Oil Corporation, joined

Indian Postal Service (Group 'A') in 1970 and has experience of serving at all hierarchal levels of Department of Posts in various parts of the country in different capacities. Also served other Departments and Organizations on deputation including the Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration, Mussoorie (as Deputy Director, Senior), UPSC (as Additional Secretary), and M/o Personnel PG \& Pensions (as Additional Secretary). While in UPSC served as Member Secretary, Civil Services Examination Reforms Committee headed by Prof.Y.K. Alagh and also worked for bringing in significant changes and reforms in the examination systems collectively known as the "Sampera" Scheme.

Appointed Secretary, Department of Posts, Director General (Posts) and Chairman, Postal Services Board on 1st January 2007 charged with steering the Department through its most challenging phase of transformation through technology induction, development and business orientation. Joined the Union Public Service Commission as Member on 9th June, 2008.

## Shri PRASHANTA KUMAR MISHRA

Born on 07 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ August, 1948;B.A.(Honours) Political Science; appointed to Indian Administrative Service (IAS) on $15^{\text {th }}$ July 1972.

Since his selection in the Indian Administrative Service in 1972, he held several prominent postings starting from District Magistrate to Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh, both in the State of Uttar Pradesh and Government of India. In the State Government of Uttar Pradesh, he held positions such as District Magistrate, Commissioner, Trade Tax and Secretary in the departments of Institutional Finance, Panchayat, Science \& Technology, Department of Cooperation etc. and the Chief Executive of New Okhla Industrial Development Authority (NOIDA), and Commissioner, Meerut Division.

At the Government of India level, he worked as Director, Youth Affairs from the year 1986 to 1991 and Director General, Nehru Yuva Kendra

Sangthan. In January 1998, he was posted as Managing Director of the National Cooperative Development Corporation (NCDC) and worked till January 2003. He also worked as Chairman, India Potash Ltd. Thereafter, he went back to UP and worked as Principal Secretary, Public Sector Enterprises, Principal Secretary, Food \& Civil Supply;and Principal Secretary and Commissioner, Welfare, UP from March 2003 to January 2004. In January 2004, he took over as Additional Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Government of India and worked till November, 2004. From December, 2004 to June 2007 he worked as Additional Secretary \& Financial Adviser, Ministry of Civil Aviation, Ministry of Tourism and Ministry of Environment \& Forests. He worked as Secretary, Parliamentary Affairs from $31^{\text {st }}$ October 2006 to $30^{\text {th }}$ June, 2007 in GOI. From 1st July, 2007 to $23^{\text {rd }}$ May, 2008, he worked as Chief Secretary, Government of Uttar Pradesh.

He held the position of Chairman, India Potash Limited, a national level organization engaged in import of fertilizers. He also served as a Director on the Board of Directors of National level organizations such as IFFCO, KRIBHCO, NAFED, FAI etc.

He had been Chairman of the Regional Network for Development of Agricultural Cooperatives in the Asia and the Pacific Region (NEDAC) of the FAO for two years from November 1999 to September 2001 and held the position of Co-Chairman of NEDAC (FAO) from 2001 to 2003.

He was also on the Board of Directors of Air India Ltd., Indian Airlines Ltd., IAL Air Transport Services Ltd, Airports Authority of India, Pawan Hans Helicopters Ltd., Hotel Corporation of India, India Tourism Development Corporation.

He has written various papers in international/ national workshops and seminars and has also written a book titled "EK DRISTIKON".

He was appointed Member, UPSC on $18^{\text {th }}$ August 2008.

## Shri VIJAY SINGH

Joined the Indian Administrative Service in 1970. Key assignments held in the State Government include District Magistrate, Bhopal, District Magistrate, Gwalior, Commissioner Jabalpur Division, Commissioner Indore Division, Home Secretary to the State Government from January 1994 to August 1996 and Chief Secretary, Government of MP from 2004-2006.

Served in the Central Government at the level of Deputy Secretary/Director, Joint Secretary, Additional Secretary and Secretary. Worked as Coordinator for the festivals of India in France, USA, USSR and Japan from 1983 to 1987. Served as Joint Secretary and Financial Adviser in the Ministry of Health from 1996 to 2001 and as Additional Secretary and Financial Adviser in the Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers and Additional Secretary (Broadcasting), Ministry of Information and Broadcasting from 2002 to 2004.

Served as Secretary, Ministry of Road, Transport and Highways during 2006-2007 and Defence Secretary from $31^{\text {st }}$ July 2007 to $31^{\text {st }}$ July 2009. Appointed Member, Union Public Service Commission in November 2009.

## Mrs. RAJNI RAZDAN

Joined the Indian Administrative Service in 1973 (Haryana 1973). From 1973-1976 worked as Sub-Divisional Magistrate \& Additional Collector (Rural Development Programmes) Haryana. From 1979-85 worked as Director Lotteries and Treasury \& Accounts, Haryana. Worked as MD Haryana Handloom Corporation from 1985-87. In 1987-89 joined as Director, Higher Education, Haryana.

From 1991-92 worked as Director in the Steel Ministry and handled the modernization of SAIL Steel Plants of Durgapur and Rourkela. Worked as Joint Secretary, Programme implementation,
from 1992 and looked after the industrial infrastructure sector. From 1996-1999 posted as Secretary, Cooperation and Public Health. From 1999-2003 served in the Central Government as Joint Secretary, Edible Oils in the Food Ministry. Also did Administration, edible oils, Storage, Commodities Exchange and a save grain campaign. Got the Official Language $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ prize for the Department of Food and Public Distribution for 2 years in 2000-01 and 2002.

In December 2003 was appointed in Haryana as Principal Secretary Housing and also Chairman, Haryana Housing Board.

In May 2006, came to Government of India as Additional Secretary (Pensions) in the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.

Served as Secretary, Pension and Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances during 20072009. Appointed Member, Union Public Service Commission in April, 2010.

## Dr.VENKATARAMI REDDY.Y

Assumed charge as Member of the Union Public Service Commission on 30.06.2011. Earlier he has been Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission, Hyderabad from 18.07.2005 to 15.02 .2011 . As Chairman of the APPSC, has brought in far-reaching reforms in the Examination Systems. Introduced IT applications in almost all spheres of Public Service Commission which helped the finalization of selection process with speed and accuracy. Also, revamped the Interview procedure to bring in more transparency, fair-play and justice.

Prior to that, he has held positions like ViceChancellor, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad; In-charge ViceChancellor of Osmania University and Sri Krishna Devaraya University; Chairman of Engineering, Agriculture, Medical Common Entrance Test (EAMCET) conducted for $10+2$

Students for admission into various professional colleges in Andhra Pradesh; Chairman of Engineering Common Entrance Test (ECET) conducted for Diploma Students for admission into various Engineering Colleges of the State of Andhra Pradesh; Principal, JNTU College of Engineering, Ananthapur and Vice-Principal, JNTU College of Engineering, Ananthapur;

He holds B.E. (Electrical Engineering) - I Class from Government College of Engineering, Ananthapur, 1972, under S.V.University,Tirupathi. M.Sc. (Engg.) in Control Systems Engineering - I Class from PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, 1975, under Madras University. Ph.D. in Electronics \& Communication Engineering from Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 1985. D.Sc (HONORIS CAUSA) from Sri Krishna Devaraya University, Ananthapur, 2007.

He has been involved in the policy making processes of various important academic and administrative bodies and held positions like Chairman of Indian Society for Technical Education, Andhra Pradesh Chapter; Member, Academic Council of Cochin University of Science \& Technology (UGC Nominee); Member, Governing Council of Indian Institute of Information Technology (IIIT), Hyderabad; Member, Board of Governors of Malaviya Regional Engineering College, Jaipur (UGC Nominee); Member, Board of Governors of Regional Engineering College, Calicut (UGC Nominee); Member, Executive Council of Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University; Member, Governing Board of INFLIBNET Centre (Information and Library Network Centre), an autonomous Inter-University Centre of UGC; Chairman, High Power Committee appointed by Government of A.P to select societies to establish new Engineering Colleges and also to consider the request of existing Colleges for additional seats and new branches; Chairman, Hearing Committee for North Zone of AICTE; Member of Selection Committees and Member of Board of Studies
for various Universities; Member of Selection Committee for awarding Australian Scholarships for P.G.Programmes and Research; Member of Indian Society for Technical Education; Member of the State Committee to select persons for the award of "Pratibha Rajeev Puraskaram", constituted by Government of Andhra Pradesh.

He is recipient of many Awards and Honours, and to cite a few: Awarded HONORARY FELLOWSHIP AWARD-2009 by INDIAN SOCIETY FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION (ISTE), which is a life time achievement award presented to distinguished educationists in recognition of their outstanding contribution in the field of Engineering and Management, which was presented at National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Surathkal; "BHARAT RATNA SIR MOKSHAGUNDAM VISVESWARAYA AWARD" for the year 2008 from the Institution of Engineers and State Government of Andhra Pradesh; "BEST TEACHER AWARD" for the year 2005 from Government of Andhra Pradesh; "KEY TO THE CITY AWARD" from the Mayor of Jackson City, USA during the visit to USA from 12-22 June, 2004; Andhra Pradesh Academy of Sciences "DISTINGUISHED SCIENTIST" for the year 2001; "OUTSTANDING ALUMINI" of P.S.G.College of Technology, Coimbatore for the year 2000; "ROTARY VOCATIONAL EXCELLENCE AWARD, 2003" by Rotary Club of Bhagyanagar; "VIDYA BHUSHAN" by Andhra Pradesh Chapter of Indian Press Council;"INNOVATIVE EDUCATIONIST OF THE YEAR 2002" by Metro Vision; "UGADI PURASKARAM" of Telugu Vaibhavam.

He has widely travelled in India and abroad to attend meetings, seminars and conferences. He has visited many important Universities in the Countries like U.S.A., Canada, Australia, U.K., Italy, Cyprus, Japan, Singapore, Thailand, Dubai, South Korea and South Africa.

He is an eminent Professor in different areas of Electronics and Communication Engineering and presented 51 papers in National and International

Journals/ Conferences and also successfully guided nine students for Ph.D Degree.

## Mrs. ALKA SIROHI

Mrs.Alka Sirohi joined the Indian Administrative Service in July, 1974. She has served in various capacities in Madhya Pradesh, as SDM, Collector and District Magistrate, Additional Secretary, Personnel, Administrative Reforms and Training and Additional Secretary, Housing and Environment, along with Executive Director, Environmental Planning \& Coordination Organization and Director General Disaster Management Institute. She has also served as Commissioner Higher Education and has been Secretary/Principal Secretary in the State Government over a span of 15 years from 1991 to 2005, playing a key role in policy formulation in vital sectors of government like Agriculture, Cooperation and Command Area Development, Women and Child Development (where she drafted the first state policy for empowerment of women), Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection; Health and Family Welfare and Bhopal Gas Tragedy Relief and Rehabilitation; Commercial Taxes. She also served as Development Commissioner and exofficio Principal Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development, where a number of systems were devised for ensuring a high quality of rural roads, which were subsequently adopted in other states also.

In the course of her career with the State Government, she took up a number of new innovations in the field of health including the very successful programme of universal immunization in her District in 1981 which aimed at coverage of all children below 5 years of age, against five vaccine preventable diseases including polio. The approach adopted was similar to that subsequently adopted in the modern day national Pulse Polio Programme.

In Government of India she has served in the Department of Defence Production and subsequently as Additional Secretary in the Department of Consumer Affairs, where she was closely associated with the designing and launching of a multi media campaign for consumer awareness titled 'Jago Grahak Jago'. She has been Secretary, Department of Food and Public Distribution in Government of India from September, 2008 till October, 2010, and prepared a comprehensive draft of a Food Security Law. She was Secretary, Department of Personnel and Training from November, 2010, which charge she was holding till January, 2012. During this period, she was closely associated with the drafting of several anticorruption legislations.

She has a long experience of Personnel Management.

## Appendix-2

(Vide Chapter 3, 4 \& 6)
Recommendations made by the Commission - Relating to suitability of candidates/officials

| S. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of posts/candidates finalized during |  | Percent variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011-12 | 2010-11 |  |
| 1. | Direct recruitment by Selection | 1339 | 1272 | +5.27\% |
| a) | Engineering Posts | 643 | 455 | +41.32 \% |
| b) | Medical Posts | 231 | 215 | +7.44\% |
| c) | Scientific \& Technical Posts | 223 | 343 | -34.99 \% |
| d) | Non-Technical Posts | 242 | 259 | -6.56\% |
| 2. | Recruitment by examination | 6863* | 4896* | +40.18 \% |
| a) | Civil Posts/Services | 5007* | 3079* | +62.62 \% |
| b) | Defence Services | 1856 | 1817 | +2.15\% |

* Including candidates recommended through Reserve List.


## Appendix-3

(Vide Chapter 12)
Recommendations made by the Commission - Relating to
Service matters, Seniority etc.

| S. | Particulars | No. of cases |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| No. |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | Percent <br> variation |
| 1. | Service matters | 25 | 11 | $+127.27 \%$ |
| 2. | Determination of seniority (No. of cases) | 4 | 10 | $-60.00 \%$ |

## Appendix-4

(Vide Chapter-3)

## Recruitment by Examination-Details of Recommendation made during 2011-2012 For CIVIL Posts/Services

| Particulars | No. of Posts | No. of Candidates |  |  | Recommended | RPR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Applied | Appeared | Interviewed |  |  |
| Examinations Held During The Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Services (P) Examination, 2011 | - | 499120 | 243236 | NA | NA | NA |
| Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2011 | - | 11837 | 11237 | - | - | - |
| Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011 | 85 | 67168 | 10427 | 241 | 85 | 1.00 |
| Engineering Services Examination, 2011 | 778 | 191869 | 52685 | 2004 | \$ 693 | 0.89 |
| Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2011 | - | 9799 | - | - | - | - |
| Geologists' Examination, 2011 | - | 6037 | 2546 | - | - | - |
| Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2011 | - | 162393 | 79284 | - | - | - |
| Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2011 | 42 | 197759 | 84407 | 161 | 42 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, } \\ & 2012 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 190165 | - | - | - | - |
| Central Industrial Security Force (Assistant Commandants) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2010 \& 2011 | 41 | 729 | 578 | 141 | 41 | 1.00 |
| Results declared during the Year based on Examinations, held in previous years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010 | 1043 | 12271* | 11865* | 2587 | £ 921 | 0.88 |
| Engineering Services Examination, 2010 | 657 | 157649* | 53877* | 1719 | @ 585 | 0.89 |
| Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010 | 61 | 7525* | 1195 | 92 | 157 | 0.93 |
| Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, 2010 | 44 | 165038* | 87959 | 193 | 44 | 1.00 |
| Geologists' Examination, 2010 | 303 | 5262^ | 2235* | 592 | **236 | 0.78 |
| Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) <br> Examination, 2010 | 970 | 135268* | 70076* | 1740 | 970 | 1.00 |
| Combined Medical Services Examination, 2011 | 387 | 33875* | 19341 | 468 | d 352 | 0.91 |
| Section Officers'/Stenographers' (Grade ' B '/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006, 2007 \& 2008 | 948 | 2321* | 1712* | 1154¢ | $\neq 674$ | 0.71 |
| TOTAL | 5359 | 1336876 | 592895 | 11092 | 4700 | 0.88 |

NA Not applicable.
-- Information not yet available.

* Information already furnished in last report, figures not included in total.
\$ 7 post of PH in General Category remained unfilled and remaining candidates will be recommended through Reserve list.
$\mathcal{E}$ Candidature of one candidate withheld and was subsequently cancelled after the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court, remaining candidates will be recommended through Reserve List.
@ 4 PH candidates were not found suitable and candidature of 1 candidate was cancelled after final result, remaining candidates will be recommended from Reserve list.
14 vacancies were kept unfilled due to non-availability of suitable candidates.
*ぇ 16 candidates were common, recommended for both category I \& Category II, 17 PH vacancies not filled as PH candidates were not available, remaining posts will be filled from Reserve List.
$\partial$ Remaining candidates will be recommended through Reserve List.
$\notin \quad$ ACRs/ Service records were evaluated.
$\neq$ Non- availability of candidates at the bench mark fixed by the Commission.


## Appendix-5

(Vide Chapter-3)
Recruitment By Examination-Details of Recommendation made during 2011-12
For DEFENCE Posts/Services

| Particulars | No.of <br> Posts | No. of Candidates |  |  | Recommended \$ | Recommended to Post Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Applied | Appeared | Interviewed |  |  |
| (a) Examinations held during the year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Defence Academy \& Naval Academy Examination, (I), 2011 | 335 | 317489 | 164829 | 4252 | 579 | 1.73 |
| National Defence Academy \& Naval Academy Examination, (II), 2011 | - | 211082 | 107263 | - | - | - |
| Combined Defence Services Examination, (II), 2011 | - | 100043 | 44130 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Combined } \quad \text { Defence } & \text { Services } \\ \text { Examination, (I), } 2012 & \end{array}$ | - | 136641 | - | - | - | - |
| (b) Results declared during the Year based on examination held in previous year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Defence Academy \& Naval Academy Examination, (II), 2010 | 335 | 193264^ | 85854* | 5992 | 480 | 1.43 |
| Combined $\begin{array}{l}\text { Defence } \\ \text { Examination, (II), } 2010\end{array}$ | 520 | 99017* | 50033* | 6046 | 405 | 0.78 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Combined } & \text { Defence } \\ \text { Examination, (I), } 2011\end{array}$ | 522 | 99815* | 50152 | 10199 | 392 | 0.75 |
| Total | 1712 | 765255 | 366374 | 26489 | 1856 | 1.08 |

-- Information not yet available.

* Information already furnished in the last Report, figures are not included in the total.
\$ No. of actual heads recommended.
Appendix－6

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن. } \\ & \text { ழig } \end{aligned}$ | Z | ＇ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { a }}$ | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 1 |
|  | ¢ | Z | I | \％ | ＋ | ＋ | ＇ |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | Z | I | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{3}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ | 1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { IN }}{6} \end{aligned}$ | Z | I | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | \％ | \％ | I |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن́ } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Z | । | gু | ¢ | \％ | ＇ |
|  | H | Z | । | 2 | $\stackrel{\%}{\square}$ | $\sim$ | I |
|  | $\dot{\sim}$ | Z | I | q | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{0 \\ 0}}$ | i | I |
|  |  | Z | 1 | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن́ } \\ & \text { Mín } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline Q_{0}^{0} \\ \text { Nid } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 688 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{8}}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | I |
|  | 永 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 곰 } \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \end{aligned}$ | فِ | $\hat{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{o}}$ | त্ণ̆ | ！ |
|  | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{c} \\ & \stackrel{3}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { d }}{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oig } \\ & \text { Non } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 겆 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | ！ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\pi} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\widetilde{\mathrm{g}}}{\substack{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mo } \\ \substack{0 \\ i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{C}{+} \\ & \substack{\infty \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | ！ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن. } \\ & \text { ழig } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 珨 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ث̀ } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ko } \\ & \text { 茄 } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{N}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ |  | O | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{N}{N}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\circ}{\wedge}}$ |
|  | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline n_{6}^{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \hline \alpha \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { d } \\ \text { d } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bar{\sim}}$ | $\underset{\text { Nu}}{\substack{~ N}}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ó্ } \\ & \text { ু } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\hat{\infty}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{g}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
|  |  | Z | ＇ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{ }{\circ}}$ | \％ | ； |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ゅ் |  | $\rightarrow$ | ¿ | $\cdots$ | ＋ | is | $\bigcirc$ |


| 会 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\text { ن́n }} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | I | I | 1 | 1 |
|  | 感 | ！ | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 1 | ＇ | ！ |
|  |  | 1 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | I | I | I | 1 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\text { In }}{6} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | I | in | ＇ | I | ＇ | I |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن. } \\ & \text { ழ் } \end{aligned}$ | ＇ | \％ | ${ }^{1}$ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |
|  |  | ＇ | $\stackrel{+}{\text { m }}$ | ＇ | 1 | 1 | ＇ |
|  | ن | I | $\stackrel{+}{\text {＋}}$ | ＇ | I | ＇ | ！ |
|  | $$ | ！ | N | ＋ | 1 | ！ | ， |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\text { ن́ }} \\ & \dot{\oplus j} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { oin } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tor } \\ & \text { + } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \underset{A}{N} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { H }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\widetilde{\infty}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\triangle} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oig |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\gtrless}}$ |
|  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \overline{\mathrm{J}} \\ & \hline \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & \text { 学 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{J}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{6}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơo } \\ & \text { Na } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亭 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن́ } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{7}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { H．}}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\circ}{+}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | － | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}}$ | 喜 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{ \pm}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 그주 } \\ & \text { Non } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ợ } \\ & \stackrel{\alpha}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 尔 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ǹ } \\ & \stackrel{N}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{R} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { Ĩ }}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}}$ | Nơ్ర |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{A}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 槀 } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \underset{\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{~J}} \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { con } \\ & \text { Cod } \end{aligned}$ |
| 亿் ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | ＇ | 侖 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ， |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ふ் |  | $\wedge$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $=$ | $\underset{\sim}{ }$ |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Examinations | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { posts } \end{array}\right\|$ | Number of applicants |  |  |  | Number of candidates who actually appeared |  |  |  | Number of candidates Interviewed/ whose service records were evaluated |  |  |  | Number of candidates recommended for appointment |  |  |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | S.C. | S.T. | O.B.C. | Total | S.C. | S.T. | O.B.C. | Total | S.C. | S.T. | O.B.C. | Total | S.C. | S.T. | O.B.C. |  |
| 13. | Central Industrial <br> Security Force <br> (Assistant <br> Commandants) <br> Limited Departmental <br> Competitive <br>  <br> 2011 | 41 | 729 | 124 | 46 | NA | 578 | 105 | 36 | -- | 141 | 21 | 8 | -- | 41 | 6 | 3 | NA |  |
| 14. | Special Class <br> Railways Apprentices' <br> Examination, 2012 | -- | 190165 | 32584 | 7964 | 68491 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | TOTAL | 1281 | 2102131 | 374409 | 131883 | 655003 | 800622 | 130159 | 48277 | 259903 | 6799 | 480 | 209 | 1873 | 1440 | 157 | 74 | 340 |  |
| -- Information not available <br> NA Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix－7

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ن́ | － | $\cong$ | $\cdots$ | a | 5 | 8 |
|  | － | $\pm$ | \＆ | m | m | ה | $\bar{\sim}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ن } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | \％ | － | $\cdots$ | \％ | $\xlongequal{ }$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{\mathrm{J}}{6} \\ 4 \end{array}$ | ন্নু | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | F | in | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن } \\ & \text { Mín } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | －8 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | in | ते | ลิ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | －i | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{9}$ | $\pm$ | in | in | ${ }_{\infty}{ }^{+}$ |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | － | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { a }}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{O}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | \％ | \％ | İ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \underset{*}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \bar{o}_{0} \\ & \alpha_{\alpha} \\ & { }_{n} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\dot{\omega}}{\dot{\omega}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 88 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \frac{2}{*} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \underset{\sim}{\sigma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ن |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\substack{\infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty}}}{ } . \end{array}$ |  | च | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \infty \\ \substack{n \\ \\ \hline} \end{array}$ |  |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\mathrm{x}}{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2 \Omega \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 6 \\ 6 \\ \text { for } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{\circ}{*} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{a}{n} \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | $$ |
|  | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \stackrel{n}{+} \\ \underset{*}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{a}{x} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ن | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\alpha}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ n_{1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ n_{1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ \underset{x}{\infty} \end{array}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{~L}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{y}{x} \\ & \underset{x}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \underset{*}{2} \end{array}$ | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \stackrel{O}{O} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}$ | ＋ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{\sim}$ | 䁍 | 午 | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | 㐌 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ら安 |  | － | － | $\cdots$ | ＋ | in | ${ }^{\circ}$ |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name ofExaminations | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { posts } \end{array}$ | Number of applicants |  |  |  | Number of candidates who actually appeared |  |  |  | Number of candidates Interviewed/ whose service records were evaluated |  |  |  | Number of candidates recommended for appointment |  |  |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | s.c. | s.t. | O.B.C. | Total | s.c. | s.t. | O.B.C. | Total | s.c. | s.t. | O.B.C. | Total | s.c. | s.t. | O.b.C. |  |
| 7. | Combined Defence Services Examination, (II), 2010 | 520 | *99017 | *9555 | *3105 | *25581 | *50033 | *3865 | *1299 | *12873 | 6046 | 191 | 93 | 1106 | 405 | 07 | 06 | 45 |  |
| 8. | Combined Defence Services Examination, (I), 2011 | 522 | *99815 | *10595 | *3541 | *26542 | 50152 | 3981 | 1481 | 13080 | 10199 | 379 | 230 | 1954 | 392 | 05 | 10 | 56 |  |
| 9. | Combined <br> Medical Services <br> Examination, <br> 2011 | 387 | *33875 | *4934 | *2147 | *9632 | 19341 | 2758 | 1230 | 5713 | 468 | 61 | 29 | 209 | 352 | 46 | 29 | 121 |  |
| 10. | Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2010 | 970 | *135268 | *24109 | *13541 | *46857 | *70076 | *10432 | * 6501 | *25938 | 1740 | 194 | 124 | 671 | 970 | 145 | 74 | 255 |  |
| 11. | Section <br> Officers'/ <br> Stenographers' <br> (Grade'B'/ <br> Grade-I) Limited <br> Departmental <br> Competitive <br> Examination, <br> $2006,2007 \&$ <br> 2008 | 948 | *2321 | *370 | ${ }^{*} 106$ | NA | $\star 1712$ | *273 | *76 | NA | 1154 | 194 | 41 | NA | 674 | 117 | 27 | NA |  |
|  | TOTAL | 5790 | *911305 | *121577 | *42063 | *295802 | 158647 | 19524 | 5839 | 54791 | 30782 | 2058 | 986 | 7462 | 5116 | 639 | 314 | 1121 |  |
|  |  |  | ing shorffall in le. yurnished in gures is due to | in total nur <br> in the last R to change in |  | mended can <br> are not inclu <br> fter appearin | ndidates are <br> uded in tot ng in the ex |  | Appendix | $\mathrm{x}-4 \text { and App }$ | endix-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix-8

Number of candidates recommended during the year 2011-12 through Reserve List in respect of examinations in which Reserve List Rule is applicable

| S. <br> No. | Name of Examination | Number of candidates recommended through Reserve List |  |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SC | ST | OBC | Total including General |  |
| 1. | Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2009 | 01 | Nil | 22 | 113 | One more candidate has to be recommended from the consolidated Reserve List maintained by the Commission on receipt of a request from the DoP\&T for the same. |
| 2. | Engineering Services Examination, 2009 | - | 03 | 24 | 69 |  |
| 3. | Combined Medical Services <br> Examination, 2010 | 01 | - | 26 | 40 |  |
| 4. | Central $\quad$ Police Forces (Assistant $\quad$ Commandants) Examination, 2009 | 03 | 02 | 55 | 85 | - |
| 5. | Central Police Forces <br> (Assistant Commandants)  <br> Examination, 2010   | 05 * | 05 * | 72 * | 130 * |  |
|  | TOTAL | 05 | 05 | 127 | 307 |  |

* Figures not included in the total, as figures have been already included in Appendix -4 and Appendix- 7 .
Appendix - 9
Direct Recruitment by Selection
Position, at a glance, on the number of Cases/Posts for which Requisitions were received and

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | 2010-11 |  | 2011-12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of |  | Number of |  |
|  |  | Cases | Posts | Cases | Posts |
| 1 | Balance carried over from previous year | 258 | 1,497 | 233 | 2036 |
| 2 | Fresh Requisitions received | 497 | 2,875 | 295 | 1972 |
| 3 | Recruitment action initiated ( $1+2$ ) | 755 | 4,372 | 528 | 4008 |
| 4 | Requisitions sent back to the Ministries/Departments for clarifications | 243 | 1,028 | 130 | 1511 |
| 5 | Advertisement made for | 258 | 1,327 | 189 | 920 |
| 6 | Requisitions cancelled after issue of advertisement | 9 | 36 | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | Effective cases/posts for action $(3-4-6)$ | 503 | 3,308 | 395 | 2493 |
| 8 | Recommendations made for | 270 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,272 \\ (1,117 \text { candidates }) \end{array}$ | 277 | $\begin{array}{r} 1339 \\ (1,036 \text { candidates }) \end{array}$ |
| 9 | Posts for which none of the candidates applied was found suitable | - | 155 |  | 303 |
| 10 | Recruitment action pending at the end of the year (7-8) | 233 | 2,036 | 118 | 1154 |

# Appendix-10 

(vide Chapter-3)
Services covered by the examinations held during 2011-12

1. Civil Services (Main) examination, 2011

For recruitment to services and posts:
i) Indian Administrative Service.
ii) Indian Foreign Service.
iii) Indian Police Service.
iv) Indian P \& T Accounts \& Finance Service, Group 'A'.
v) Indian Audit and Accounts Service, Group 'A'.
vi) Indian Revenue Service (Customs and Central Excise), Group 'A'.
vii) Indian Defence Accounts Service, Group 'A'.
viii) Indian Revenue Service (I.T.), Group ' $A$ '.
ix) Indian Ordnance Factories Service Group 'A' (Assistant Works Manager, Administration).
x) Indian Postal Service, Group 'A'.
xi) Indian Civil Accounts Service, Group 'A'.
xii) Indian Railway Traffic Service, Group ' $A$ '.
xiii) Indian Railway Accounts Service, Group 'A'.
xiv) Indian Railway Personnel Service, Group 'A'.
xv) Post of Assistant Security Commissioner in Railway Protection Force, Group 'A'.
xvi) Indian Defence Estates Service, Group 'A'.
xvii) Indian Information Service (Junior Grade), Group ' A '.
xviii) Indian Trade Service, Group 'A' (Gr. III)
xix) Indian Corporate Law Service, Group 'A'
xx) Armed Forces Headquarters Civil Service, Group 'B' (Section Officer's Grade).
xxi) Delhi, Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Lakshadweep, Daman \& Diu and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli Civil Service, Group 'B'.
xxii) Delhi, Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Lakshadweep, Daman \& Diu and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli Police Service, Group 'B'.
xxiii) Pondicherry Civil Service, Group 'B'
xxiv) Pondicherry Police Service, Group 'B'.
2. Engineering Services Examination, 2011

Combined competitive examination for recruitment to services/ posts:

## Category I - Civil Engineering

Group 'A' services/posts:
i) Indian Railway Service of Engineers.
ii) Indian Railway Stores Services (Civil Engineering Posts).
iii) Central Engineering Service.
iv) Indian Defence Service of Engineers (Civil Engineering Posts).
v) Indian Ordnance Factories Services (Engineering Branch) (Civil Engineering Posts).
vi) Central Water Engineering Gr. 'A' Service (Civil Engineering Posts).
vii) Central Engineering Service (Roads) Group -A (Civil Engineering Posts).
viii) Assistant Executive Engineer (Civil Engineering Post) (in Border Roads Engineering Service Gr. 'A').
ix) Assistant Executive Engineer (Civil) in P \& T Bldg. Works (Gr. 'A') Service.

## Category II - Mechanical Engineering

## Group ' $A$ ' services/posts:

i) Indian Railway Service of Mechanical Engineers.
ii) Indian Railway Stores Service (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
iii) Central Water Engineering Gr. 'A' Service (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
iv) Central Power Engineering Service (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
v) Indian Ordnance Factories Service (Engineering Branch) (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
vi) Indian Naval Armament Service (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
vii) Assistant Executive Engineer Group 'A’ (Mech. Engg. Posts) in the corps of EME, Ministry of Defence.
viii) Assistant Naval Stores Officer, Grade-I (Mechanical Engineering Posts) in Indian Navy.
ix) Central Electrical \& Mechanical Engineering Service (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
x) Assistant Executive Engineer Group 'A' in the Geological Survey of India.
xi) Indian Supply Service, Group 'A' (Mechanical Engineering Posts).
xii) Indian Defence Service of Engineers (Mechanical Engineering Posts).

## Category III - Electrical Engineering

Group 'A' services/ posts:
i) Indian Railway Service of Electrical Engineers.
ii) Indian Railway Stores Service (Electrical Engineering Posts).
iii) Central Electrical and Mechanical Engineering Service (Electrical Engg Posts).
iv) Indian Naval Armament Service (Electrical Engineering Posts).
v) Indian Ordnance Factories Service (Engineering Branch) (Electrical Engineering posts).
vi) Central Power Engineering Service (Electrical Engineering Posts).
vii) Indian Defence Service of Engineers (Electrical Engineering Posts).
viii) Assistant Naval Stores Officer, Grade-I (Electrical Engineering Posts) in Indian Navy.
ix) Indian Supply Service Group 'A' (Electrical Engineering Posts).

## Category IV - Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

## Group A \& B services/ posts:

i) Indian Railway Service of Signal Engineers.
ii) Indian Railway Stores Service (Telecommunication/ Electronics Engineering Posts).
iii) Indian Ordnance Factories Service (Engineering Branch) (Electronics Engineering Posts).
iv) Indian Naval Armament Service (Electronics Engineering Posts).
v) Assistant Executive Engineer Group 'A' (Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Posts) in the Corps of E.M.E., Ministry of Defence.
vi) Engineer (GCS- Gr. 'A') in Wireless Planning and Co-ordination Wing/ Monitoring Organisation. (Ministry of Communications \& I.T., D/o Telecommunications).
vii) Assistant Naval Stores Officer Grade-I (Electronics \& Telecom Engineering Posts) in Indian Navy.
viii) Indian Supply Service, Group 'A' (Electronics \& Telecommunication Engineering Posts).
ix) Indian Telecommunication Service Gr.' A'.
x) Junior Telecom Officer Group 'B' in Indian Telecommunication Service.
3. Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

Indian Forest Service.
4. Special Class Railway Apprentices' Examination, 2011 and 2012

For selection of candidates for appointment as Special Class Apprentices in Mechanical Department of Indian Railways.
5. National Defence Academy and Naval Academy Examination, (I) \& (II), 2011

For admission to Army, Navy and Air Force Wings of the National Defence Academy \& Indian Naval Academy Course (INAC).
6. Combined Defence Services Examinations (II), 2011 and Combined Defence Services Examinations (I), 2012

For admission to:
i) Indian Military Academy.
ii) Indian Naval Academy.
iii) Air Force Academy.
iv) Officers Training Academy, SSC Course (for Men).
v) Officers Training Academy, SSC Women (NonTechnical) Course.
7. Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2011

For Recruitment of Assistant Commandants (Group A) in the Central Police Forces:
i) Border Security Force.
ii) Central Reserve Police Force.
iii) Central Industrial Security Force.
iv) Indo- Tibetan Border Police.
v) Sashastra Seema Bal.
8. Indian Economic Service/ Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2011
Combined competitive examination for recruitment to Grade IV of the following services:
i) Indian Economic Service
ii) Indian Statistical Service

## 9. Geologists' Examination, 2011

For recruitment to posts:
Category-I (Posts in the Geological Survey of India, Ministry of Mines).

Geologist- Group -A.
Category-II (Posts in the Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources)
i) Jr. Hydrogeologists (Scientist B), Group A
ii) Asstt. Hydrogeologists Group 'B'.
10. Central Industrial Security Force (Assistant Commandants) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2010 \& 2011

For filling the vacancies of Assistant Commandants (Executive) in Central Industrial Security Force.

# Appendix-11 

(vide Chapter - 3)<br>Presidents of Personality Test Boards for various Examinations

A. Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

1. Prof. K. S. Chalam
2. Smt. Shashi Uban Tripathi
3. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
4. Dr. K. K. Paul
5. Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Nirbhay Sharma
6. Shri I.M.G. Khan
7. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
8. Shri Vijay Singh
9. Smt. Rajni Razdan
B. Special Class Railway Apprentices'Examination, 2010
10. Dr. K.K. Paul
11. Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Nirbhay Sharma
12. Shri I.M.G. Khan
13. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
14. Shri Vijay Singh
C. Indian Economic Service/Indian Statistical Service Examination, 2010
15. Smt. Shashi Uban Tripathi
16. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
D. Combined Medical Service Examination, 2011
17. Smt. Shashi Uban Tripathi
18. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
19. Dr. K.K. Paul
20. Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Nirbhay Sharma
21. Shri I.M.G. Khan
22. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
23. Shri Vijay Singh
24. Smt. Rajni Razdan
E. Central Police Forces (Assistant Commandants) Examination, 2010
25. Smt. Shashi Uban Tripathi
26. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
27. Dr. K. K. Paul
28. Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Nirbhay Sharma
29. Shri I.M.G. Khan
30. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
31. ShriVijay Singh
32. Smt. Rajni Razdan
33. Dr.Venkatarami Reddy Y

## F. Geologists' Examination, 2010

1. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
2. Dr. K. K. Paul
3. Shri I.M.G. Khan
4. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
5. ShriVijay Singh
6. Dr.Venkatarami Reddy Y
G. Central Industrial Security Force (Assistant Commandants) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2010 \& 2011
7. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
8. ShriVijay Singh
9. Dr.Venkatarami Reddy Y
H. Special Class Railway Apprentices'Examination, 2011
10. Smt. Shashi Uban Tripathi
11. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
12. Dr. K. K. Paul
13. Shri I.M.G. Khan
14. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
15. Dr.Venkatarami Reddy Y
I. Engineering Services Examination, 2011
16. Smt. Shashi Uban Tripathi
17. Prof. Purushottam Agrawal
18. Dr. K. K. Paul
19. Shri I.M.G. Khan
20. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
21. Shri Vijay Singh
22. Smt. Rajni Razdan
23. Dr.Venkatarami Reddy Y
24. Smt. Alka Sirohi
J. Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011
25. Shri Prashanta Kumar Mishra
26. ShriVijay Singh
27. Smt. Rajni Razdan
28. Dr.Venkatarami Reddy Y
29. Smt. Alka Sirohi

## Appendix-12

Statement showing the number of candidates who appeared in Civil Services (Main) Examinations, 2010 and 2011 with literature of an Indian Language/Foreign Language as an optional subject

| S. <br> No. | Language (Optional Subject) | No. of candidates who appeared in the year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
|  |  | Paper-I | Paper-II | Paper-I | Paper-II |
| [A] Literature of an Indian Language as an optional subject |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Assamese | 02 | 02 | 03 | 03 |
| 2 | Bengali | 03 | 03 | 05 | 05 |
| 3 | Gujarati | 67 | 67 | 65 | 64 |
| 4 | Hindi | 939 | 938 | 395 | 392 |
| 5 | Kannada | 96 | 96 | 92 | 92 |
| 6 | Kashmiri | - | - | 02 | 02 |
| 7 | Konkani | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Malayalam | 88 | 88 | 98 | 98 |
| 9 | Manipuri | 22 | 22 | 26 | 26 |
| 10 | Marathi | 43 | 43 | 38 | 38 |
| 11 | Nepali | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | Oriya | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 13 | Pali | 345 | 344 | 345 | 341 |
| 14 | Punjabi | 38 | 37 | 48 | 48 |
| 15 | Sanskrit | 107 | 107 | 49 | 49 |
| 16 | Sindhi (Devnagari) | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 17 | Sindhi (Arabic) | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 18 | Tamil | 129 | 129 | 82 | 82 |
| 19 | Telugu | 116 | 116 | 80 | 80 |
| 20 | Urdu | 47 | 47 | 22 | 22 |
| 21 | Dogri | 1 | 1 | 01 | 01 |
| 22 | Maithili | 48 | 48 | 68 | 68 |
| 23 | Santhali | 2 | 2 | 03 | 03 |
| 24 | Bodo | 1 | 1 | 01 | 01 |
|  | Total [A] | 2111 | 2107 | 1437 | 1429 |

## [B] English Literature as an optional subject

| [B] English Literature as an optional subject |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total No. of Candidates [B] with English <br> Literature as one of the Optional Subjects. | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |  |
| [C] Literature of a foreign language as an optional subject |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Arabic | 1 | 1 | 01 | 01 |  |
| 2 Chinese | - | - | - | - |  |
| 3 German | 1 | 1 | 01 | 01 |  |
| 4 Persian | 5 | 5 | 01 | 01 |  |
| 5 French | 1 | 1 | 03 | 03 |  |
| 6 Russian Total [C] | - | - | 01 | 01 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ |  |
| Total No. of candidates opting for Literature <br> of an Indian/Foreign Language as an option- <br> al Subject [A+B+C] | $\mathbf{2 1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 4}$ |  |
| Percentage of candidates with an Indian Lan- <br> guage as an optional subject [A] in relation <br> to the total no. of candidates appeared (11859 <br> in 2010 and 11230 in 2011 in General Studies <br> Paper-I). | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 2 \%}$ |  |

Comparative Statement showing the medium of examination（Indian Languages／English）of candidates who appeared

|  | sәұер！риел 50 OU［E7OL |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{2} \\ & \hat{2} \\ & \mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \\ \infty \\ = \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{n}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{\infty} \\ & \frac{1}{=} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\stackrel{1}{7}}$ | © | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\underset{\sim}{8}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\hat{6}$ | ¢ | فิ | ＋ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ 0 \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2 n}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | 肓 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | पS！®\％ug |  | 1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{N}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \underset{\alpha}{2} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | － | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | $\checkmark$ | N | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\hat{6}$ | ¢ | ف | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ m \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\text { I }}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & m \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{10}{7}$ | $\underset{N}{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{7}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | ！！！Чリ！${ }^{\text {L }}$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $m$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | － | － | $\square$ | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | । | I | 1 | I | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！上eques |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！．1\％OC |  | 1 | $\checkmark$ | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | ， |
|  | opog |  | $\bigcirc$ | N | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 |  | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！iedon |  | $m$ | ナ | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 |  | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | I | ＇ |
|  | ！ınd！uew |  | 10 | $m$ | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 |  | 1 | ＇ | I | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | ＇ |
|  | ！ueyuoy |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | । | । | I | 1 | । | 1 | I | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । |
|  | npı $\cap$ |  | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | N | N | $\wedge$ | N | 入 | N |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | ， |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | ） | $\stackrel{\text { ה }}{ }$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\wedge}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |  | ， | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | N | － | 1 | － | । |
|  | ［！UEL | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \overrightarrow{6} \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \underset{O}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\infty$ | $\pm$ | $\infty$ | $\pm$ | $\infty$ | $\pm$ |  | ， | I | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | ， |
|  | （＊）！¢pu！S | I | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | ＇ | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | （व）！！ | L |  | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । |
|  | 7！－3ysues | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $-\infty$ | $\infty$ | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | I | । | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | I | । |
|  | Iqe¢und | $\stackrel{\omega}{5}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \infty \\ \sim \\ N \end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | m | 10 | $\cdots$ | 10 | $m$ | 10 | 1 | ， | ＇ | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | I | ， |
|  | eK！ıO | $\sum_{0}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | । | $\checkmark$ | । | － | 1 | । | I | I | 1 | I | । | I | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | ， |
|  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l} \hat{0} \\ \sim & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | 人 | ふ | $\infty$ | ন | $\infty$ | a |  | － | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I |
|  | Lue［eSterw |  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda} \\ \text { స} \end{gathered}$ | $\infty$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | $m$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ， |
|  | ！！ıuysey |  | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | । | I | 1 | 1 | । | I | । | 1 | I | ， |
|  | ереииеу |  | $\underset{\sim}{n} \underset{\substack{n \\ c}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \substack{N} \end{gathered}$ | F | 10 | $\Xi$ | 10 | $\ni$ | 10 |  | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ＇ |
|  | ！pu！ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \infty & i \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} & \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \underset{N}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{0}{10}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { d }}{\text { ̇ }}$ | － | 6 | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | I | 1 | 1 | I | 寸 | ̇ | 寸 | $\stackrel{ \pm}{~}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\text { ヘ }}{\text { N }}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | ！甲exe！n¢ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | N | 은 | N | $\bigcirc$ | in | 은 |  | 1 | I | I | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | I |
|  | ！lesueg |  | 成 | 악 | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | N | 10 | N | $\cdots$ | 1 | । | । | ， |
|  | əəsəutess ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | ल | 1 | － | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ |  |  | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | I |
|  | $\boldsymbol{J E O X}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{2}}}{ }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\delta}{8} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{8} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathrm{N}}{2} \\ & \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{~} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{c}} \underset{\sim}{\prime}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{8}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \underset{1}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{2}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\rightharpoonup}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{8}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}}$ | － | ה |
|  | U 0 $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\vdots$ $\square$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { خ̈n } \\ \text { Nu } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\square$ $\sim$ $\sim$ 0 |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  | $9$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \text { I } \\ & < \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | च |  |


|  | адер！риел jo OU［ときOL | $10$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{10}{8}$ | $\pm$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | $\underset{~}{\text { J }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | $\underset{m}{c}$ | $\underset{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\frac{0}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \stackrel{1}{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} n \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{o}{n} \\ & \underset{m}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | － | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{~}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 능 | $\infty$ | す | in | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | in | $\cdots$ | ৯̀ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\frac{10}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\mathrm{J}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \text { N } \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} n \\ \underset{N}{n} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $$ | N | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | N | m |
|  | ！！！บヤ！eW | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ieqzues | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | $\underline{.1 .000 ~}$ | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | opog | I | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ edon $^{\text {eden }}$ | । | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | I | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！unduew | । | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | I | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ueyuoy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | npan | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | $\checkmark$ | । | $\square$ | । | । | । | । |
|  |  | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | $0$ | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | ナ | । | । | । | । |
|  | I！MeL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | － | 1 | $\square$ | । | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | 10 | $\stackrel{10}{\square}$ | L | । | । | 1 | । |
|  | （V）！¢ | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । |
|  | （व）！чри！S | 1 | 1 | । | I | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 |
|  | 7！rysues | 1 | I | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！qe¢̣nd | I | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 1 | N | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । |
|  | eK！IO | । | 1 | । | I | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | $\square$ | । | $\checkmark$ | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！บフе．е | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | । | 1 | 1 | । |
|  | ие［екеге\％ | 1 | I | 1 | I | । | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | I | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！！！uysey | 1 | । | 1 | I | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | I | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 |
|  | ереиueу | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | $\square$ | N | $\checkmark$ | N | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ри！ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | $\ddagger$ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | ヲ | $\pm$ | $\ni$ | $\pm$ | $8$ | $\bigcirc$ | $8$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\cdots$ | N | $\cdots$ | N | $\stackrel{N}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \infty \\ n \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ \infty \\ i n \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\square$ | । | $\checkmark$ | । |
|  | ！ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | $\checkmark$ | N | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ！pesิuəg | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |  |  | । | । | । | I | $\square$ | $m$ | $\checkmark$ | $m$ | । | । | 1 | । |
|  | asouressy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । |  |  | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | $\checkmark$ | । | 1 | । | 1 |
|  | IEOX |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}}}{\substack{\text { d }}}$ |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{8}}{\underset{\sim}{8}}$ | ¢ | $\underset{\underset{N}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0 \\ \underset{N}{\circ}}}{ }$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\delta}}{\underset{\sim}{\delta}}$ | － | $\underset{\underset{i}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{8} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{8} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{亏}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{N}{8}}{\underset{\sim}{j}}$ | － | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}}{ }$ | $\underset{N}{0}$ | $\underset{\underset{i}{2}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}} \text {－}}{ }$ | － | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \cdot \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \exists \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ : \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u} \\ & \text { ¿ } \\ & \dot{E} \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | も |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} \exists \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |


|  | әұер！риел <br> јо ou［ełOL | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 10 \\ \\ \cdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { f }}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{f} \\ & \mathbf{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{ \pm}{\lambda}$ | ＋ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\mathrm{~J}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | ষ | $\stackrel{\hat{o}}{\hat{v}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { cin } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{O}}$ | す | $\sigma$ | Ň | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ñ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { Ǹ } \end{aligned}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | บs！ธิug | $\stackrel{\Im}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Im}{\leftarrow}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ N \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}}{\substack{2}}$ | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{d} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{~ N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \infty \\ N \end{array}\right\|$ | $8$ | す | の | Ň | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{a} \\ & \text { ले } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ e \\ m \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { a }}{\substack{\text { d }}}$ |
|  | ！І！ЧІ！¢ | ＇ | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | ＇ | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ечдиел | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | $\underline{.1 .00}$ | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | opog | । | ＇ | 1 | I | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | I | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ $\mathrm{I}^{\text {edon }}$ | । | । | । | I | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | ＇ | । | 1 | I | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！ndiue ${ }_{\text {u }}$ | 1 | I | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | I | । | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | । | 1 | । | I | I | I | । |
|  | ！ueyuoy | । | I | । | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | I | I | I | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | $\mathrm{np} \Omega$ | 10 | I | 10 | I | I | I | । | I | । | । | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | I | $\square$ | । | $\checkmark$ | । | । | । | । |
|  | n．ธก［9］ | へ | $\bigcirc$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 10 | I | 10 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | 1 | । | । | । |
|  | I！UE $^{\text {L }}$ | $\pm$ | $\wedge$ | $\pm$ | $\wedge$ | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | ＇ | । | । | । | । | $\checkmark$ | । | － | । | I | । | । | । |
|  | （V）！чри！s | । | । | । | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | I | 1 | I | ＇ | 1 | । | 1 | । | I | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | （व）！！${ }^{\text {（ }}$ | । | 1 | । | I | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | ＇ | । | । |
|  | 7！．iysues | । | । | । | I | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | I | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | ＇ | I | । | I |
|  | Iqe¢und | － | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | । | ＇ | । | । | । |
|  | eK！IO | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | ＇ | $\square$ | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | I | । | 1 |
|  | ！บІелew | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | F | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 子 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | ＋ | $\square$ | ＋ | $\square$ | ＇ | । | । | । |
|  |  | $\infty$ | N | $\infty$ | N | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | ！！！uysey | I | । | । | I | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | epeuuey | ＋ | I | ナ | 1 | I | । | । | । | I | $\square$ | I | － | । | । | । | । | । | I | । | I | । | । | 1 | । | I | I | । | 1 |
|  | ！ри！H | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{o} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} + \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 勺 | $\stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\hat{6}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | ＇ | $\checkmark$ | । | － | ले | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | । | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 느 } \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{i n}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ i n \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\wedge$ | $m$ | N | $\cdots$ |
|  | ！qexe！n¢ | $\stackrel{\text { ̇ }}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\text { ̇ }}{\text { N }}$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | I | 1 | । | । | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $m$ | $\checkmark$ | $m$ | $\checkmark$ | । | । | । | I |
|  | ！！esiueg | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | $\square$ | ＇ | $\square$ |  |  | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । | । |
|  | osoursss | । | । | । | I | 1 | । | । | । | ＇ | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | ＇ |  |  | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | I |
|  | 102X | － | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sigma}{\sigma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{8}{8} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & \vdots \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sigma}{3} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{8}}{7}$ | $$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{s}}}{\vec{\sigma}}$ | $$ | $\underset{\underset{N}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{N}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sigma}{3} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sigma}{3} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{8} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\Sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\delta} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{7}{8}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \cdot \stackrel{\Delta}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | － |  |  |  | ¢ |  | ت 1 3 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 烒 } \\ & \text { تِ } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { तु } \\ & \text { ت } \\ & \text { त्यु } \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \exists \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | -1 1 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |


|  | әтер！риел јO OU［E7OL | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{2}}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 10 6 4 | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | ¢ | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{8}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\stackrel{3}{n}$ | oे | $\stackrel{i}{n}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | पs！oxug | ob | $\underset{\text { G }}{\vec{\prime}}$ | $10$ | $\underset{G}{F}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{N}{\Xi}}{=}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\underset{I}{9}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \sim \\ 寸 \\ f \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 士 } \\ & \text { m } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\bullet$ | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | 2 |
|  | ！！！บフ！eW | $\square$ | － | － | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 1 | । | । | I | 1 | 1 | I | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | I | । | I | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | I |
|  | ！eypues | । | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 |
|  | ！．1\％OC | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | ＇ | 1 |
|  | opog | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | I | I | । | ＇ | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 |
|  | ！${ }^{\text {edo }}$ N | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | । | । | । | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । |
|  | ！．Ind！ueW | ＇ | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | 1 | I | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | I | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 |
|  | ！ueyuoy | 1 | 1 | I | । | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | I | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | I | । | I | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 |
|  | npı $\cap$ | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ＇ | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\sim$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 |
|  | n．\％ิโ甲L | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $10$ | ナ | ナ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | । | 1 | 1 | । | N | 1 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | ＇ |
|  | I！UEL | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | N | I | । | I | । | ＋ | $\square$ | ＋ | － | $m$ | $\checkmark$ | $m$ | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | $\square$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | । | । | । |
|  | （V）！¢pu！S | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | I | । | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | I | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 |
|  | （ه）！$!$ ¢рu！ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 7！iysues | ＇ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | । | 1 |
|  | ıqefund | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | $\square$ | $m$ | $\square$ | $m$ | N | $\checkmark$ | N | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | eK！ıO | । | । | 1 | । | 1 | । | । | । | । | 1 | I | । | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | । | I | I | 1 | 1 | । | । | I |
|  | ！บフロエeN | $\ni$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{+}$ | F | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{7}$ | － | N | ナ | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \cdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $0$ | $\cdots$ | $0$ | $\cdots$ | । | 1 | I | 1 | I | I | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | । |
|  | पе［еKеIEN | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | － | । | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | ！！！uysey | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ＇ | । | 1 | I | I | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | I | I | I | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | । | 1 | 1 |
|  | ереичеу | N | － | N | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\square$ | 10 | $\square$ | 10 | $\square$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | । | 1 |
|  | ！pu！H | $\underset{\gamma}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{\gamma}{\alpha}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N | 10 | N | 10 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \wedge \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\frac{\infty}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 6 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \\ \vdots \\ \text { M } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline N \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\vec{\sigma}$ | $\infty$ | N | 1 | N | 1 | ले | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ल | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 1 | । | । | । |
|  | ！exe！n¢ | $\checkmark$ | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | 1 | I | । | ＇ | $\infty$ | $\pm$ | $\infty$ | $\pm$ | $\infty$ | 10 | $\infty$ | 10 | । | 1 | । | I | $\square$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | । | $\square$ | 1 | － |
|  | ！̧esueg | N | 1 | N | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | － | － | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | । | 1 | 1 | I | I | । | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 |
|  | əəsəutss | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | I | I | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 102X | $\stackrel{0}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\delta} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{i}{2}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{\delta} \\ & \text { N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{0}{2} \\ & \text { N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \underset{\sim}{\gamma} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\rightharpoonup}{x} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{8}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\delta}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{8}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\underset{i}{7}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | － | $\stackrel{7}{2}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{ \pm}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت్3 } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \ddot{U} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \exists \\ & \text { I } \\ & \cup \\ & \ddot{U} \\ & . \ddot{U} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { e } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{U} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ |  |  | っ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 1 20 0 0 -0 0 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \uparrow \\ 0 \\ 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 . \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت } \\ & 1 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \tilde{y n} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | -1 1 00 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1 \\ & 1 \\ & 50 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \exists \\ 1 \\ \text { U } \\ \text { ت } \\ \text { U } \\ \text { un } \end{gathered}$ |

## Appendix-14

Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010: Profile of Candidates

1. The Civil Services Examination is conducted in two successive stages viz. the Preliminary Examination and the Main Examination. The Preliminary Examination consists of two objective type papers and is meant for initial screening only. Only those candidates who qualify at the Preliminary Examination are eligible for admission to the Main Examination. The Main Examination consists of written examination of conventional type papers and an Interview. Out of 5,47,698 candidates who had applied for the Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2010, 5,16,606 were found eligible for appearing in the examination. However, $2,69,036$ candidates appeared in this examination held on May 23, 2010. On the basis of results of this examination, 12,493 (4.6\%) candidates were declared qualified for taking the Main Examination. Community-wise and gender-wise details of these candidates are given in Table-1.

Table-1: Number of Candidates who Applied, Appeared and Qualified at the Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2010

| Community | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applied |  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled <br> Castes | $1,04,925$ | 31,577 | $1,36,502$ | 52,010 | 14,673 | 66,683 | 1,761 | 250 | 2,011 |  |
| Scheduled <br> Tribes | 38,482 | 12,884 | 51,366 | 18,375 | 5,680 | 24,055 | 897 | 118 | 1,015 |  |
| Other Back- <br> ward Classes | $1,29,063$ | 38,560 | $1,67,623$ | 69,004 | 18,246 | 87,250 | 4,041 | 366 | 4,407 |  |
| General | $1,32,622$ | 59,585 | $1,92,207$ | 65,327 | 25,721 | 91,048 | 4,337 | 723 | 5,060 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 0 5 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 , 6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 7 , 6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 4 , 7 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 9 , 0 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 9 3}$ |  |

2. It may be seen from Table-1 that out of 5,47,698 candidates who applied for the Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2010, only 2,69,036 or 49.1 percent candidates appeared for the written examination. In other words, 50.9 percent of the candidates applied did not turn up for the examination. Further, the drop-out rate among the candidates belonging to the Scheduled Tribes and General category was higher compared to that of the Other Backward Classes and Scheduled Castes category.
3. Out of 12,493 candidates, $11,865(95 \%)$ had appeared in the written part of Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010 held in October - November, 2010. Based on the results of the written part of
the Main Examination, 2,589(21.8\%) candidates had qualified for the Interview and 2,587 candidates had appeared for the same. In terms of Rule 16 (4) of the Civil Services Examination, 921 candidates were recommended by the Commission during the year under report for appointment to the civil services against 1043 vacancies. The results of the remaining 122 vacancies have not been declared till the end of the year 2011-12, pending receipt of a requisition from Department of Personnel and Training under Rule 16 (5) of the Examination. Therefore the figure of 921 as the number of candidates recommended has been reckoned for this study. Community and gender wise number of candidates appeared, interviewed and recommended in the Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010 are given in Table-2.

Table-2: Number of Candidates Appeared, Interviewed and Recommended - Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| Community | Appeared |  |  | Interviewed |  |  | Recommended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Scheduled Castes | 1,653 | 240 | 1,893 | 312 | 66 | 378 | 112 | 36 | 148 |
| Scheduled Tribes | 835 | 114 | 949 | 146 | 32 | 178 | 59 | 15 | 74 |
| Other Backward Classes | 3,782 | 347 | 4,129 | 732 | 128 | 860 | 231 | 40 | 271 |
| General | 4,177 | 717 | 4,894 | 948 | 223 | 1,171 | 316 | 112 | 428 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 1}$ |

4. A comparative statement, showing the number of vacancies (including those reserved for the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Backward Classes) filled up through the Civil Services Examination during the years 2001 to 2010 is given in Table-3.

Table-3: Year-wise Number of Vacancies - Civil Services Examinations

| Year | Number of Vacancies | Year | Number of Vacancies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 417 | 2006 | $533 \star$ |
| 2002 | 310 | 2007 | 734 |
| 2003 | 457 | 2008 | $881 @$ |
| 2004 | 453 | 2009 | $989 \#$ |
| 2005 | 457 | 2010 | $1043 \$$ |

[^4]5. The number of candidates appeared, interviewed and recommended during the last five examinations are shown in Diagram-1.

6. The success rate of candidates in the examination by broad stream of disciplines are given in Table-4.

Table-4: Success Rate vis-à-vis Broad Stream of Disciplines Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| Educational Qualification | Number of Candidates |  | Success Rate (Percent) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Appeared | Recommended |  |
| I Bachelor Degrees | $\mathbf{6 , 9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ |
| (i) Humanities | 2,135 | 112 | 5.2 |
| (ii) Science | 978 | 48 | 4.9 |
| (iii) Medical Science | 812 | 95 | 11.7 |
| (iv) Engineering | 3,040 | 345 | 11.3 |
| II Higher Degrees | $\mathbf{4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ |
| (i) Humanities | 3,496 | 230 | 6.6 |
| (ii) Science | 1,025 | 54 | 5.3 |
| (iii) Medical Science | 62 | 6 | 9.7 |
| (iv) Engineering | 269 | 25 | 9.3 |
| (v) M.Phil \& PhD | 48 | 6 | 12.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |

[^5]6.1 Thus, in totality, the success rate of candidates having higher degrees was lower than that of those with bachelor degrees.
7. The success rates of candidates in the examination by their academic qualifications (instead of broad stream of disciplines) are given in Table-5.

Table-5: Academic Qualifications of Candidates vis-à-vis Success Rate Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| S1. No. | Academic Qualification | Number of Candidates |  | Success Rate (Percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |  |
| 1 | B.A./B.A.(Hons.) | 1308 | 50 | 3.8 |
| 2 | B.Com./B.Com.(Hons.)/ B.H.M.C.T. | 421 | 31 | 7.4 |
| 3 | B.Sc./B.Sc.(Hons.)/B.Pharm. | 862 | 45 | 5.2 |
| 4 | B.Sc.(Agri.) | 96 | 3 | 3.1 |
| 5 | B.E./B.Tech./B.Sc.(Engg.)/ <br> A.M.M.I.M. | 3040 | 345 | 11.3 |
| 6 | L.L.B. | 406 | 31 | 7.6 |
| 7 | B.V.Sc. \& A.H. | 73 | 7 | 9.6 |
| 8 | B.D.S. | 84 | 9 | 10.7 |
| 9 | B.C.A. | 20 | - | - |
| 10 | M.B.B.S. | 566 | 74 | 13.1 |
| 11 | B.I.M.S./B.A.M.S/B.U.M.S./ B.S.M.S./B.P.T./B.O.T. | 89 | 5 | 5.6 |
| 12 | M.A. | 3126 | 192 | 6.1 |
| 13 | M.Com./M.B.A./M.F.T. | 335 | 36 | 10.7 |
| 14 | M.Sc. | 866 | 47 | 5.4 |
| 15 | M.Sc.(Agri.) | 122 | 5 | 4.1 |
| 16 | L.L.M. | 35 | 2 | 5.7 |
| 17 | M.Tech. | 269 | 25 | 9.3 |
| 18 | M.V.Sc. \& A.H. | 33 | 2 | 6.1 |
| 19 | M.D. | 29 | 4 | 13.8 |
| 20 | M.C.A. | 34 | 2 | 5.9 |
| 21 | Professional of ICAR | 3 | - | - |
| 22 | M. Phil./ Ph.D. | 48 | 6 | 12.5 |
| Total |  | 11865 | 921 | 7.8 |

7.1 As is seen from Table-5, a total of 921 or 7.8 percent of the candidates appeared, were recommended for appointment to various civil services. Of them, $600(65.1 \%)$ were graduates and $321(34.9 \%)$ possessed post-graduate or higher qualifications.
8. The distribution of candidates, who appeared and were recommended for appointment and success rate by the optional subjects, is given in Table-6.

Table-6: Distribution of Candidates who Appeared vis-à-vis Recommended by Optional Subjects Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| S. No. | Optional Subject | Number of Candidates* |  | Success Rate (Percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | Medical Science | 149 | 28 | 18.8 |
| 2 | Management | 35 | 5 | 14.3 |
| 3 | Anthropology | 407 | 49 | 12.0 |
| 4 | Sociology | 1807 | 190 | 10.5 |
| 5 | Animal Husbandry \& Veterinary Science | 69 | 7 | 10.1 |
| 6 | Physics | 243 | 24 | 9.9 |
| 7 | Public Administration | 4724 | 436 | 9.2 |
| 8 | Geography | 3800 | 328 | 8.6 |
| 9 | Geology | 24 | 2 | 8.3 |
| 10 | Psychology | 1139 | 93 | 8.2 |
| 11 | Law | 351 | 28 | 8.0 |
| 12 | Political Science \& International Relations | 1165 | 89 | 7.6 |
| 13 | Commerce \& Accountancy | 356 | 26 | 7.3 |
| 14 | Mathematics | 279 | 20 | 7.2 |
| 15 | Economics | 392 | 26 | 6.6 |
| 16 | Chemistry | 107 | 7 | 6.5 |
| 17 | Mechanical Engineering | 103 | 6 | 5.8 |
| 18 | Zoology | 421 | 23 | 5.5 |
| 19 | Electrical Engineering | 132 | 7 | 5.3 |
| 20 | History | 3432 | 166 | 4.8 |
| 21 | Philosophy | 1866 | 80 | 4.3 |
| 22 | Botany | 264 | 9 | 3.4 |
| 23 | Civil Engineering | 59 | 1 | 1.7 |
| 24 | Agriculture | 205 | 3 | 1.5 |
| 25 | Statistics | 19 | - | -- |
| 26 | Bodo Literature | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 27 | Dogri Literature | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 28 | Sindhi Literature (Devanagari Script) | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 29 | Sindhi Literature (Arabic Script) | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 30 | English Literature | 30 | 8 | 26.7 |
| 31 | Telegu Literature | 118 | 29 | 24.6 |
| 32 | Manipuri Literature | 22 | 4 | 18.2 |


| S. No. | Optional Subject | Number of Candidates* |  | Success Rate (Percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 33 | Tamil Literature | 129 | 21 | 16.3 |
| 34 | Marathi Literature | 45 | 7 | 15.6 |
| 35 | Malayalam Literature | 89 | 13 | 14.6 |
| 36 | Maithili Literature | 48 | 7 | 14.6 |
| 37 | Kanadda Literature | 97 | 14 | 14.4 |
| 38 | Urdu Literature | 47 | 4 | 8.5 |
| 39 | Pali Literature | 351 | 27 | 7.7 |
| 40 | Gujarati Literature | 68 | 5 | 7.4 |
| 41 | Sanskrit Literature | 109 | 8 | 7.3 |
| 42 | Hindi Literature | 955 | 37 | 3.9 |
| 43 | Punjabi Literature | 38 | 1 | 2.6 |
| 44 | Oriya Literature | 17 | - | - |
| 45 | Persian Literature | 5 | - | - |
| 46 | Bengali Literature | 3 | - | - |
| 47 | Santhali Literature | 2 | - | - |
| 48 | Assamese Literature | 2 | - | - |
| 49 | Arabic Literature | 1 | - | - |
| 50 | French Literature | 1 | - | - |
| 51 | German Literature | 1 | - | - |

* Each candidate has opted two optional subjects
8.1 The following points emerge from Table-6:
(i) Public Administration was the most preferred subject among the optional subjects chosen by the candidates, followed by Geography and History.
(ii) Amongst the subjects opted by 100 or more candidates, the highest percentage of successful candidates had opted for Telegu Literature ( 24.6 percent) followed by Medical Science (18.8 percent) and Literature of Tamil Language ( 16.3 percent).
(iii) As far as academic backgrounds of the recommended candidates are concerned, $0.6 \%$ of the candidates were having M.Phil/ Ph.D qualifications. Amongst the rest, $40.2 \%$ were from Engineering, followed by $37.1 \%, 11.1 \%$ and $11.0 \%$ from Humanities, Science and Medical Sciences respectively. However, $92.5 \%$ of optional subjects opted by the recommended candidates were related to Humanities (including literature of languages), followed by $4.8 \%, 1.9 \%$ and $0.8 \%$ related to Science, Medical Sciences and Engineering respectively. This shows that most of the candidates have made a cross domain shift from their original stream (i.e., Engineering, Science and Medical Science) to humanities.
8.2 Distribution of optional subjects opted by the candidates recommended by broad streams of optional subjects in the last five Civil Services (Main) Examinations are shown in Diagram-2.


9. A disaggregated analysis of the candidates recommended for appointment shows that 148 candidates or 16.1 percent belonged to the Scheduled Castes community, 74 candidates or 8.0 percent to the Scheduled Tribes, 271 candidates or 29.4 percent to the Other Backward Classes and 428 candidates or 46.5 percent to General category.The community and age-wise break-up of the candidates appeared in, Civil Services (Preliminary), Civil Services (Main) and finally recommended is given in the Table 7-A, 7-B and 7-C respectively.

Table 7-A: Community, Age and Gender-wise Distribution of Candidates Appeared Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2010
[Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Community | Civil Services (Prelim) Appeared Candidates |  |  | Age - Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 21-24 years |  | 24-26 years |  | 26-28 years |  | 28-30 years |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { years \& } \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| SC | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 2 0 1 0} \\ & 78.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14673 \\ & 22.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 6 6 8 3} \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12178 \\ & 23.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4797 \\ 32.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10765 \\ & 20.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3590 \\ 24.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{9 5 1 6} \\ 18.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2569 \\ 17.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7480 \\ 14.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1707 \\ 11.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12071 \\ & 23.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 2010 \\ 13.7 \% \end{array}$ |
| ST | $\begin{aligned} & 18375 \\ & 76.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{5 6 8 0} \\ 23.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24055 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4686 \\ 25.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1850 \\ 32.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4333 \\ 23.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1544 \\ 27.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3488 \\ 19.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 1038 \\ 18.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2394 \\ 13.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 8 0} \\ 10.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3474 \\ 18.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \mathbf{6 6 8} \\ 11.7 \% \end{array}$ |
| OBC | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 9 0 0 4} \\ & 79.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18246 \\ & 20.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87250 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15607 \\ & 22.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{6 5 4 7} \\ 35.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14157 \\ & 20.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4346 \\ 23.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13828 \\ & 20.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 3105 \\ 17.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11919 \\ & 17.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 2 2 9} \\ 12.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13493 \\ & 19.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2019 \\ 11.1 \% \end{array}$ |
| General | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 5 3 2 7} \\ & 71.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25721 \\ & 28.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91048 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15144 \\ & 23.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9397 \\ 36.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14601 \\ & 22.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6898 \\ 26.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18533 \\ & 28.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathbf{5 4 5 6} \\ 21.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16173 \\ & 24.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3850 \\ 15.0 \% \end{array}$ | 876 $1.3 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 0.5 \% \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \mathbf{2 0 4 7 1 6} \\ 76.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64320 \\ & 23.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269036 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47615 \\ & 23.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22591 \\ & 35.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43856 \\ & 21.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16378 \\ & 25.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4 5 3 6 5} \\ & 22.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12168 \\ & 18.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 37966 \\ & 18.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8366 \\ 13.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29914 \\ & 14.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4817 \\ & 7.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |

$\mathrm{M} \rightarrow$ Male $; \quad \mathrm{F} \rightarrow$ Female; $\quad \mathrm{T} \rightarrow$ Total
Table 7:B: Community, Age and Gender-wise Distribution of Candidates Appeared - Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010
[Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Community | Civil Services (Main) Appeared Candidates |  |  | Age - Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 21-24 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 24-26 years |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26-28 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 28-30 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 30 years \& above |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| SC | $\begin{array}{r} 1653 \\ 87.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 240 \\ 12.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1893 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 6.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 10.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 242 \\ 14.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline \mathbf{6 2} \\ 25.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ 21.0 \% \end{array}$ | 44 <br> 18.3\% | $\begin{array}{r} 367 \\ 22.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 1} \\ 21.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 596 \\ 36.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 8} \\ 24.2 \% \end{array}$ |
| ST | $\begin{array}{r} 835 \\ 88.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathbf{1 1 4} \\ 12.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 949 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{8 5} \\ 10.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 14.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \\ 14.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 30.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 183 \\ 21.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 4} \\ 21.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161 \\ 19.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 14.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 8 5} \\ 34.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 1} \\ 18.4 \% \end{array}$ |
| OBC | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3782 \\ 91.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 347 \\ 8.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4129 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 269 \\ 7.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 12.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 613 \\ 16.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{6 7} \\ 19.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 813 \\ 21.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \\ 28.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 905 \\ 23.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ 20.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1182 \\ 31.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 19.6 \% \end{array}$ |
| General | $\begin{array}{r} 4177 \\ 85.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 717 \\ 14.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4894 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 478 \\ 11.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ 20.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 966 \\ 23.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 225 \\ 31.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1305 \\ 31.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209 \\ 29.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1305 \\ 31.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 135 \\ 18.8 \% \end{array}$ | 123 $2.9 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 0.6 \% \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & 10447 \\ & 88.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1418 \\ 12.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11865 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 933 \\ 8.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 229 \\ 16.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1942 \\ 18.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 389 \\ 27.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2648 \\ 25.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 376 \\ 26.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2738 \\ 26.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 273 \\ 19.3 \% \end{array}$ | 2186 $20.9 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 151 \\ 10.6 \% \end{array}$ |

$\mathrm{M} \rightarrow$ Male ; $\quad \mathrm{F} \rightarrow$ Female;
$\mathrm{T} \rightarrow$ Total

Table 7-C: Community, Age and Gender-wise Distribution of Candidates Recommended - Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010
[Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Community | Recommended Candidates |  |  | Age - Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 21-24 years |  | 24-26 years |  | 26-28 years |  | 28-30 years |  | 30 years \& above |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| SC | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \\ 75.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 36 \\ 24.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 148 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{8} \\ 7.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 8.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 18.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 33.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 4} \\ 21.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5} \\ 13.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 25.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 19.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 26.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 25.0 \% \end{array}$ |
| ST | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 9} \\ 79.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \mathbf{1 5} \\ 20.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{6} \\ 10.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 26.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 13.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5} \\ 33.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 30.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 6.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 22.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2} \\ 13.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 23.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{3} \\ 20.0 \% \end{array}$ |
| OBC | $\begin{array}{r} 231 \\ 85.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 14.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 271 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 8.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 7.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 9} \\ 25.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 40.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 21.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 27.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 21.2 \% \end{array}$ | 3 $7.5 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 5} \\ 23.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 17.5 \% \end{array}$ |
| General | $\begin{array}{r} 316 \\ 73.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 112 \\ 26.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 428 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 5} \\ 17.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 9} \\ 25.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 28.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 36.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 27.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 25.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 23.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 4} \\ 12.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 3.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} 718 \\ 78.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 203 \\ 22.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{9 2 1} \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{8 8} \\ 12.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \\ 19.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177 \\ 24.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 36.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177 \\ 24.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{4 5} \\ 2.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166 \\ 23.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 6} \\ 12.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathbf{1 1 0} \\ 15.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 9.4 \% \end{array}$ |

$\mathrm{M} \rightarrow$ Male $; \quad \mathrm{F} \rightarrow$ Female; $\quad \mathrm{T} \rightarrow$ Total
10. The following Diagram: $\mathbf{3}$ shows the trend of male candidates who appeared in Civil Services Examination 2010. It may be seen that highest percentage ( $23.3 \%$ ) amongst the appeared male candidates in Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination 2010 were from the age group of 21-24 years. But, in Civil Services (Main) Examination 2010, 26.2\% male candidates appeared from the age group of 28-30 years. However, among the recommended candidates the highest percentage ( $24.7 \%$ ) of males recommended, were from the age group of 24-26 years and 26-28 years.

11. The following Diagram: $\mathbf{4}$ shows the trend of female candidates who appeared in Civil Services Examination 2010. It may be seen that highest percentage ( $35.1 \%$ ) amongst the appeared female candidates in Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination 2010, were from the age group of 21-24 years. But in Civil Services (Main) Examination 2010, 27.4\% of the female candidates appeared from the age group of $24-26$ years and at recommendation stage also $36.5 \%$ of the female candidates were recommended from the age group of 24-26 years.

11.1 It is seen from Table 7-C that the highest percentage of candidates recommended belonged to the age group of $24-26$ years ( 27.3 percent), followed by $26-28$ years ( 24.1 percent), $28-30$ years ( 20.8 percent), 30 years and above ( 14.0 percent) and $21-24$ years ( 13.8 percent).
12. The community and age-wise distribution of the candidates who have been recommended for the Indian Administrative Service (I.A.S.)/Indian Foreign Service (I.F.S.) is given in Table-8.

Table-8: Candidates Recommended for I.A.S./I.F.S. by Age and Community - Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010 [Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Community | Candidates recommended for |  | Age - Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $21-24$ <br> years |  | $\begin{gathered} 24-26 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26-28 } \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 28-30 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 30 years \& above |  |
|  | IAS | IFS | IAS | IFS | IAS | IFS | IAS | IFS | IAS | IFS | IAS | IFS |
| SC | 27 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | - | 4 | - |
| ST | 11 | 6 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| OBC | 53 | 9 | 9 | - | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| General | 60 | 17 | 10 | 4 | 21 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 1 | - |
| TOTAL | 151 | 35 | 24 | 5 | 50 | 10 | 37 | 8 | 27 | 7 | 13 | 5 |

12.1 Community and age-wise distribution of candidates recommended for I.A.S./I.F.S. is shown in Diagram-5.

13. Out of 1,418 female candidates who appeared in the Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010, 203 were recommended for appointment, registering a success rate at 14.3 percent. As against this, 718 out of 10,447 male candidates were recommended which represents a success rate at 6.9 percent. Thus, the success rate of female candidates was significantly higher than that of male candidates.
14. The community and gender-wise success rate of candidates is given in Table-9:

Table-9: Community and Gender-wise Success Rate of Candidates - Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| Community | Appeared Candidates |  |  | Recommended Candidates |  | Success Rate (Percent) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| SC | 1,653 | 240 | 1,893 | 112 | 36 | 148 | 6.8 | 15.0 | 7.8 |
| ST | 835 | 114 | 949 | 59 | 15 | 74 | 7.1 | 13.2 | 7.8 |
| OBC | 3,782 | 347 | 4,129 | 231 | 40 | 271 | 6.1 | 11.5 | 6.6 |
| General | 4,177 | 717 | 4,894 | 316 | 112 | 428 | 7.6 | 15.6 | 8.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |

14.1 It is seen from the Table-9 that the performance of female candidates belonging to various communities viz. Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes and General category was better than that of male candidates of corresponding community.
15. Out of a total of 10,193 persons with disabilities who applied for the Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2010, 4,861 appeared in Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination and 383 qualified. But 328 candidates appeared in Civil Services (Main) Examination and 28 such candidates were recommended for appointment. Of them, 1 candidate belong to Scheduled Castes, 8 candidates belonged to Other Backward Classes and 19 to General community. This includes 3 female candidates recommended for appointment. Further, 5 such candidates qualified at the examination in their first attempt and 14 candidates belonged to the age-group of 30 years and above.
15.1 It is important to mention here that, in all the tables and diagrams given in this appendix, the number of candidates with disabilities has been taken in their respective communities i.e. Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes and General community.
16. The community and gender-wise number of attempts made by the candidates in Civil Services (Preliminary), Civil Services (Main) and finally recommended are given in the Table 10-A, 10-B \& 10-C and also shown in the Diagram-6.

Table 10-A: Number of Attempts by the Appeared Candidates: Community and Gender-wise - Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination, 2010

| Community | Gender | Number of Attempts Made by the Appeared Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| SC | Male | 28844 | 9618 | 4997 | 2918 | 1963 | 1248 | 876 | 1546 | 52010 |
|  |  | 55.46\% | 18.49\% | 9.61\% | 5.61\% | 3.77\% | 2.40\% | 1.69\% | 2.97\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 9829 | 2475 | 1090 | 556 | 294 | 177 | 106 | 146 | 14673 |
|  |  | 66.99\% | 16.87\% | 7.43\% | 3.79\% | 2.00\% | 1.21\% | 0.72\% | 0.99\% | 100.00\% |
| ST | Male | 10396 | 3404 | 1757 | 1021 | 651 | 378 | 265 | 503 | 18375 |
|  |  | 56.57\% | 18.53\% | 9.56\% | 5.56\% | 3.54\% | 2.06\% | 1.44\% | 2.74\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 3824 | 937 | 456 | 228 | 103 | 54 | 30 | 48 | 5680 |
|  |  | 67.32\% | 16.50\% | 8.03\% | 4.01\% | 1.81\% | 0.95\% | 0.53\% | 0.85\% | 100.00\% |
| OBC | Male | 40674 | 11549 | 6505 | 4125 | 2931 | 1973 | 1219 | 28 | 69004 |
|  |  | 58.94\% | 16.73\% | 9.43\% | 5.98\% | 4.25\% | 2.86\% | 1.77\% | 0.04\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 13182 | 2642 | 1149 | 587 | 397 | 198 | 87 | 4 | 18246 |
|  |  | 72.25\% | 14.48\% | 6.30\% | 3.22\% | 2.18\% | 1.08\% | 0.47\% | 0.02\% | 100.00\% |
| General | Male | 43836 | 11971 | 6024 | 3299 | 92 | 62 | 33 | 10* | 65327 |
|  |  | 67.11\% | 18.32\% | 9.22\% | 5.05\% | 0.14\% | 0.09\% | 0.05\% | 0.02\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 19654 | 3773 | 1554 | 706 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 15* | 25721 |
|  |  | 76.41\% | 14.67\% | 6.04\% | 2.75\% | 0.05\% | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | 0.06\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Male | 123750 | 36542 | 19283 | 11363 | 5637 | 3661 | 2393 | 2087 | 204716 |
|  |  | 60.45\% | 17.85\% | 9.42\% | 5.55\% | 2.75\% | 1.79\% | 1.17\% | 1.02\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 46489 | 9827 | 4249 | 2077 | 808 | 431 | 226 | 213 | 64320 |
|  |  | 72.27\% | 15.28\% | 6.61\% | 3.23\% | 1.26\% | 0.67\% | 0.35\% | 0.33\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Total | 170239 | 46369 | 23532 | 13440 | 6445 | 4092 | 2619 | 2300 | 269036 |
|  |  | 63.28\% | 17.23\% | 8.75\% | 5.00\% | 2.40\% | 1.52\% | 0.97\% | 0.85\% | 100.00\% |

[^6]Table 10-B: Number of Attempts by the Appeared Candidates: Community and Gender-wise Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| Community | Gender | Number of Attempts Made by the Appeared Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| SC | Male | 113 | 177 | 221 | 239 | 229 | 179 | 161 | 334 | 1653 |
|  |  | 6.84\% | 10.71\% | 13.37\% | 14.46\% | 13.85\% | 10.83\% | 9.74\% | 20.20\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 25 | 30 | 38 | 37 | 29 | 24 | 20 | 37 | 240 |
|  |  | 10.42\% | 12.50\% | 15.83\% | 15.42\% | 12.08\% | 10.00\% | 8.33\% | 15.42\% | 100.00\% |
| ST | Male | 71 | 112 | 120 | 95 | 112 | 100 | 68 | 157 | 835 |
|  |  | 8.50\% | 13.41\% | 14.37\% | 11.38\% | 13.41\% | 11.98\% | 8.15\% | 18.80\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 8 | 18 | 26 | 19 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 114 |
|  |  | 7.02\% | 15.79\% | 22.81\% | 16.67\% | 14.03\% | 5.26\% | 8.77\% | 9.65\% | 100.00\% |
| OBC | Male | 396 | 496 | 610 | 641 | 646 | 561 | 432 | 0 | 3782 |
|  |  | 10.47\% | 13.12\% | 16.13\% | 16.95\% | 17.08\% | 14.83\% | 11.42\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 36 | 55 | 54 | 51 | 71 | 47 | 33 | 0 | 347 |
|  |  | 10.37\% | 15.85\% | 15.56\% | 14.70\% | 20.46\% | 13.55\% | 9.51\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| General | Male | 958 | 1033 | 1096 | 1013 | 31 | 29 | 17 | 0 | 4177 |
|  |  | 22.94\% | 24.73\% | 26.24\% | 24.25\% | 0.74\% | 0.69\% | 0.41\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 178 | 189 | 196 | 151 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 717 |
|  |  | 24.82\% | 26.36\% | 27.34\% | 21.06\% | 0.14\% | 0.28\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Male | 1538 | 1818 | 2047 | 1988 | 1018 | 869 | 678 | 491 | 10447 |
|  |  | 14.72\% | 17.40\% | 19.59\% | 19.03\% | 9.75\% | 8.32\% | 6.49\% | 4.70\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 247 | 292 | 314 | 258 | 117 | 79 | 63 | 48 | 1418 |
|  |  | 17.42\% | 20.59\% | 22.14\% | 18.20\% | 8.25\% | 5.57\% | 4.44\% | 3.39\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Total | 1785 | 2110 | 2361 | 2246 | 1135 | 948 | 741 | 539 | 11865 |
|  |  | 15.04\% | 17.78\% | 19.90\% | 18.93\% | 9.57\% | 7.99\% | 6.25\% | 4.54\% | 100.00\% |

Note : Only four chances are allowed for General Category candidates. However additional three chances are allowed for PH candidates.

Table 10-C Number of Attempts by the Recommended Candidates: Community and Gender-wise Civil Services (Main) Examination, 2010

| Community | Gender | Number of Attempts Made by the Recommended Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| SC | Male | 7 | 13 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 19 | 112 |
|  |  | 6.25\% | 11.61\% | 18.75\% | 15.18\% | 12.50\% | 8.04\% | 10.71\% | 16.96\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 1 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 36 |
|  |  | 2.78\% | 11.11\% | 27.78\% | 16.67\% | 13.89\% | 2.78\% | 5.55\% | 19.44\% | 100.00\% |
| ST | Male | 2 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 59 |
|  |  | 3.39\% | 6.78\% | 22.03\% | 13.56\% | 16.95\% | 11.86\% | 8.48\% | 16.95\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 |
|  |  | 13.33\% | 13.33\% | 26.67\% | 13.33\% | 6.67\% | 0.00\% | 6.67\% | 20.00\% | 100.00\% |
| OBC | Male | 22 | 38 | 43 | 45 | 23 | 36 | 24 | 0 | 231 |
|  |  | 9.52\% | 16.45\% | 18.62\% | 19.48\% | 9.96\% | 15.58\% | 10.39\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Female | 5 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 40 |
|  |  | 12.50\% | 10.00\% | 27.50\% | 17.50\% | 15.00\% | 5.00\% | 12.50\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |


| Community | Gender | Number of Attempts Made by the Recommended Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| General | Male | 55 | 97 | 85 | 70 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 316 \\ 100.00 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 17.41\% | 30.70\% | 26.90\% | 22.15\% | 0.63\% | 0.95\% | 1.27\% | 0.00\% |  |
|  | Female | 28 | 31 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \\ 100.00 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 25.00\% | 27.68\% | 28.57\% | 18.75\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| Total | Male | 86 | 152 | 162 | 140 | 49 | 55 | 45 | 29 | $\begin{array}{r} 718 \\ 100.00 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 11.98\% | 21.17\% | 22.56\% | 19.50\% | 6.82\% | 7.66\% | 6.27\% | 4.04\% |  |
|  | Female | 36 | 41 | 57 | 36 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 203 \\ 100.00 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 17.73\% | 20.20\% | 28.08\% | 17.73\% | 5.91\% | 1.48\% | 3.94\% | 4.93\% |  |
|  | Total | 122 | 193 | 219 | 176 | 61 | 58 | 53 | 39 | $\begin{array}{r} 921 \\ 100.00 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 13.25\% | 20.96\% | 23.78\% | 19.11\% | 6.62\% | 6.30\% | 5.75\% | 4.23\% |  |


16.1 It follows from Table 10-A and 10-C that while 63.28 percent of candidates appeared in Civil Services Preliminary Examination 2010 in their first attempt, only 13.25 percent of finally qualified candidates could clear the examination in their first attempt. Further, in the second, third and fourth attempts, the percentage of recommended candidates was 20.96 percent, 23.78 percent and 19.11 percent respectively.
17. The University/Institution-wise number of candidates appeared vis-à-vis recommended are given in Appendix-15 and Appendix-15 (Part II) respectively.

## Appendix-15

(vide Chapter 3)

University/ Institution-wise number of candidates appeared vis-à-vis Recommended on the basis of Civil Services (Main) examination - 2010

Table-1 : University/ Institution-wise number of candidates appeared vis-à-vis recommended on the basis of Civil Services (Main) Examination - 2010

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1 | UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI | 874 | 93 |
| 2 | JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY, NEW DELHI | 308 | 49 |
| 3 | UNIVERSITY OF RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN | 615 | 38 |
| 4 | ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI,T.N. | 154 | 35 |
| 5 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, NEW DELHI | 188 | 29 |
| 6 | UNIVERSITY OF MUMBAI, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA | 189 | 24 |
| 7 | UNIVERSITY OF PUNE, PUNE, MAHARASHTRA | 249 | 21 |
| 8 | UNIVERSITY OF ALLAHABAD, ALLAHABAD, U.P. | 837 | 21 |
| 9 | OSMANIA UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 128 | 19 |
| 10 | MAHARASHTRA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, NASHIK, MAHARASHTRA. | 152 | 19 |
| 11 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR, U.P. | 141 | 18 |
| 12 | VISVESWARAIAH TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, BELGAUM, KARNATAKA. | 154 | 18 |
| 13 | PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH, PUNJAB | 177 | 17 |
| 14 | UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA | 78 | 15 |
| 15 | JAWAHARLAL NEHRU TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 132 | 14 |
| 16 | UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS, CHENNAI, T.N. | 126 | 13 |
| 17 | GAUTAM BUDDH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW, U.P. | 200 | 13 |
| 18 | TAMIL NADU DR M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI, T.N. | 66 | 11 |
| 19 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA | 92 | 11 |
| 20 | UNIVERSITY OF LUCKNOW, LUCKNOW, U.P. | 247 | 11 |
| 21 | INDIRA GANDHI NATIONAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, NEW DELHI | 150 | 10 |
| 22 | BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI, RAJASTHAN | 40 | 9 |
| 23 | BABA FARID UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, FARIDKOT, PUNJAB | 61 | 9 |
| 24 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, W.B. | 75 | 9 |
| 25 | JAI NARAINVYAS UNIVERSITY, JODHPUR, RAJASTHAN. | 89 | 9 |
| 26 | KURUKSHETRA UNIVERSITY, KURUKSHETRA, HARYANA | 97 | 9 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 27 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, ROORKEE, UTTARAKHAND | 116 | 9 |
| 28 | CHHATRAPATI SHAHUJI MAHARAJ UNIVERSITY, KANPUR, U.P. | 374 | 9 |
| 29 | RAJIV GANDHI PROUDYOGIKIVISHWAVIDYALAYA, BHOPAL, M.P. | 106 | 8 |
| 30 | MAGADH UNIVERSITY, BODH GAYA, BIHAR | 126 | 8 |
| 31 | BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY,VARANASI, U.P. | 214 | 8 |
| 32 | THAPAR UNIVERSITY, PATIALA, PUNJAB | 38 | 7 |
| 33 | BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY, COIMBATORE,T.N. | 50 | 7 |
| 34 | N.T.R. UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES,VIJAYAWADA, A.P. | 57 | 7 |
| 35 | BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 70 | 7 |
| 36 | ANDHRA UNIVERSITY,VISAKHAPATNAM, A.P. | 72 | 7 |
| 37 | PONDICHERRY UNIVERSITY, PUDUCHERRY | 25 | 6 |
| 38 | UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, KOZHIKODE, KERALA | 37 | 6 |
| 39 | MAHATMA PHULE KRISHIVIDYAPEETH, RAHURI, MAHARASHTRA | 51 | 6 |
| 40 | RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES KARNATAKA, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 59 | 6 |
| 41 | RASHTRASANT TUKADOJI MAHARAJ NAGPUR UNIVERSITY, NAGPUR, MAHARASHTRA | 61 | 6 |
| 42 | GUJARAT UNIVERSITY, AHMEDABAD, GUJARAT | 65 | 6 |
| 43 | SHIVAJI UNIVERSITY, KOLHAPUR, MAHARASHTRA | 67 | 6 |
| 44 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI, T.N. | 76 | 6 |
| 45 | ALL U.K. UNIVERSITIES EXCEPT CAMBRIDGE, OXFORD \& DURHAM | 16 | 5 |
| 46 | MOHANLAL SUKHADIA UNIVERSITY, UDAIPUR, RAJASTHAN | 28 | 5 |
| 47 | ACHARYA NAGARJUNA UNIVERSITY, NAGARJUNANAGAR, A.P. | 32 | 5 |
| 48 | GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB | 37 | 5 |
| 49 | ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAINAGAR, T.N. | 57 | 5 |
| 50 | DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE, M.P. | 61 | 5 |
| 51 | MAHARSHI DAYANAND UNIVERSITY, ROHTAK, HARYANA | 116 | 5 |
| 52 | PATNA UNIVERSITY, PATNA, BIHAR | 138 | 5 |
| 53 | DR BHIM RAO AMBEDKAR UNIVERSITY, AGRA, U.P. | 186 | 5 |
| 54 | ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, NEW DELHI | 10 | 4 |
| 55 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,WARANGAL, A.P. | 19 | 4 |
| 56 | UNIVERSITY OF HYDERABAD, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 20 | 4 |
| 57 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, ASSAM | 26 | 4 |
| 58 | ACHARYA N.G. RANGA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 27 | 4 |
| 59 | BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MESRA, RANCHI, JHARKHAND | 28 | 4 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 60 | MALVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN | 29 | 4 |
| 61 | BHARATHIDASAN UNIVERSITY,TIRUCHIRAPPALLI,T.N. | 33 | 4 |
| 62 | UTKAL UNIVERSITY, BHUBANESWAR, ORISSA | 33 | 4 |
| 63 | BARKATULLAHVISHWAVIDYALAYA, BHOPAL, M.P. | 68 | 4 |
| 64 | INDIAN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI | 71 | 4 |
| 65 | SARDAR VALLABHBHAI NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SURAT, GUJARAT | 9 | 3 |
| 66 | RAJASTHAN UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN | 17 | 3 |
| 67 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SURATKAL, KARNATAKA | 20 | 3 |
| 68 | SRIVENKATESWARA UNIVERSITY,TIRUPATI, A.P. | 26 | 3 |
| 69 | LALIT NARAYAN MITHILA UNIVERSITY, DARBHANGA, BIHAR | 36 | 3 |
| 70 | MADURAI-KAMARAJ UNIVERSITY, MADURAI, T.N. | 37 | 3 |
| 71 | MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY, KOTTAYAM, KERALA | 48 | 3 |
| 72 | UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA, KOLKATA, W.B. | 50 | 3 |
| 73 | HIMACHAL PRADESH UNIVERSITY, SHIMLA, H.P. | 51 | 3 |
| 74 | BABASAHEB BHIMRAO AMBEDKAR BIHAR UNIVERSITY, MUZAFFARPUR, BIHAR | 52 | 3 |
| 75 | DR RAM MANOHAR LOHIA AVADH UNIVERSITY, FAIZABAD, U.P. | 148 | 3 |
| 76 | DEENDAYAL UPADHYAYA GORAKHPUR UNIVERSITY, GORAKHPUR, U.P. | 164 | 3 |
| 77 | UNIVERSITY OF KOTA, KOTA, RAJASTHAN | 3 | 2 |
| 78 | PERIYAR UNIVERSITY, SALEM, T.N. | 4 | 2 |
| 79 | SRI RAMACHANDRA MEDICAL COLLEGE \& RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHENNAI,T.N. | 4 | 2 |
| 80 | WEST BENGAL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF JURIDICAL SCIENCES, KOLKATA, W.B. | 5 | 2 |
| 81 | TILAK MAHARASHTRA VIDYAPEETH, PUNE, MAHARASHTRA | 6 | 2 |
| 82 | BHARATIVIDYAPEETH, PUNE, MAHARASHTRA | 7 | 2 |
| 83 | KARNATAKA STATE OPEN UNIVERSITY, MYSORE, KARNATAKA | 8 | 2 |
| 84 | VELLORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,VELLORE, T.N. | 8 | 2 |
| 85 | WEST BENGAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, KOLKATA, W.B. | 8 | 2 |
| 86 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAMIRPUR, HIMACHAL PRADESH | 9 | 2 |
| 87 | UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 11 | 2 |
| 88 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CALICUT, KERALA | 12 | 2 |
| 89 | SIKKIM MANIPAL UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH, MEDICAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL SCIENCES, GANGTOK, SIKKIM | 16 | 2 |


| S. <br> No. | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 90 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,JAMSHEDPUR, JHAR KHAND | 17 | 2 |
| 91 | TAMIL NADUVETERINARY \& ANIMAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI, T.N. | 17 | 2 |
| 92 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,TIRUCHIRAPALLI, TAMIL NADU | 18 | 2 |
| 93 | MAULANA AZAD NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BHOPAL, M.P. | 19 | 2 |
| 94 | INDIANVETERINARY RESEARCH INSTITUTE, IZATNAGAR, U.P. | 23 | 2 |
| 95 | MAHARAJA GANGA SINGH UNIVERSITY, BIKANER, RAJASTHAN | 25 | 2 |
| 96 | ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH, U.P. | 26 | 2 |
| 97 | UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, DHARWAD, KARNATAKA | 28 | 2 |
| 98 | KAKATIYA UNIVERSITY, WARANGAL, A.P. | 35 | 2 |
| 99 | SANT GADGE BABA AMRAVATI UNIVERSITY,AMRAVATI, MAHARASHTRA | 35 | 2 |
| 100 | JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA, NEW DELHI | 37 | 2 |
| 101 | TAMIL NADU AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, COIMBATORE,T.N. | 39 | 2 |
| 102 | GOVIND BALLABH PANT UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY, PANTNAGAR, UTTARAKHAND | 40 | 2 |
| 103 | PUNJAB TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, JALANDHAR, PUNJAB | 43 | 2 |
| 104 | UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU, JAMMU, J\&K | 43 | 2 |
| 105 | VINOBA BHAVE UNIVERSITY, HAZARIBAGH, JHARKHAND | 71 | 2 |
| 106 | BUNDELKHAND UNIVERSITY, JHANSI, U.P. | 72 | 2 |
| 107 | M. J. P. ROHILKHAND UNIVERSITY, BAREILLY, U.P. | 96 | 2 |
| 108 | MAHARSHI DAYANAND SARASWATI UNIVERSITY, AJMER, RAJASTHAN | 120 | 2 |
| 109 | AVINASHILINGAM INSTT. FOR HOME SCIENCE \& HIGHER EDUCATION FOR WOMEN, COIMBATORE,T.N. | 1 | 1 |
| 110 | CENTRAL INSTITUTE OF ENGLISH AND FOREIGN LANGUAGES, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 1 | 1 |
| 111 | GANDHIGRAM RURAL INSTITUTE, GANDHIGRAM, T.N. | 1 | 1 |
| 112 | GUJARAT VIDYAPITH, AHMEDABAD, GUJARAT | 1 | 1 |
| 113 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 1 | 1 |
| 114 | INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY, GHAZIABAD, UTTAR PRADESH | 1 | 1 |
| 115 | MODY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY \& SCIENCE, SIKAR, RAJASTHAN | 1 | 1 |
| 116 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SRINAGAR,JAMMU \& KASHMIR | 1 | 1 |


| S. <br> No. | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 117 | THE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF ADVANCED LEGAL STUDIES (NUALS) | 1 | 1 |
| 118 | UTTAR BANGA KRISHIVISWAVIDYALAYA, COOCH BEHAR, W.B. | 1 | 1 |
| 119 | ALLAHABAD AGRICULTURAL DEEMED UNIVERSITY, ALLAHABAD, U.P. | 2 | 1 |
| 120 | BIRLA INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH | 2 | 1 |
| 121 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, LUCKNOW, U.P. | 2 | 1 |
| 122 | NATIONAL ACADEMY OF LEGAL STUDIES AND RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 2 | 1 |
| 123 | SHRI MATA VAISHNO DEVI UNIVERSITY, JAMMU, J\&K | 2 | 1 |
| 124 | SRIVENKATESWARA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, TIRUPATI, A.P. | 2 | 1 |
| 125 | HEMCHANDRACHARYA NORTH GUJARAT UNIVERSITY, PATAN, GUJARAT | 3 | 1 |
| 126 | NATIONAL LAW INSTITUTE UNIVERSITY, BHOPAL, M.P. | 3 | 1 |
| 127 | NIRMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE \& TECHNOLOGY, AHMEDABAD, GUJARAT | 3 | 1 |
| 128 | SHER-e-KASHMIR UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY OF KASHMIR, SRINAGAR, J\&K | 3 | 1 |
| 129 | ATAL BEHARIVAJPAYEE INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY \& MANAGEMENT, GWALIOR, M.P. | 4 | 1 |
| 130 | DHIRUBHAI AMBANI INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY, GANDHINAGAR, GUJARAT | 4 | 1 |
| 131 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SILCHAR, ASSAM | 4 | 1 |
| 132 | NORTH EASTERN REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE \& TECHNOLOGY ITANAGAR,ARUNACHAL PRADESH | 4 | 1 |
| 133 | MARATHWADA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, PARBHANI, MAHARASHTRA | 5 | 1 |
| 134 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, RAIPUR, CHHATISSGARH | 5 | 1 |
| 135 | UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN, BURDWAN, W.B. | 6 | 1 |
| 136 | DR. B.R.AMBEDKAR NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JALANDHAR, PUNJAB | 7 | 1 |
| 137 | ORISSA UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY, BHUBANESWAR, ORISSA | 7 | 1 |
| 138 | ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI, T.N. | 8 | 1 |
| 139 | GAUHATI UNIVERSITY, GUWAHATI, ASSAM | 8 | 1 |
| 140 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DURGAPUR,WEST BENGAL | 8 | 1 |
| 141 | SAURASHTRA UNIVERSITY, RAJKOT, GUJARAT | 8 | 1 |
| 142 | SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY, ANANTAPUR,A.P. | 8 | 1 |


| S. <br> No. | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 143 | VIKRAM UNIVERSITY, UJJAIN, M.P. | 8 | 1 |
| 144 | TAMIL NADU DR AMBEDKAR LAW UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI, T.N. | 9 | 1 |
| 145 | DR B.R.AMBEDKAR OPEN UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 10 | 1 |
| 146 | GURU JAMBHESHWAR UNIVERSITY, HISAR, HARYANA | 10 | 1 |
| 147 | NATIONAL DAIRY RESEARCH INSTITUTE, KARNAL, HARYANA | 11 | 1 |
| 148 | UNIVERSITY OF KASHMIR, SRINAGAR, J\&K | 12 | 1 |
| 149 | CHANDRA SHEKHAR AZAD UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR, U.P. | 13 | 1 |
| 150 | UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE, MYSORE, KARNATAKA | 13 | 1 |
| 151 | ALL U.S.A. UNIVERSITIES | 14 | 1 |
| 152 | SWAMI RAMANAND TEERTH MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY, NANDED, MAHARASHTRA | 14 | 1 |
| 153 | SYMBIOSIS INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, PUNE, MAHARASHTRA | 14 | 1 |
| 154 | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KURUKSHETRA, HARYANA | 16 | 1 |
| 155 | NORTH MAHARASHTRA UNIVERSITY,JALGAON, MAHARASHTRA | 16 | 1 |
| 156 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 17 | 1 |
| 157 | CH. CHARAN SINGH HARYANA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, HISAR, HARYANA | 22 | 1 |
| 158 | INDIAN SCHOOL OF MINES UNIVERSITY, DHANBAD, JHARKHAND | 24 | 1 |
| 159 | VARDHAMAN MAHAVEER OPEN UNIVERSITY, KOTA, RAJASTHAN | 25 | 1 |
| 160 | VEER KUNWAR SINGH UNIVERSITY, ARRAH, BIHAR | 26 | 1 |
| 161 | NALANDA OPEN UNIVERSITY, PATNA, BIHAR | 28 | 1 |
| 162 | GURU GOBIND SINGH INDRAPRASTHA UNIVERSITY, DELHI. | 29 | 1 |
| 163 | MOTILAL NEHRU NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD, UTTAR PRADESH | 29 | 1 |
| 164 | NORTH EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY, SHILLONG, MEGHALAYA | 30 | 1 |
| 165 | DR HARISINGH GOUR VISHWAVIDYALAYA, SAGAR, M.P. | 31 | 1 |
| 166 | GURU GHASIDAS UNIVERSITY, BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH | 46 | 1 |
| 167 | TILKA MANJHI BHAGALPUR UNIVERSITY, BHAGALPUR, BIHAR | 49 | 1 |
| 168 | HEMWATI NANDAN BAHUGUNA GARHWAL UNIVERSITY, SRINAGAR, UTTARAKHAND | 52 | 1 |
| 169 | RANCHI UNIVERSITY, RANCHI, JHARKHAND | 72 | 1 |
| 170 | PT. RAVISHANKAR SHUKLA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, CHHATISGARH | 77 | 1 |
| 171 | CH. CHARAN SINGH UNIVERSITY, MEERUT, U.P. | 146 | 1 |

NOTE:
(i) Universities/ institutions have been arranged in descending order of the number of candidates recommended
(ii) The university/ institution from which the candidates have obtained the highest degree, has been reckoned.

## Appendix-15 (Part-II)

University/ Institution-wise Success Rate of candidates
Civil Services (Main) Examination 2010

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  | Success <br> Rate <br> (Percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | ALL U.K. UNIVERSITIES EXCEPT CAMBRIDGE, OXFORD \& DURHAM | 16 | 5 | 31.3 |
| 2 | PONDICHERRY UNIVERSITY, PUDUCHERRY | 25 | 6 | 24.0 |
| 3 | ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI,T.N. | 154 | 35 | 22.7 |
| 4 | BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI, RAJASTHAN | 40 | 9 | 22.5 |
| 5 | UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA | 78 | 15 | 19.2 |
| 6 | THAPAR UNIVERSITY, PATIALA, PUNJAB | 38 | 7 | 18.4 |
| 7 | MOHANLAL SUKHADIA UNIVERSITY, UDAIPUR, RAJASTHAN | 28 | 5 | 17.9 |
| 8 | TAMIL NADU DR M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI,T.N. | 66 | 11 | 16.7 |
| 9 | UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, KOZHIKODE, KERALA | 37 | 6 | 16.2 |
| 10 | JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY, NEW DELHI | 308 | 49 | 15.9 |
| 11 | ACHARYA NAGARJUNA UNIVERSITY, NAGARJUNANAGAR,A.P. | 32 | 5 | 15.6 |
| 12 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, NEW DELHI | 188 | 29 | 15.4 |
| 13 | OSMANIA UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 128 | 19 | 14.8 |
| 14 | BABA FARID UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, FARIDKOT, PUNJAB | 61 | 9 | 14.8 |
| 15 | BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY, COIMBATORE,T.N. | 50 | 7 | 14.0 |
| 16 | GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB | 37 | 5 | 13.5 |
| 17 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR, U.P. | 141 | 18 | 12.8 |
| 18 | UNIVERSITY OF MUMBAI, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA | 189 | 24 | 12.7 |
| 19 | MAHARASHTRA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, NASHIK, MAHARASHTRA. | 152 | 19 | 12.5 |
| 20 | N.T.R. UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, VIJAYAWADA, A.P. | 57 | 7 | 12.3 |
| 21 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, W.B. | 75 | 9 | 12.0 |
| 22 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA | 92 | 11 | 12.0 |
| 23 | MAHATMA PHULE KRISHI VIDYAPEETH, RAHURI, MAHARASHTRA | 51 | 6 | 11.8 |
| 24 | VISVESWARAIAH TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, BELGAUM, KARNATAKA. | 154 | 18 | 11.7 |
| 25 | UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI | 874 | 93 | 10.6 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of University/ Institution | Number of candidates |  | Success <br> Rate <br> (Percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appeared | Recommended |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 26 | JAWAHARLAL NEHRU TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, HYDERABAD, A.P. | 132 | 14 | 10.6 |
| 27 | UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS, CHENNAI,T.N. | 126 | 13 | 10.3 |
| 28 | RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES KARNATAKA, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 59 | 6 | 10.2 |
| 29 | JAI NARAIN VYAS UNIVERSITY, JODHPUR, RAJASTHAN. | 89 | 9 | 10.1 |
| 30 | BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA | 70 | 7 | 10.0 |
| 31 | RASHTRASANT TUKADOJI MAHARAJ NAGPUR UNIVERSITY, NAGPUR, MAHARASHTRA | 61 | 6 | 9.8 |
| 32 | ANDHRA UNIVERSITY,VISAKHAPATNAM, A.P. | 72 | 7 | 9.7 |
| 33 | PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH, PUNJAB | 177 | 17 | 9.6 |
| 34 | KURUKSHETRA UNIVERSITY, KURUKSHETRA, HARYANA | 97 | 9 | 9.3 |
| 35 | GUJARAT UNIVERSITY, AHMEDABAD, GUJARAT | 65 | 6 | 9.2 |
| 36 | SHIVAJI UNIVERSITY, KOLHAPUR, MAHARASHTRA | 67 | 6 | 9.0 |
| 37 | ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAINAGAR,T.N. | 57 | 5 | 8.8 |
| 38 | UNIVERSITY OF PUNE, PUNE, MAHARASHTRA | 249 | 21 | 8.4 |
| 39 | DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE, M.P. | 61 | 5 | 8.2 |
| 40 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI,T.N. | 76 | 6 | 7.9 |
| 41 | INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, ROORKEE, UTTARAKHAND | 116 | 9 | 7.8 |
| 42 | RAJIV GANDHI PROUDYOGIKIVISHWAVIDYALAYA, BHOPAL, M.P. | 106 | 8 | 7.5 |
| 43 | INDIRA GANDHI NATIONAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, NEW DELHI | 150 | 10 | 6.7 |
| 44 | GAUTAM BUDDH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW, U.P. | 200 | 13 | 6.5 |
| 45 | MAGADH UNIVERSITY, BODH GAYA, BIHAR | 126 | 8 | 6.3 |
| 46 | UNIVERSITY OF RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN | 615 | 38 | 6.2 |
| 47 | UNIVERSITY OF LUCKNOW, LUCKNOW, U.P. | 247 | 11 | 4.5 |
| 48 | MAHARSHI DAYANAND UNIVERSITY, ROHTAK, HARYANA | 116 | 5 | 4.3 |
| 49 | BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY,VARANASI, U.P. | 214 | 8 | 3.7 |
| 50 | PATNA UNIVERSITY, PATNA, BIHAR | 138 | 5 | 3.6 |
| 51 | DR BHIM RAO AMBEDKAR UNIVERSITY, AGRA, U.P. | 186 | 5 | 2.7 |
| 52 | UNIVERSITY OF ALLAHABAD, ALLAHABAD, U.P. | 837 | 21 | 2.5 |
| 53 | CHHATRAPATI SHAHUJI MAHARAJ UNIVERSITY, KANPUR, U.P. | 374 | 9 | 2.4 |

NOTE:
(i) Universities/ institutions have been arranged in descending order of the success rate of their candidates.
(ii) Only those universities/ institutions from which five or more candidates were recommended, have been depicted
(iii) The university/ institution from which the candidates have obtained the highest degree, has been reckoned.

## Appendix-16

## Engineering Services Examination, 2010: Profile of Candidates

1. The Engineering Services Examination is conducted by the Commission in two stages - the written examination followed by the Personality Test. The written examination consists of three objective type and two conventional type papers for each of the four disciplines and carries a total of 1,000 marks whereas the Personality Test carries a maximum of 200 marks. Only those candidates who qualify at the written examination are eligible to attend the Personality Test.
2. The number of vacancies reported by the Government for filling up the posts in four disciplines of engineering through the Engineering Services Examination, 2010 are as follows:

|  | Discipline |  | Number ofVacancies |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (i) | Civil Engineering | - | 199 |
| (ii) | Mechanical Engineering | - | 158 |
| (iii) | Electrical Engineering | - | 117 |
| (iv) | Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering | - | 183 |
|  | Total | - | $\mathbf{6 5 7}$ |

3. Out of $1,57,649$ candidates who had applied for the Engineering Services Examination 2010, $52,867 \star(33.5 \%)$ candidates appeared at the written examination. On the basis of results of this examination, $1,854(3.5 \%)$ candidates were declared qualified. Of those, 1,719 candidates attended the Personality Test and 584 candidates were recommended for appointment to the various engineering services/posts initially and one more candidate was recommended at later stage, hence number of recommended candidates has been taken as 585 instead of 584 for this study. The result of remaining 72 vacancies has not been declared till the end of the year 2011-12. Community and Gender wise details of the candidates applied and appeared in written part of the examination are given in Table-1.

Table-1: Number of Candidates who Applied and Appeared - Engineering Services Examination, 2010

| Community | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applied |  |  | Appeared |  |  | Drop-out Rate (\%) |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
| Scheduled Castes | 19,857 | 3,971 | 23,828 | 6,853 | 1,228 | 8,081 | 66.1\% |
| Scheduled Tribes | 6,417 | 1,003 | 7,420 | 2,319 | 338 | 2,657 | 64.2\% |
| Other Backward Classes | 39,153 | 9,309 | 48,462 | 14,223 | 2,622 | 16,845 | 65.2\% |
| General | 60,346 | 17,593 | 77,939 | 20,495 | 4,789 | 25,284 | 67.6\% |
| Total | 1,25,773 | 31,876 | 1,57,649 | 43,890 | 8,977 | 52,867* | 66.5\% |

[^7]3.1 It is seen from Table-1 that out of 1,57,649 candidates who applied for the Engineering Services Examination, 2010, only 52,867 or $33.5 \%$ of the candidates appeared for the written examination. In other words, $66.5 \%$ of the candidates did not turn up for the examination. Further, the drop-out rate among the candidates belonging to the General Category was maximum as compared to the drop-out rate of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Backward Classes.
3.2 The number of candidates appeared at the written examination, attended the Personality Test and recommended for appointment in the last five examinations are shown in Diagram-1.

4. The distribution of candidates by their academic qualifications (i.e. higher qualifications vis-à-vis minimum prescribed qualifications) and division at College/University level in respect of candidates, who attended the Personality Test and were recommended, is given in Table-2.

Table-2 : Number of Candidates by Academic Qualifications and Division -
Engineering Services Examination, 2010

| Academic <br> Qualifications | Candidates who Attended the Personality |  | Candidates Recommended |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First Division | Other <br> than First <br> Division | Total | First Division | Other <br> than First <br> Division | Total |
| Bachelor Degrees | 1,322 | 113 | 1,435 | 446 | 33 | 479 |
| Higher <br> Degrees | 275 | 9 | 284 | 102 | 4 | 106 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 5 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 5}$ |

4.1 It follows from Table-2 that while 81.9 percent of the candidates recommended for appointment were graduates, remaining 18.1 percent possessed higher qualifications. Further, the percentage of graduates and higher degree holders among the candidates who attended the Personality Test, was 83.5 percent and 16.5 percent respectively.
4.2 It is seen from Table-2 that out of 585 candidates recommended for appointment, 548 candidates or 93.7 percent were having first division and 37 candidates or 6.3 percent were having second or lower division at College/University level. Further, the percentage of first divisioner candidates among the candidates who attended the Personality Test was 92.9 percent.
5. The distribution of candidates having regular degrees like M.E./ M.Sc./ B.Tech./ B.Sc.(Engg.) etc. from the Universities on one hand and having equivalent qualifications like Associate Membership/ Graduate Membership awarded by the Institution of Engineers (India)/Institution of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineers/Aeronautical Society of India etc. on the other hand is given in Table-3.

Table-3 : Number of Candidates having Regular Degrees vis-à-vis Equivalent Qualifications Engineering Services Examination, 2010

| Academic Qualifications | Number of Candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attended the Personality Test | Recommended |
| I Regular Degrees <br> (a) First Division <br> (i) B.E./B.Tech./B.Sc.(Engineering) <br> (ı) M.E./M.Tech./M.Sc.(Engineering) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,322 \\ 275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 446 \\ & 102 \end{aligned}$ |
| (b) Other Divisions <br> (i) B.E./B.Tech./B.Sc.(Engineering) <br> (ii) M.E./M.Tech./M.Sc.(Engineering) | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Sub-Total | 1,691 | 577 |
| II Equivalent Qualifications <br> Section 'A' and 'B' of Institution of Engineers (India) /Graduate Membership Examination of Institution of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineers/Associate Membership Examination of Aeronautical Society of India, etc. | 28 | 8 |
| Total | 1,719 | 585 |

5.1 It is seen that out of a total of 585 candidates recommended, 577 ( $98.6 \%$ ) candidates possess regular degrees from the Universities and only 8 ( $1.4 \%$ ) candidates possess equivalent qualifications awarded by the Institution of Engineers (India)/Institution of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering etc.
6. Discipline-wise and level of degrees of candidates who attended the Personality Test vis-à-vis those recommended for appointment is given in Table-4.

Table-4: Discipline-wise and Qualifications-wise Number of Candidates -
Engineering Services Examination, 2010

| Discipline Candidates who Attended the <br> Personality Test  Candidates Recommended  <br>  Bachelor <br> Degrees Higher <br> Degrees Total Bachelor <br> Degrees | Higher <br> Degrees | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 435 | 116 | 551 | 140 | 38 | 178 |
| Mechanical | 361 | 66 | 427 | 112 | 23 | 135 |
| Electrical | 250 | 41 | 291 | 84 | 18 | 102 |
| Electronics and Telecommunication | 389 | 61 | 450 | 143 | 27 | 170 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 5}$ |

6.1 It follows from Table- 4 that out of 585 candidates recommended for appointment, 178 candidates or 30.4 percent were recommended for Civil engineering posts, 135 candidates or 23.1 percent for Mechanical engineering posts, 102 candidates or 17.4 percent for Electrical engineering posts and 170 candidates or 29.1 percent for Electronics and Telecommunication engineering posts.
6.2 Out of the recommended candidates, the percentage of candidates having higher qualifications in Civil, Mechanical, Electrical and Electronics \& Telecommunication engineering was 21.3 percent, 17 percent, 17.6 percent and 15.9 percent respectively. Thus, as compared to other engineering disciplines, percentage of post-graduates in Civil engineering was the maximum.
6.3 The discipline and qualification-wise distribution of candidates who attended the Personality Test vis-à-vis those recommended, is also exhibited in Diagram-2.

7. The community and gender-wise number of candidates who attended the Personality Test and were recommended are given in Table-5.

Table-5 : Community and Gender-wise Number of Candidates -
Engineering Services Examination, 2010

| Community | Candidates Attended the <br> Personality Test |  |  | Candidates Recommended |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | 242 | 16 | 258 | 95 | 4 | 99 |
| Scheduled Tribes | 130 | 6 | 136 | 42 | 3 | 45 |
| Other Backward Classes | 563 | 18 | 581 | 168 | 5 | 173 |
| General | 687 | 57 | 744 | 250 | 18 | 268 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 5}$ |

7.1 It follows from Table-5 that the female candidates constituted 5.1 percent of the candidates recommended compared to 94.9 percent for the male candidates.
8. The community, age and gender-wise distribution of the candidates recommended is given in Table-6.

Table-6 : Community, Age and Gender-wise Distribution of Candidates Recommended - Engineering Services Examination, 2010 [Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Community | Candidates Recommended |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 21-24 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 24-26 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26-28 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 28-30 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 30 years and above |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| Scheduled Castes | 95 | 4 | 99 | 28 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Scheduled Tribes | 42 | 3 | 45 | 15 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other Backward Classes | 168 | 5 | 173 | 49 | 5 | 37 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| General | 250 | 18 | 268 | 105 | 10 | 69 | 4 | 47 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Total | 555 | 30 | 585 | 197 | 21 | 147 | 4 | 109 | 3 | 59 | 1 | 43 | 1 |

M: Male; F: Female; T :Total
8.1 It is seen from Table-6 that 99 candidates or 16.9 percent belonged to the Scheduled Castes, 45 candidates or 7.7 percent to the Scheduled Tribes, 173 candidates or 29.6 percent to the Other Backward Classes and 268 candidates or 45.8 percent to General category.
8.2 Community-wise distribution of the candidates recommended is also depicted in Diagram-3.

9. The community and gender-wise average age of the candidates recommended is given in Table-7.
Table-7 : Community and Gender-wise Average Age of Candidates Recommended - Engineering Services Examination, 2010 [Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Community | Average Age of Candidates Recommended (in years) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| Scheduled Castes | 26.1 | 22.9 | 26.0 |
| Scheduled Tribes | 24.8 | 23.2 | 24.7 |
| Other Backward Classes | 26.2 | 22.2 | 26.0 |
| General | 25.0 | 24.7 | 25.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5}$ |

9.1 It is seen from Table-7 that in each community, the female candidates who were recommended for appointment, were younger in age than the male candidates.
10. The discipline and age group-wise distribution of the candidates recommended for appointment is given in Table-8.
Table-8 : Discipline and Age Group-wise Distribution of Candidates Recommended - Engineering Services Examination, 2010 [Age reckoned as on 01-08-2010]

| Discipline | Candidates <br> Recommended | Age group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 4}$ <br> years | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 6}$ <br> years | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 8}$ <br> years | $\mathbf{2 8 - 3 0}$ <br> Years | 30 years <br> and above |
| Civil | 178 | 66 | 49 | 32 | 16 | 15 |
| Mechanical | 135 | 34 | 32 | 27 | 27 | 15 |
| Electrical | 102 | 39 | 29 | 20 | 06 | 08 |
| Electronics and Telecommunication | 170 | 79 | 41 | 33 | 11 | 06 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |

10.1 It is seen from Table-8 that the highest percentage of the candidates recommended belonged to the age group of $21-24$ years ( 37.3 percent), followed by age groups of $24-26$ years ( 25.8 percent), $26-28$ years ( 19.1 percent), $28-30$ years ( 10.3 percent) and 30 years and above ( 7.5 percent).
10.2 Age group-wise distribution of the candidates recommended is depicted in Diagram-4.

11. A total number of 23 reserved vacancies were reported by the Government for persons with disabilities to be filled up on the basis of the Engineering Services Examination, 2010. Out of these 23 vacancies, eight vacancies (seven for PH1 category and one for PH2 category) were reserved in Civil Engineering discipline; five vacancies (two for PH1 category, one for PH2 category and two for PH3 category) were reserved in Mechanical Engineering discipline; four vacancies (one for PH1 category and three for PH3 category) were reserved in Electrical Engineering discipline; six vacancies (five for PH1 category and one for PH3 category) were reserved in Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering discipline. [PH1: Orthopedically Challenged, PH2: Visually Impaired, PH3: Hearing Impaired]
11.1442 candidates with disabilities appeared in the written part of the Engineering Services Examination, 2010. 38 candidates attended the Personality Test and 19 (eight in Civil Engineering, three in Mechanical Engineering, two in Electrical Engineering and six in Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering discipline) were recommended for appointment. Out of these 19 recommended candidates 15 belonged to PH 1 , one belonged to PH 2 and remaining three belonged to PH3.
11.2 Out of these 19 recommended candidates, seven belonged to the Other Backward Classes and 12 belonged to General category. Further, out of these 19 candidates, five candidates belonged to the age-group of $21-24$ years, three to the age-group of $24-26$ years, two each from the age group of $26-28$ years and $28-30$ years and seven belonged to the age group of 30 years \& above.
12. The University/Institution-wise number of candidates attended the Personality Test vis-à-vis recommended are given in Appendix-17.

## Appendix-17

(Vide Chapter 3)
University/ Institution-wise number of candidates attended the personality test vis-à-vis recommended on the basis of Engineering Services Examination, 2010

| S1. <br> No. | Name of University/Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attended the Personality Test | Recommended |
| 1 | Gautam Buddh Technical University, Lucknow, U.P. | 239 | 68 |
| 2 | Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi | 84 | 36 |
| 3 | Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand | 75 | 35 |
| 4 | Rajiv Gandhi Proudyogiki Vishwavidyalaya, Bhopal, M.P. | 77 | 28 |
| 5 | Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh | 46 | 22 |
| 6 | University of Delhi, Delhi | 46 | 20 |
| 7 | Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, U.P. | 37 | 17 |
| 8 | Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan. | 49 | 17 |
| 9 | University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan | 50 | 17 |
| 10 | Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, A.P. | 47 | 15 |
| 11 | Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, Maharashtra | 26 | 14 |
| 12 | Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal, M.P. | 28 | 14 |
| 13 | Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, W.B. | 27 | 13 |
| 14 | Banaras Hindu University,Varanasi, U.P. | 30 | 11 |
| 15 | Malviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan | 34 | 11 |
| 16 | National Institute of Technology, Patna, Bihar | 26 | 10 |
| 17 | Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand | 53 | 10 |
| 18 | National Institute of Technology, Warangal, A.P. | 20 | 9 |
| 19 | Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra | 20 | 9 |
| 20 | National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra, Haryana | 18 | 8 |
| 21 | National Institute of Technology, Calicut, Kerala | 20 | 8 |
| 22 | Anna University, Chennai, T.N. | 26 | 8 |
| 23 | Institute of Engineers, India | 28 | 8 |
| 24 | Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka | 13 | 7 |
| 25 | National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, Orissa. | 14 | 7 |
| 26 | Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, T.N. | 17 | 7 |
| 27 | Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P. | 19 | 7 |
| 28 | Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, Jharkhand | 23 | 6 |
| 29 | Jadavpur University, Kolkata, W.B. | 12 | 5 |
| 30 | Osmania University, Hyderabad, A.P. | 14 | 5 |
| 31 | Andhra University,Visakhapatnam, A.P. | 21 | 5 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of University/Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attended the Personality Test | Recommended |
| 32 | Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar, Punjab | 7 | 4 |
| 33 | National Institute of Technology, Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu | 8 | 4 |
| 34 | Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, Chhatisgarh | 9 | 4 |
| 35 | Chhatrapati Shahuji Maharaj University, Kanpur, U.P. | 11 | 4 |
| 36 | National Institute of Technology, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand | 12 | 4 |
| 37 | Patna University, Patna, Bihar | 12 | 4 |
| 38 | Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi. | 14 | 4 |
| 39 | University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala | 16 | 4 |
| 40 | Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi | 17 | 4 |
| 41 | Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana | 19 | 4 |
| 42 | Deendayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, U.P. | 4 | 3 |
| 43 | Gurukula Kangri Vishwavidyalaya, Haridwar, Uttarakhand | 5 | 3 |
| 44 | P. E.C. University of Technology, Chandigarh | 5 | 3 |
| 45 | West Bengal University of Technology, Kolkata, W.B. | 8 | 3 |
| 46 | National Institute of Technology, Suratkal, Karnataka | 9 | 3 |
| 47 | Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Nagpur, Maharashtra | 9 | 3 |
| 48 | University of Allahabad, Allahabad, U.P. | 9 | 3 |
| 49 | Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand | 10 | 3 |
| 50 | Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur, Bihar | 11 | 3 |
| 51 | Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Surat, Gujarat | 11 | 3 |
| 52 | University of Pune, Pune, Maharashtra | 11 | 3 |
| 53 | Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur, Bihar | 21 | 3 |
| 54 | Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Jammu, J\&K | 2 | 2 |
| 55 | Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Agra, U.P. | 3 | 2 |
| 56 | All U.S.A. Universities | 4 | 2 |
| 57 | Bengal Engineering \& Science University, Sibpur, Howrah, W.B. | 4 | 2 |
| 58 | National Institute of Technology, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh | 5 | 2 |
| 59 | University of Calicut, Kozhikode, Kerala | 6 | 2 |
| 60 | University of Mumbai, Mumbai, Maharashtra | 6 | 2 |
| 61 | SriVenkateswara University, Tirupati, A.P. | 7 | 2 |
| 62 | Thapar University, Patiala, Punjab | 7 | 2 |
| 63 | Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam | 8 | 2 |
| 64 | Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana | 9 | 2 |
| 65 | Biju Patnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Orissa | 10 | 2 |
| 66 | Awadhesh Pratap Singh University, Rewa, M.P. | 1 | 1 |


| Sl. <br> No. | Name of University/Institution | Number of candidates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attended the Personality Test | Recommended |
| 67 | Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida, Uttar Pradesh | 1 | 1 |
| 68 | Jiwaji University, Gwalior, M.P. | 1 | 1 |
| 69 | Kakatiya University, Warangal, A.P. | 1 | 1 |
| 70 | Kumaun University, Nainital, Uttarakhand | 1 | 1 |
| 71 | Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, T.N. | 1 | 1 |
| 72 | Punjabi University, Patiala, Punjab | 1 | 1 |
| 73 | Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat | 1 | 1 |
| 74 | Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra | 1 | 1 |
| 75 | University of Mysore, Mysore, Karnataka | 1 | 1 |
| 76 | Utkal University of Culture, Bhubaneswar, Orissa | 1 | 1 |
| 77 | Bharati Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra | 2 | 1 |
| 78 | Chhattisgarh Swami Vivekanand Technical University, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh | 2 | 1 |
| 79 | Nirma University of Science \& Technology, Ahmedabad, Gujarat | 2 | 1 |
| 80 | Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, T.N. | 3 | 1 |
| 81 | Dr Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad, U.P. | 3 | 1 |
| 82 | M. J. P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, U.P. | 3 | 1 |
| 83 | National Institute of Technology, Durgapur, West Bengal | 3 | 1 |
| 84 | Tripura University, Agartala, Tripura | 3 | 1 |
| 85 | Madurai-Kamaraj University, Madurai, T.N. | 4 | 1 |
| 86 | Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala | 4 | 1 |
| 87 | North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | 1 |
| 88 | Vellore Institute of Technology,Vellore, T.N. | 4 | 1 |
| 89 | Dr Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Agra, U.P. | 5 | 1 |
| 90 | Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, Bhubaneshwar, Orissa | 5 | 1 |
| 91 | Indian School of Mines University, Dhanbad, Jharkhand | 6 | 1 |
| 92 | Panjab University, Chandigarh, Punjab | 7 | 1 |
| 93 | National Institute of Technology, Raipur, Chhatissgarh | 8 | 1 |

Note (i) Universities/ Institutions have been arranged in descending order of the number of candidates recommended
(ii) The Universities/ institutions from which the candidates have obtained the highest degree, have been reckoned.

## Appendix-18

## Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011: Profile of Candidates

1. The Indian Forest Service Examination is conducted in two successive stages viz. the Written Examination followed by Interview for Personality Test. The written examination consists of six conventional (essay) type papers and carries a total of 1,400 marks whereas the interview carries 300 marks. Only those candidates who qualify the written examination are eligible for interview (Personality Test).
2. Out of 67,168 candidates who had applied for the Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011, 10,427 ( $15.5 \%$ ) candidates appeared in the written examination held in July, 2011. On the basis of results of the written examination, 241 candidates were declared qualified for Personality Test. All of the 241 candidates attended the Personality Test, of whom 85 candidates were recommended by the Commission for appointment to the Indian Forest Service 2011. The number of vacancies and the candidates applied, appeared, qualified the written examination, attended Personality Test and were recommended in the Indian Forest Service Examinations held during the last five years, are given in Table-1.

Table-1: Year-wise Number of Vacancies and Number of Candidates :
Indian Forest Service Examinations

| Year | Number of | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vacancies | Applied | Appeared <br> in Written <br> Examination | Qualified <br> the Written <br> Examination | Attended the <br> Personality Test | Recommended |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 40 | 31,887 | 7,696 | 129 | 128 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 85 | 32,872 | 7,659 | $232^{\star}$ | 230 | 85 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 85 | 43,262 | $10,330^{\star}$ | 231 | 226 | 85 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 85 | 59,530 | $10,389^{\star}$ | 237 | 236 | 85 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 85 | 67,168 | 10,427 | 241 | 241 | 85 |

Note * Candidature of two candidates each were subsequently cancelled in the year 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively.
2.1 Number of candidates appeared in the written examination, attended the Personality Test and recommended during the last five Indian Forest Service Examinations are shown in Diagram-1.

3. Community and gender-wise number of candidates applied and appeared for the Indian Forest Service Examination 2011 is given in Table-2.

Table-2: Community and Gender-wise Number of candidates Applied and
Appeared in Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

| Community | Applied |  |  |  | Appeared |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Percentage <br> of TOTAL | Male | Female | Total | Percentage <br> of TOTAL |
|  | 15,203 | 4,373 | 19,576 | $29 \%$ | 1,816 | 710 | 2,526 | $24 \%$ |
| ST | 6,370 | 2,310 | 8,680 | $13 \%$ | 936 | 417 | 1,353 | $13 \%$ |
| OBC | 10,490 | 6,589 | 17,079 | $25 \%$ | 2,092 | 921 | 3,013 | $29 \%$ |
| General | 11,873 | 9,960 | 21,833 | $33 \%$ | 2,235 | 1,300 | 3,535 | $34 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 3 , 9 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

3.1 It may be seen from Table-2 that out of 67,168 candidates who applied for the Indian Forest Service Examination 2011, only 10,427 (15.5\%) of the candidates appeared for the written examination. In other words, $84.5 \%$ of the candidates did not turn up for the examination. Further, the dropout rate among the candidates belonging to Other Backward Classes, General and Scheduled Tribes, was lower as compared to that of the Scheduled Castes.
3.2 Out of the 10,427 candidates who appeared in the Indian Forest Service Examination 2011, a total of 3,348 ( $32.1 \%$ ) candidates were female. Similarly of the total 85 candidates recommended in the Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011, 24 (28.2\%) were female (vide Table7).
4. Community-wise number of candidates applied, appeared, qualified the written examination, attended the personality test and recommended in the Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011 are given in Table-3.

Table-3: Community-wise Number of Candidates - Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

| Community | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applied | Appeared <br> in Written <br> Examination | Qualified <br> the Written <br> Examination | Attended the <br> Personality Test | Recommended |
| SC | 19,576 | 2,526 | 40 | 40 | 14 |
| ST | 8,680 | 1,353 | 19 | 19 | 6 |
| OBC | 17,079 | 3,013 | 92 | 92 | 33 |
| General | 21,833 | 3,535 | 90 | 90 | 32 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 , 1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |

5. Based on the information furnished by the candidates in the detailed application forms of Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011, an analysis has been made in regard to their academic qualifications. For this purpose, the highest qualifications acquired by the candidates have been taken into account. The academic qualification-wise distribution of the candidates who attended the personality test and were recommended is given in Table-4.

Table-4: Qualification-wise Distribution of Candidates: Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

| Academic Qualifications |  | Number of Candidates |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attended the Person- <br> ality Test | Recommended |  |
| I. Bachelor Degrees | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |  |
| (i) | Bachelor degree in Agriculture or Forestry | 35 | 12 |
| (ii) | Bachelor degree in Animal Husbandry and Veterinary <br> Science/MBBS | 17 | 4 |
| (iii) | Bachelor degree in Physics, Chemistry, Botany, Zoology, <br> Mathematics, Statistics or Geology | 17 | 10 |
| (iv) | Bachelor degree in Engineering | 27 | 10 |
| II. Higher Degrees | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ |  |
| (i) | Master degree in Agriculture or Forestry | 74 | 29 |
| (ii) | Master degree in Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science | 11 | 3 |
| (iii) | Master degree in Engineering | 8 | 1 |
| (iv) | Master degree in other subjects | 48 | 15 |
| (v) | Ph.D. | 4 | 1 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |

5.1 As seen from Table-4 above that 42 percent of the candidates recommended were graduates and 58 percent possessed post-graduate or higher qualifications.
6. The distribution of candidates who attended the Personality Test vis-à-vis recommended for appointment with their optional subjects is given in Table-5.

Table-5: Optional subject-wise Distribution of Candidates Attended the Personality Test vis-à-vis Recommended - Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

| S. <br> No. | Optional Subject | Number of Candidates |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attended the Personality <br> Test | Recommended | Pass Percentage |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |
| 1. | Animal Husbandry and <br> Veterinary Science | 26 | 7 | $26.9 \%$ |
| 2. | Zoology | 63 | 23 | $36.5 \%$ |
| 3. | Botany | 72 | 24 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 4. | Mathematics | 23 | 8 | $34.8 \%$ |
| 5. | Physics | 23 | 9 | $39.1 \%$ |
| 6. | Chemical Engineering | 3 | 2 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 7. | Civil Engineering | 3 | 1 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 8. | Agriculture | 133 | 47 | $35.3 \%$ |
| 9. | Forestry | 10 | 44 | $40.0 \%$ |
| 10. | Chemistry | 10 | 3 | $30.0 \%$ |
| 11. | Geology | 1 | 2 | $20.0 \%$ |
| 12. | Statistics | 1 | -- | -- |
| 13. | Agricultural Engineering | 4 | -- | -- |
| 14. | Mechanical Engineering |  | - | -- |

6.1 From Table-5, it emerges that amongst the candidates who attended the Personality Test, Agriculture was opted by the largest number of candidates followed by Forestry, Botany and Zoology.
7. The community, age and gender-wise distribution of candidates who attended the Personality Test is given in Table-6.
Table-6: Community, Age and Gender-wise Distribution of Candidates who Attended the Personality Test - Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011
(Age reckoned as on July 1, 2011)

| Community | Total |  |  | Age-group (In years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 21-24 |  | 24-26 |  | 26-28 |  | 28-30 |  | 30 and above |  |
|  | T | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| SC | 40 | 26 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| ST | 19 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| OBC | 92 | 73 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 21 | 2 |
| General | 90 | 69 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 19 | 6 | 24 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| Total | 241 | 180 | 61 | 20 | 8 | 37 | 15 | 45 | 19 | 46 | 12 | 32 | 7 |

T : Total; M : Male ; F : Female
The community, age and gender-wise distribution of candidates recommended for appointment is given in Table-7.

Table 7: Community, Age and Gender-wise Distribution of Candidates Recommended - Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011
(Age reckoned as on July 1, 2011)

| Community | Total |  |  | Age-group (In years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 21-24 |  | 24-26 |  | 26-28 |  | 28-30 |  | 30 and above |  |
|  | T | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| SC | 14 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ST | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| OBC | 33 | 26 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| General | 32 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 85 | 61 | 24 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 18 | 4 | 9 | 3 |

T :Total; M : Male ; F : Female
7.1. It may be seen from above Table-6 that of the 241 candidates who appeared for interview, $40(17 \%)$ candidates belonged to Scheduled Castes, 19 (8\%) belonged to Scheduled Tribes, 92 (38\%) belonged to Other Backward Classes and 90 (37\%) belonged to General community.
8. The following information emerges from Table-7:
(i) Of the 85 recommended candidates, 14 (16\%) belonged to Scheduled Castes, 6 (7\%) to Scheduled Tribes, 33 (39\%) to Other Backward Classes and 32 (38\%) to General community.
(ii) Female candidates constituted 28 percent of the total candidates recommended
(iii) Highest percentage of the candidates recommended belonged to the age group of 28-30 years ( $26 \%$ ) followed by $26-28$ years ( $24 \%$ ), 24-26 years ( $20 \%$ ), 21-24 years ( $16 \%$ ) and 30 years and above (14\%).
8.1 Age-wise percentage of candidates recommended in the Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011, is shown in Diagram-2.

9. General and Other Backward Class community candidates are permitted maximum four and seven attempts respectively at the examination. However, there is no restriction on the number of attempts for the Scheduled Caste and the Scheduled Tribe community. The community and genderwise number of attempts made by the recommended candidates for the examination are given in Table-8.

Table-8: Number of Attempts Made by Recommended Candidates: Community and Gender-wise - Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

| Community | Gender | Number of Attempts Made by the Recommended Candidates |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Above 4 |  |
| SC | Male | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| ST | Male | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Female | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OBC | Male | 4 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 26 |
|  | Female | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| General | Male | 6 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 24 |
|  | Female | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Total | Male | 13 | 24 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 61 |
|  | Female | 1 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 24 |
|  | Total | 14 | 30 | 21 | 11 | 9 | 85 |

9.1 It follows from Table-8 that 16.5 percent of the candidates qualified the examination in first attempt and 35.3 percent of the candidates qualified the examination in second attempt. However, in the third, fourth and more than four attempts, the percentage of successful candidates was at 24.7 percent, 13 percent and 10.5 percent respectively.
10. The University-wise/ Institution-wise number of the candidates recommended in the Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011 are given in Table-9. For this purpose, the university/ institution from which the candidates have acquired the highest qualification, has been taken into account.

Table-9: University-wise/ Institution-wise Number of Candidates Recommended Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of University/ Institution | Number of Candidates Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. | 10 |
| 2 | Forest Research Institute, Dehradun, Uttarakhand | 6 |
| 3 | Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi | 6 |
| 4 | Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala | 5 |
| 5 | Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, A.P. | 4 |
| 6 | University of Delhi, Delhi | 4 |
| 7 | Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjunanagar, A.P. | 2 |
| 8 | Allahabad Agricultural Deemed University, Allahabad, U.P. | 2 |
| 9 | Banaras Hindu University,Varanasi, U.P. | 2 |
| 10 | Dr.Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, H.P. | 2 |
| 11 | Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand | 2 |
| 12 | Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, U.P. | 2 |
| 13 | Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, W.B. | 2 |
| 14 | Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana | 2 |
| 15 | Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, J\&K | 2 |
| 16 | University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka | 2 |
| 17 | All Denmark Universities | 1 |
| 18 | Amity University, Noida, U.P. | 1 |
| 19 | Bangalore University, Bangalore, Karnataka | 1 |
| 20 | Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, Jharkhand | 1 |
| 21 | Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, Maharashtra | 1 |
| 22 | Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi | 1 |
| 23 | Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam | 1 |
| 24 | Indian School of Mines University, Dhanbad, Jharkhand | 1 |
| 25 | Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P. | 1 |
| 26 | Jadavpur University, Kolkata, W.B. | 1 |
| 27 | Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi | 1 |


| S. <br> No. | Name of University/ Institution | Number of <br> Candidates <br> Recommended |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 28 | Jiwaji University, Gwalior, M.P. | 1 |
| 29 | Madurai-Kamaraj University, Madurai, T.N. | 1 |
| 30 | Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala | 1 |
| 31 | Manipur University, Imphal, Manipur | 1 |
| 32 | National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana | 1 |
| 33 | Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat | 1 |
| 34 | Panjab University, Chandigarh, Punjab | 1 |
| 35 | Patna University, Patna, Bihar | 1 |
| 36 | Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan | 1 |
| 37 | Rajendra Agricultural University, Samastipur, Bihar | 1 |
| 38 | Tamil Nadu Veterinary \& Animal Sciences University, Chennai, T.N. | 1 |
| 39 | Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, Maharashtra | 1 |
| 40 | University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka | 1 |
| 41 | University of Allahabad, Allahabad, U.P. | 1 |
| 42 | University of Calcutta, Kolkata, W.B. | 1 |
| 43 | University of Jammu, Jammu, J\&K | 1 |
| 44 | University of Lucknow, Lucknow, U.P. | 1 |
| 45 | University of Madras, Chennai, T.N. | 1 |
| 46 | University of Mysore, Mysore, Karnataka | 1 |
|  |  | 85 |

Note: Universities/ Institutions have been arranged in descending order of the number of candidates recommended.
10.1 It is seen from the Table-9 that the maximum number of candidates recommended, i.e. 10 candidates, belonged to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, followed by Forest Research Institute, Dehradun, Uttarakhand and Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi (6 candidates each) and Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, (5 candidates) .

## Appendix-19

Ministry-wise number of Engineering, Medical, Scientific \& Technical and Non-Technical posts for which requisitions were received during the year 2011-12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Ministry/ Department | Number of posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Engineering | Medical | Scientific \& Technical (excluding engineering) | NonTechnical |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1 | AGRICULTURE | 3 | 6 | 88 | - | 97 |
| 2 | ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR ADMINISTRATION | 8 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 39 |
| 3 | CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION | - | 28 | - | - | 28 |
| 4 | CIVIL AVIATION | 200 | - | - | - | 200 |
| 5 | COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY | 40 | - | - | 32 | 72 |
| 6 | CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION | - | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| 7 | CULTURE | - | - | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 8 | DADRA AND NAGAR HAVELI ADMINISTRATION | - | 78 | - | - | 78 |
| 9 | DAMAN \& DIU ADMINISTRATION | - | 17 | - | - | 17 |
| 10 | DEFENCE | 23 | 4 | 32 | 31 | 90 |
| 11 | DEVELOPMENT OF NORTH EASTERN REGION | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12 | ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS | - | - | 42 | - | 42 |
| 13 | FINANCE | 14 | - | - | 11 | 25 |
| 14 | GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI | - | 280 | 29 | 213 | 522 |
| 15 | HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE | 1 | 218 | 3 | 1 | 223 |
| 16 | HOME AFFAIRS | 10 | - | 18 | 5 | 33 |
| 17 | HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELPOMENT | - | - | 6 | - | 6 |
| 18 | INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING | - | - | - | 12 | 12 |
| 19 | LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT | 31 | 3 | 5 | 120 | 159 |
| 20 | LAW AND JUSTICE | - | - | - | 26 | 26 |
| 21 | MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | MINES | 15 | - | 21 | 1 | 37 |
| 23 | PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSION | - | - | 8 | 55 | 63 |
| 24 | PLANNING COMMISSION | 8 | - | 8 | 5 | 21 |
| 25 | POWER | 75 | - | - | - | 75 |
| 26 | RURAL DEVELOPMENT | 2 | - | - | 6 | 8 |
| 27 | SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY | - | - | 11 | - | 11 |
| 28 | SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS | 24 | - | - | - | 24 |
| 29 | TEXTILES | 9 | - | 1 | 4 | 14 |
| 30 | WATER RESOURCES | 14 | - | 21 | - | 35 |
| 31 | WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT | - | - | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | Total | 477 | 662 | 305 | 528 | 1972 |

## Appendix-20

Ministry-wise number of Engineering, Medical, Scientific \& Technical and Non-Technical posts which were advertised during the year 2011-12

| S. <br> No. | Name of Ministry/ Department | Number of posts advertised |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Engineering | Medical | Scientific \& Technical (excluding engineering) | NonTechnical |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1 | AGRICULTURE | 15 | - | 70 | - | 85 |
| 2 | ANDAMAN \& NICOBAR ADMINISTRATION | 8 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 38 |
| 3 | CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION | - | 6 | - | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | CIVIL AVIATION | 59 | - | - | - | 59 |
| 5 | COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY | - | - | - | 32 | 32 |
| 6 | CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION | - | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| 7 | CULTURE | - | - | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 8 | DEFENCE | 34 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 63 |
| 9 | DEVELOPMENT OF NORTH EASTERN REGION | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 10 | FINANCE | 14 | - | - | 11 | 25 |
| 11 | GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI | - | 276 | 29 | - | 305 |
| 12 | HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE | 1 | 17 | - | 1 | 19 |
| 13 | HOME AFFAIRS | 3 | - | 19 | 3 | 25 |
| 14 | HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT | - | - | 6 | - | 6 |
| 15 | INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING | - | - | - | 12 | 12 |
| 16 | LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT | 21 | - | 5 | 58 | 84 |
| 17 | LAW AND JUSTICE | - | - | - | 30 | 30 |
| 18 | MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES | 7 | - | 2 | - | 9 |
| 19 | MINES | 9 | - | 15 | 1 | 25 |
| 20 | PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS | - | - | 8 | 5 | 13 |
| 21 | PLANNING COMMISSION | 8 | - | 8 | 6 | 22 |
| 22 | SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY | - | - | 11 | - | 11 |
| 23 | SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 24 | TEXTILES | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| 25 | URBAN DEVELOPMENT | 7 | - | - | - | 7 |
| 26 | WATER RESOURCES | 12 | - | 18 | - | 30 |
|  | Total | 200 | 327 | 212 | 181 | 920 |

## Appendix-21

## Details of Cases in which Requisitions were cancelled after Advertisement during the Year 2011-12

| S1. <br> No. | Name of Post/Ministry and Pay Scale | Number of Posts Involved | Reason for Cancellation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1 | Assistant Professor (Political Science) in Indian Military Academy, Ministry of Defence (Rs.15600-39100 + 6000) | 1 | Recruitment cancelled by the Commission on the request of Ministry of Defence due to change in reservation position from ST category to Unreserved category. |
| 2 | Deputy Government Examiner of Questioned Documents under Directorate of Forensic Science, Ministry of Home Affairs (Rs. 1000015200) (PR) | 2 | Recruitment cancelled by the Commission on the request of Ministry of Home Affairs in view of judgement passed by Hon'ble CAT, Hyderabad Bench. |
| 3 | Legal Officer Grade-I in the Legal and Treaties Division, Ministry of External Affairs (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | 1 | Recruitment cancelled by the Commission on the request of Ministry of External Affairs as proposal for amendments in Recruitment Rules has been sent to Department of Personnel and Training. |

Appendix-22

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Discipline/ Specialisation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \\ & \text { Posts } \end{aligned}$ | No. of Posts Reserved $\qquad$ |  |  |  | Applied |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { C---------------------- }}{\text { Cled }}$ |  |  |  | Interviewed |  |  |  | Recommended |  |  |  | Percentage of Recommended Candidates to the Number of Posts (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) |
| 1 | AERONAUTICAL | 74 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 46 | 95 | 34 | 182 | 357 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 75 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 65 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 33 | 55.41 |
| 2 | ARCHITECTURE | 6 | - | - | - | 6 | 45 | 7 | 40 | 138 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 31 | 6 | - | 5 | 22 | - | - | - | 6 | 100.00 |
| 3 | AUTOMOBILE | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 184 | 27 | 142 | 319 | 36 | 4 | 29 | 51 | 31 | 4 | 25 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 100.00 |
| 4 | CHEMICAL | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 76 | 86 | - | - | 7 | 14 |  | - | 7 | 13 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| 5 | CIVIL | 70 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 44 | 548 | 100 | 751 | 1018 | 108 | 9 | 127 | 217 | 57 | 8 | 77 | 138 | 13 | 2 | 14 | 30 | 84.29 |
| 6 | COMPUTER ENGINEERING/ SCIENCE | 73 | 5 | 7 | 36 | 25 | 720 | 144 | 1740 | 3789 | 28 | 21 | 135 | 94 | 18 | 15 | 81 | 71 | 5 | 8 | 30 | 22 | 89.04 |
| 7 | ELECTRICAL | 61 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 36 | 643 | 249 | 1212 | 1961 | 15 | 6 | 54 | 71 | 12 | 5 | 36 | 49 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 55.74 |
| 8 | ELECTRICAL/ MECHANICAL | 8 | 1 | - | - | 7 | 60 | 14 | 66 | 205 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 39 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 28 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 87.50 |
| 9 | FIRE CONTROL/ SAFETY ENGINEERING | 27 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 31 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 14.81 |
| 10 | Industrial | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 75 | 26 | 2 | 27 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 100.00 |
| 11 | MARINE | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | 7 | 15 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | MECHANICAL | 146 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 96 | 3695 | 1068 | 5746 | 9593 | 105 | 39 | 121 | 232 | 77 | 27 | 85 | 176 | 14 | 10 | 27 | 60 | 76.03 |
| 13 | METALLURGICAL | 23 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 214 | 49 | 299 | 420 | 29 | 6 | 53 | 102 | 17 | 6 | 40 | 71 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 86.96 |
| 14 | MINING | 65 | 8 | 3 | 17 | 37 | 213 | 47 | 221 | 490 | 44 | 9 | 48 | 141 | 35 | 7 | 36 | 106 | 10 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 75.38 |
| 15 | PRODUCTION/ INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING | 6 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 22 | 4 | 64 | 147 | 3 | - | 9 | 14 | 2 | - | 3 | 11 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 100.00 |
| 16 | TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING | 7 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 28 | 6 | 37 | 170 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 20 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 85.71 |
| 17 | ELECTRONICS | 54 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 29 | 2144 | 350 | 4232 | 8509 | 38 | 10 | 89 | 159 | 33 | 9 | 70 | 126 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 24 | 90.74 |
| 18 | LEATHER TECHNOLOGY | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 30 | 6 | 31 | 100 | 1 | - | 4 | 17 | 1 | - | 3 | 12 | - | - | - | 3 | 100.00 |
|  | TOTAL | 643 | 75 | 41 | 154 | 373 | 8740 | 2135 | 14862 | 27375 | 443 | 112 | 720 | 1290 | 308 | 86 | 495 | 952 | 65 | 31 | 134 | 249 | 74.49 |

SC: SCHEDULED CASTES, ST: SCHEDULED TRIBES, OBC: OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES, UR: UNRESERVED

[^8]Appendix-23
Discipline-wise SCIENTIFIC \& TECHNICAL posts for which the recruitment were finalized during the year 2011-12

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Discipline/ Specialisation | Number of | No. of Posts Reserved [----------------------] |  |  |  | Applied |  |  |  | Called for Interview [----------------------] |  |  |  | Interviewed |  |  |  | [---------------------] |  |  |  | Percentage of Recommended Candidates to the Number of Posts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) |
| 1 | AGRICULTURE/ AGRONOMY/ ENTOMOLOGY | 12 | - | - | 2 | 10 | 64 | 22 | 172 | 313 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 61 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 56 | - | - | 2 | 8 | 83.33 |
| 2 | BIOLOGY | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 4 | 193 | 19 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 100.00 |
| 3 | BOTANY | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 44 | 44 | 323 | 241 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 18 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 100.00 |
| 4 | CHEMISTRY | 24 | 2 | - | 5 | 17 | 164 | 21 | 325 | 796 | 18 | - | 42 | 100 | 16 | - | 38 | 88 | 2 | - | 8 | 12 | 91.67 |
| 5 | CHEMISTRY/ PHYSICS | 5 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 53 | 48 | - | 1 | 9 | 14 | - | 1 | 7 | 9 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 80.00 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISHERY SCI- } \\ & \text { ENCE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 1 | - | 3 | 8 | 75 | 9 | 154 | 217 | 8 | - | 26 | 37 | 6 | - | 20 | 29 | 1 | - | 3 | 7 | 91.67 |
| 7 | GEOGRAPHY | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 79 | 2 | 3 | - | 11 | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 100.00 |
| 8 | GEOLOGY | 18 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 37 | 12 | 98 | 194 | 7 | - | 14 | 35 | 5 | - | 10 | 28 | 1 | - | 5 | 11 | 94.44 |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { GEO-PHYS- } \\ \text { ICS } \end{array}$ | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 17 | 1 | 41 | 77 | 3 | - | 8 | 22 | 2 | - | 6 | 15 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 100.00 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MATHEMAT- } \\ & \text { ICS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 2 | - | 1 | 7 | 53 | 3 | 56 | 119 | 14 | - | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | 4 | 6 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 60.00 |
| 11 | MICROBIOL-OGY/BACTERIOLOGY/ PATHOLOGY | 14 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 21 | 10 | 39 | 57 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 50.00 |
| 12 | PHARMACY/ <br> PHARMA. <br> CHEMISTRY/ <br> PHARMA- <br> COLOGY | 4 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 26 | 11 | 72 | 182 | 1 | - | 2 | 24 | - | - | 2 | 15 | - | - | - | 3 | 75.00 |


|  |  | ¢ $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{⿺}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\theta}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{?}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{⿺}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\theta}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{0} \\ & 0 . \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{⿺}{0} \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | （ᄌ90 $=$ | ＇ | ＋ | ～ | ＋ | $\pm$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＊ | ＊ | ＇ | ＇ | ＊ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \hline \mathrm{Q} \end{aligned}$ | त⿹勹巳d ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ＇ | $\sim$ | － | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |
|  | 5 | ล－ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | $-$ |
|  | U | 包＇ | ＇ | － | ＇ | ＇ | $\sim$ | m | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |
| $\qquad$ | 5 | ลิ | ＇ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | － | － | $\infty$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | ＇ | in | ส |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{Q} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\otimes \sim}$ | ＇ | 7 | ＋ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\text { ci }}{ }$ | च | ～ | － | ＇ | ＋ | $\infty$ |
|  | 5 | E in | ＇ | ～ | ＇ | ＇ | － | ＋ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | － | ＋ |
|  | U | （9）${ }^{\infty}$ | ＇ | ～ | ＇ | ＇ | $\wedge$ | － | ＇ | $\infty$ | ＇ | m | in |
|  | 5 | 辺 | ＇ | $\bar{m}$ | $\infty$ | － | F | $\pm$ | F | ๆ | ＇ | － | $\bar{m}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ¢ ¢ | ＇ | $\approx$ | in | ส | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | $\sim$ | － | ＇ | ＋ | $\square$ |
|  | 5 | 気 | ＇ | ～ | ＇ | ＇ | $\sim$ | － | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | － | in |
|  | U | ลิ－ | ＇ | m | ＇ | － | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | m | ＇ | m | in |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ \frac{\vec{z}}{\frac{2}{4}} \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | 5 | E志 | ＋ | 菏 | \％ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\substack{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | \％ | F | ＇ | 2 | ส |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | （a）d | － | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\cdots$ | N | \％ |  | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ＋ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ¢ |
|  | 5 | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ＇ | ヘ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | ＇ | ＇ | ＋ | \％ |
|  | U | $\widehat{\alpha}^{\circ}$ | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 7 | ลิ | \＆ | $\mathfrak{N}$ | $\infty$ | $=$ | － |  | $\infty$ |
|  | 5 | ET | － | $\infty$ | ～ | in | $\wedge$ |  | ～ | ＊ | ＇ | $-$ | is |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 6 in | ＇ | $\sim$ | － | m | in | $\bigcirc$ | ＇ | ＇ | － | ＇ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\stackrel{H}{6}$ | ลู | ＇ | － | ＇ | ＇ | $\checkmark$ | ～ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | － |
|  | U | き＇ | ＇ | － | ＇ | ＇ | － | m | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |
|  |  | ธ 9 | － | $\simeq$ | m | $\infty$ | $\pm$ | च | N | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & u_{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ら安 |  | E\％ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 今 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | 9 | －े | a | ส | ก | － |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Discipline/ Specialisation | Number of | No. of Posts Reserved <br> [---------------------] |  |  |  | Applied |  |  |  | Called for Interview [---------------------- |  |  |  | Interviewed |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recommended } \\ {[---------------] ~} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of Recommended Candidates to the Number of Posts (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | sc | ST | OBC | UR | Sc | ST | OBC | UR |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) |
| 25 | ANTHROPOLOGY | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 17 | - |  | - | 8 | - | - |  | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 100.00 |
| 26 | FORENSIC SCIENCE | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 20 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| 27 | COMPUTER SCIENCE/APPLICATION | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 12 | 1 | 94 | 12 | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | 1 |  | 100.00 |
| 28 | MISCELLANEOUS | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | TOTAL | 223 | 13 | 11 | 54 | 145 | 2064 | 655 | 5411 | 7395 | 102 | 41 | 385 | 635 | 77 | 32 | 291 | 510 | 14 | 4 | 64 | 103 | 82.96 |

SC: SCHEDULED CASTES, ST: SCHEDULED TRIBES, OBC: OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES, UR: UNRESERVED
TOTAL POSTS RESERVED: 223
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED: 185
Appendix-24
Discipline-wise NON-TECHNICAL posts for which the recruitment were finalized during the year 2011-12

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Discipline/Specialisation | Num- <br> ber of <br> Posts | No. of Posts Reserved <br> [----------------------] |  |  |  | [---------------------] |  |  |  | Called for Interview <br> [----------------------- |  |  |  | [---------------------] |  |  |  | [---------------------] |  |  |  | Percentage of Recommended Candidates to the Number of Posts (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | sc | ST | ObC | UR | sc | ST | OBC | UR | sc | ST | ObC | UR | sc | ST | ObC | UR | sc | ST | ObC | UR |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) |
| 1 | ACCOUNTANCY INCLUDING costing | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 10 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 6 | - | 8 | - | 4 | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 75.00 |
| 2 | ACTUARIES | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 26 | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| 3 | ADMINISTRATION/PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION | 30 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 355 | 149 | 625 | 1696 | 20 | 15 | 46 | 151 | 19 | 11 | 39 | 129 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 93.33 |
| 4 | ART-FINE/COMMERCIAL | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 25 | 29 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 50.00 |
| 5 | COMMERCE/ business ecoNOMICS | 17 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 450 | 156 | 657 | 1167 | 10 | 16 | 25 | 36 | 8 | 13 | 20 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 100.00 |
| 6 | ECONOMICS | 48 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 27 | 243 | 98 | 438 | 807 | 6 | 6 | 28 | 37 | 4 | 4 | 24 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 52.08 |
| 7 | EDUCATION/ TEACHING | 7 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 44 | 12 | 135 | 259 | 4 | - | 9 | 36 | 4 | - | 8 | 31 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 100.00 |
| 8 | HISTORY | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 149 | 57 | 105 | 222 | 14 | 1 | 21 | 34 | 10 | - | 21 | 32 | 1 | - | 3 | 5 | 90.00 |
| 9 | JOURNALISM/ mass communi-CATION/PUBLICITY | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 17 | 8 | 31 | 108 | 3 | - | 6 | 14 | 3 | - | 5 | 11 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 100.00 |
| 10 | LANGUAGE-FOREIGN | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 7 | 22 | 77 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 92.86 |
| 11 | LANGUAGE-INDIAN | 6 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 57 | 11 | 110 | 299 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 41 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 28 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 100.00 |
| 12 | LAW | 58 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 41 | 1174 | 77 | 2129 | 4059 | 36 | - | 94 | 161 | 33 | - | 75 | 137 | 8 | - | 12 | 29 | 84.48 |
| 13 | Marketing | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 32 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Discipline/Specialisation | Num- <br> ber of <br> Posts | No. of Posts Reserved <br> [--------------------- |  |  |  | Applied |  |  |  | Called for Interview <br> [-----------------------] |  |  |  | [---------------------] |  |  |  | Recommended[-------------------- |  |  |  | Percent- <br> age of <br> Recom- <br> mended <br> Can- <br> didates <br> to the <br> Number <br> of Posts <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) |
| 14 | MOTION PIC-TURE/PHOTOGRAPHY | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | SECURITY/FIRE FIGHTING | 12 | 3 | - | 3 | 6 | 33 | 13 | 78 | 170 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 37 | 1 | - | 3 | 6 | 83.33 |
| 16 | Social SciencES/SOCIAL WORK | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 73 | 19 | 82 | 111 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 77.78 |
| 17 | office manage-MENT/SECRETARIAL PRACTICE | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 24 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 100.00 |
| 18 | fashion | 3 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 22 | 5 | 54 | 79 | 1 | - | 3 | 10 | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 100.00 |
| 19 | HOTEL MANAGEMENT | 6 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 54 | 4 | 107 | 182 | 1 | - | 11 | 26 | 1 | - | 9 | 17 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 83.33 |
| 20 | LIBRARY SCIENCE | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 62 | 15 | 77 | 188 | 8 | - | 11 | 30 | 6 | - | 8 | 25 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| 21 | MANAGEMENT | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| 22 | miscellaneous | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 36 | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 50.00 |
|  | тоtal | 242 | 25 | 14 | 51 | 152 | 2799 | 647 | 4741 | 9583 | 122 | 47 | 302 | 660 | 102 | 35 | 251 | 547 | 22 | 6 | 62 | 106 | 80.99 |

SC: SCHEDULED CASTES, ST: SCHEDULED TRIBES, OBC: OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES, UR: UNRESERVED
CANDIDATES INTERVIEWED: 935
CANDIDATES CALLED FOR INTERVIEW: 1131
CANDIDATES APPLIED: 17770
Appendix-25
Discipline-wise MEDICAL posts for which the recruitment were finalized during the year 2011-12

| Sl.No. | Discipline/Specialisation | Number of Posts |  | No. <br> Re $\qquad$ | of Post erved $\qquad$ |  | Applied |  |  |  | Called for Interview <br> [------------------] |  |  |  | Interviewed$[----------------]$ |  |  |  | Recommended$[-----------------]$ |  |  |  | Percent- <br> age of <br> Recom- <br> mended <br> Can- <br> didates <br> to the <br> Number <br> of Posts <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR | SC | ST | OBC | UR |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) |
| 1 | ANAESTHESIOLOGY | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 35 | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| 2 | ANATOMY | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 100.00 |
| 3 | AYURVEDA | 10 | 1 | - | 3 | 6 | 615 | 110 | 1326 | 2882 | 6 | - | 21 | 17 | 5 | - | 19 | 17 | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | 100.00 |
| 4 | BIO-CHEMISTRY | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 21 | 96 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 37 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 83.33 |
| 5 | DENTISTRY | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 55 | 89 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| 6 | FORENSIC MEDICINE | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | MEDICAL - GENERAL | 93 | 14 | 8 | 24 | 47 | 179 | 39 | 546 | 313 | 65 | 6 | 196 | 52 | 57 | 4 | 164 | 40 | 16 | 1 | 54 | 15 | 92.47 |
| 8 | MEDICINE | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 39 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 2 | - | 15 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 85.71 |
| 9 | OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOLOGY | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 9 | 117 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 62 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 90.91 |
| 10 | OPHTHALMOLOGY | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 100.00 |
| 11 | PAEDIATRICS | 10 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 | 1 | 8 | - | 9 | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 50.00 |
| 12 | PATHOLOGY/BACTERIOLOGY/MICROBIOLOGY | 32 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 49 | 4 | 39 | 204 | 19 | - | 11 | 113 | 13 | - | 8 | 92 | 3 | - | 7 | 12 | 68.75 |
| 13 | PHYSIOLOGY | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | PLASTIC SURGERY | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 12 | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 50.00 |
| 15 | PREVENTIVE AND SOCIAL MEDI- CINE | 15 | - | 1 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 44 | - | - | 3 | 15 | - | - | 3 | 13 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 40.00 |
| 16 | PSYCHIATRY | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 21 | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| 17 | RADIOLOGY | 10 | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | - | - | 4 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 10.00 |
| 18 | SURGERY | 10 | - | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 32 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 14 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 60.00 |
| 19 | TUBERCULOSIS | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 100.00 |
| 20 | DERMATOLOGY \& VENEREOLOGY | 10 | 1 | - | 5 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 60.00 |
|  | TOTAL | 231 | 29 | 20 | 75 | 107 | 924 | 194 | 2075 | 3923 | 128 | 22 | 271 | 386 | 101 | 12 | 218 | 280 | 27 | 5 | 80 | 64 | 76.19 | SC: SCHEDULED CASTES, ST: SCHEDULED TRIBES, OBC: OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES, UR: UNRESERVED

Details of cases for which suitable candidates could not be found during the year 2011-12

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' A ' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ADMINISTRATION/PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (NON TECHNICAL) IN THE WEAVERS SERVICE CENTRES AND INDIAN INSTITUTE OF HANDLOOM TECHNOLOGY UNDER THE OFFICE OFTHE DEVELPOMENT COMMISSIONER FOR HANDLOOMS, MINISTRY OF TEXTILES (Rs. 9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | MANAGER GRADE-I/SECTION OFFICER IN CANTEEN STORES DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs. 15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| AGRICULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | PLANT PROTECTION OFFICER (ENTOMOLOGY) IN THE DIRECTORATE OF PLANT PROTECTION, QUARANTINE AND STORAGE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs. 9300 $-34800+4600)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | EXTENSION OFFICER, DIRECTORATE OF EXTENSION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CO-OPERATION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs. $15600-39100+5400$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group 'A' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 5 | PLANT PROTECTION OFFICER (PLANT PATHOLOGY) IN THE DIRECTORATE OF PLANT PROTECTION QUARANTINE AND STORAGE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| ART |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | PRINCIPAL, GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ART, CHANDIGARH, CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION (Rs.37400-67000 + 10000) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| COMMERCE AND ACCOUNTANCY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR (EXPORT PROMOTION), OFFICE OFTHE DEVELPOMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR(COST) IN INDIAN COST ACCOUNT SERVICE (JUNIOR TIME SCALE), DEPARTMENT OF EXPENDITURE, MINISTRY OF FINANCE (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| COMPUTER SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | ASSISTANT PROGRAMMER, CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL PUBLIC GRIEVENCES AND PENSIONS (Rs.9300-34800 + 4200) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | JUNIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ENGINEERING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | STATION OFICER, DELHI FIRE SERVICE, HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERITORRY OF DELHI (Rs. $9300-34800$ + 4600) | 0 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 23 |
| 12 | ASSISTANT TOWN COUNTRY PLANNER,TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ORGANISATION, MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Rs. $15600-39100+5400$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR (SAFETY-MECHANICAL) IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL FACTORY ADVICE SERVICE AND LABOUR INSTITUTES, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.15600-39100 +6600 ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AIR SAFETY (ENGINEERING)/ SENIOR AIR SAFETY OFFICER (ENGINEERING) IN THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 15 | ASSISTANT ORE DRESSING OFFICER IN INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES, MINISTRY OF MINES (Rs. $15600-39100+5400$ ) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other <br> Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 16 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFFICER GRADE-II (ARMAMENT), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF QUALITY ASSURANCE, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | SERVICE ENGINEER (MECHANICAL), FISHERY SURVEY OF INDIA, DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 +5400 ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADEII (MECHANICAL), OFFICE OF THE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ENGINEERING), MINISTRY OF SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | ASSISTANT ENGINEER (DESIGN) IN FISHERY SURVEY OF INDIA, DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 +4600 ) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | ASSISTANT ENGINEER (DESIGN) IN FISHERY SURVEY OF INDIA, DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHRIES, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 +4600 ) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR (SAFETY) IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF FACTORY ADVICE SERVICE AND LABOUR INSTITUTES, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| S. | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation | Classif | cation |  | Numbe | of Posts |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group 'A' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 23 | ASSISTANT RESEARCH OFFICER (OREDRESSING), INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES, MINISTRY OF MINES (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (MECHANICAL) ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 25 | AERONAUTICAL OFFICER IN THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, <br> MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs.15600-39100 $+5400)$ | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| 26 | ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER(ELECTRONICS), DIRECTRATE GENERAL OF LIGHTHOUSE AND LIGHTSHIPS, MINISTRY OF SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS (Rs. $15600-39100+5400$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | ASSISTANT DEFENCE ESTATE OFFICER (ADEO), DEFENCE ESTATE ORGANISATIONS, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF DEFENCE, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 28 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (CIVIL), ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | ASSISTANT ADVISER (PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING), DEPARTMENT OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY, MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 30 | OPERATIONS OFFICER IN THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs.15600-39100 +5400 ) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group 'A' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other <br> Backward <br> Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 31 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER GRADE-I (ELECTRONICS), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF QUALITY ASSURANCE, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.10000-15200) (PR) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 32 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (METALLURGICAL), ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 33 | SENIOR RESEARCH OFFICER (COAL), PLANNING COMMISSION (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (ELECTRICAL), OFFICE OFTHE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 35 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (MINING), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT <br> (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 |
| 36 | CIVIL HYDROGRAPHIC OFFICER IN THE INDIAN NAVY, INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 37 | ASSISTANT ENGINEER, CENTRAL GROUND WATER BOARD, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4800) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | RESEARCH OFFICER(ENGINEERING) CENTRAL SOIL AND MATERIAL RESEARCH STATION, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { Backward } \end{gathered}$ Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 39 | ASSISTANT NAVAL STORE OFFICER GRADE-I (ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS) IN INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS (NAVY), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs. 15600-39100 + 5400) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 40 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF OPERATION IN THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs. $15600-39100+6600$ ) | 17 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 17 |
| 41 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (ELECTRICAL) IN THE DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | 24 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 24 |
| 42 | JUNIOR TECHNICAL OFFICER IN THE INDIAN NAVY INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 43 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (MECHANICAL) IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMLPOYMENT (Rs 12000-16500) (PR) | 27 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 27 |
|  | TOTAL | 112 | 44 | 23 | 15 | 51 | 67 | 156 |
| FISHERY SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER (FISHING HARBOUR) INTHE DEPARTMENT OFANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 +6600 ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group 'A' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| LEGAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | ASSISTANT LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL (REGIONAL LANGUAGES- ORIYA) IN THE OFFICIAL LANGUAGES WING, LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | LEGAL OFFICER (GRADE-II) IN THE LEGAL AND TREATIES DIVISION, MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 47 | ASSISTANT LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL (REGIONAL LANGUAGES- ASSAMESE), LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT IN THE OFFICIAL LANGUAGES WING, MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 48 | PUBLIC PROSECUTOR IN CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | TOTAL | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| LIBRARY SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | ASSISTANT LIBRARY AND INFORMATION OFFICER (FRENCH- LANGUAGE) IN NATIONAL LIBRARY, KOLKATA, MINISTRY OF CULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MEDICAL (ALLOPATHIC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II IN RADIO-DIAGNOSIS, GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY (Rs.15600$39100+6600$ ) | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 51 | PATHOLOGIST, FARAKKA BARRAGE PROJECT HOSPITAL, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| S. | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation | Classi | cation |  | Numbe | of Posts |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' A ' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 52 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II (MICROBIOLOGY), NON-TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs. $15600-$ $39100+6600$ ) | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| 53 | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF PLASTIC SURGERY, SPECIALIST GRADE II IN THE TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB CADRE OFTHE CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs. $15600-39100+6600$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II, (PAEDIATRICS) IN NON TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs.15600$39100+6600$ ) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 55 | SPECIALIST (GYNAECOLOGY) IN FARAKKA BARRAGE PROJECT HOSPITAL, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs. 15600-39100 +6600 ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 56 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II (MEDICINE) IN THE NON TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs. $15600-$ $39100+6600$ ) | 1 <br>  <br>  | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 1 <br>  <br>  | 0 | 1 <br>  <br>  |
| 57 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II (DERMATOLOGY) IN THE NON TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs.15600$39100+6600$ ) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 58 | GENERAL DUTY MEDICAL OFFICER (GDMO) IN HEALTH DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY (Rs. $15600-39100+5400$ ) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' A ' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 59 | PUBLIC HEALTH SPECIALIST GRADEII (JUNIOR SCALE) IN PUBLIC HEALTH SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs. $15600-39100+6600$ ) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 |
| 60 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II (SURGERY) IN THE NON-TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs. $15600-$ $39100+6600$ ) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 61 | SPECIALIST GRADE-II (PHARMACOLOGY), NON-TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTR AL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs.15600$39100+6600)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 47 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 47 |
| MUSIC/DANCE/DRAMA/PICTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER, GRADE-II (PHOTO), CENTRAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY, CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs. 15600-39100 +5400 ) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 63 | CHIEF PHOTOGRAPHER (PREVIOUSLY PHOTOGRAPHIC OFFICER) IN ARMED FORCES HEADQUARTERS, FILM AND PHOTO DIVISION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300$34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group 'A' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| SCIENCE/TECHNICAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | SCIENTIST SB (ELECTRICAL), NATIONAL TEST HOUSE, DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (Rs.800013500) (PR) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 65 | DIRECTOR(PHYSIOLOGY) DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF FACTORY ADVICE SERVICE AND LABOUR INSTITUTES, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs. 15600-39100 + 7600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 66 | RESEARCH OFFICER, BUREAU OF POLICE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 67 | PROGRAMMER, JOINT CIPHER BUREAU, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE ( $\mathrm{R} \& \mathrm{D}$ ), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 68 | JUNIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER (EXPLOSIVE), DIRECTORATE OF FORENSIC SCIENCE, CENTRAL FORENSIC SCIENCE <br> LABORATORIES, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 69 | PSYCHOLOGIST INVOCATIONAL REHABILITATION CENTRE FOR HANDICAPPED, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 70 | SENIOR RESEARCH OFFICER (PETROLEUM), PLANNING COMMISSION (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |


| S. | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation | Classi | cation |  | Numbe | r of Posts |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' A ' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 71 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR (INDUSTRIAL PSYCHOLOGY), DIRECTOR GENERAL OF FACTORY ADVICE AND LABOUR INSTITUTES, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs. $15600-39100+6600$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 72 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (CHEMICAL), OFFICE OF DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 73 | SERVICE TECHNOLOGISTS IN FISHERY SURVEY OF INDIA INTHE DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES, <br> MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 $+4600)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 74 | TRAINING OFFICER (MACHINE TOOL MAINTAINANCE), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300$34800+4600)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 75 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (INDUSTRIAL PSYCHOLOGY) DIRECTOR GENERAL OF FACTORY ADVICE AND LABOUR INSTITUTES , MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT(Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 76 | MODELLER INTERPRETER IN ARMED FORCES HEADQUARTERS, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE $\text { (Rs. } 9300-34800+4600 \text { ) }$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 77 | MICROPHOTOGRAPHIST, NATIONAL archives of india, ministry of culture (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' A ' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 78 | SENIOR MINING GEOLOGIST IN INDIAN bureau of mines, ministry of mines (Rs. 15600-39100 +6600 ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 6 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 17 |
| SOCIAL SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (ECONOMIC INVESTIGATION), OFFICE OF THE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 0 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 22 |
| 80 | SUPERINTENDING ARCHAEOLOGIST IN THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF CULTURE (Rs. $15600-39100+6600$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 23 |
| TEACHING - MEDICAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | PROFESSOR (PAEDIATRICS), DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION (Rs. $37400-67000+10000$ ) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 82 | SENIOR LECTURER (FORENSIC MEDICINE), DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION(Rs.37400-67000 + 8600) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 83 | SENIOR LECTURER (RADIO-DIAGNOSIS), DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, CHANIDGARH ADMINISTRATION (Rs. $37400-67000+8600$ ) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |


| S. <br> No. | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 84 | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN BIO-CHEMISTRY IN THE TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs.15600$39100+6600$ ) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 85 | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN PATHOLOGY IN THE TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 86 | PROFESSOR (PHYSIOLOGY), GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION (Rs.18600-22100) (PR) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| TEACHING - NATURAL SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, (APPLIED PHYSICS), AMBEDKAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNDER DEPARTMENT OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI (Rs.12000-18300) (PR) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 88 | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR (APPLIED CHEMISTRY), AMBEDKAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNDER DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERITORRY OF DELHI (Rs.12000-18300) (PR) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Post/ Ministry/ Organisation and Pay-Scale | Classification |  | Number of Posts |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group ' A ' | Group 'B' | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Classes | Unreserved |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 93 | CIVILIAN ASSISTANT SECURITY OFFICER IN VARIOUS UNITS AT ARMY HEADQUARTERS, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 94 | TRAINING OFFICER (PRINCIPLE OF TEACHING-GENERAL) DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 95 | TRAINING OFFICER (SECRETARIAL PRACTICE) UNDER WOMEN'S VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMME, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| TOTAL |  | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 10 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | 196 | 107 | 38 | 45 | 101 | 119 | 303 |

## Appendix-27

Bulk Recruitment Cases finalised during the Year 2011-12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post/Ministry and Pay-Scale | Number of Post | Applications Received | Candidates Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (MECHANICAL) ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 68 | 16020 | 64 |
| 2 | DEPUTY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER (TECHNICAL) IN INTELLIGENCE BUREAU, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs.15600$39100+5400$ ) | 7 | 10237 | 7 |
| 3 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER(CHEMICAL) IN ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 21 | 6881 | 15 |
| 4 | SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR AND PUBLIC PROSECUTOR IN CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (Rs.1560039100+5400) | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (17+15) \end{gathered}$ | 5936 | 29 |
| 5 | MEDICAL OFFICER (AYURVEDA), DIRECTORATE OF INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE AND HOMOEOPATHY, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERITORRY OF DELHI (Rs. 8000-13500) (PR) | 10 | 4933 | 10 |
| 6 | ASSISTANT PROGRAMMER, CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (Rs.9300$34800+4200$ ) | 18 | 3712 | 14 |
| 7 | ASSISTANT NAVAL STORE OFFICER GRADE-I (ELECTRONICS AND TELE- COMMUNICATIONS) IN INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS (NAVY), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 10 | 3278 | 7 |
| 8 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (ELECTRICAL) IN THE ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 10 | 2285 | 10 |
| 9 | ASSISTANT NAVAL STORE OFFICER GR.I (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING) IN INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS (NAVY), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 8 | 1880 | 8 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post/Ministry and Pay-Scale | Number of Post | Applications Received | Candidates <br> Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 10 | LECTURER (COMPUTER ENGINEERING IN GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNICS UNDER DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERITORRY OF DELHI (Rs.15600$39100+5400$ ) | 17 | 1687 | 17 |
| 11 | LABOUR ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (CENTRAL) IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL), MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300$34800+4200$ ) | 10 | 1515 | 10 |
| 12 | ASSISTANT NAVAL STORE OFFICER GR.I (ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING) IN INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS (NAVY) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 8 | 1339 | 8 |
| 13 | INVESTIGATOR GRADE-I, LABOUR BUREAU, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 +4600) | 13 | 1125 | 13 |
| 14 | GENERAL DUTY MEDICAL OFFICER (G.D.M.O.) IN HEALTH DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 92 | 1067 | 85 |
| 15 | LABOUR ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (CENTRAL) IN THE OFFICE OF CHIEF LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL), MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 +4600) | 7 | 915 | 7 |
| 16 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR/MANAGER / TOURIST PROMOTION OFFICER, DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM, MINISTRY OF TOURISM (Rs.9300$34800+4600$ ) | 9 | 824 | 9 |
| 17 | MANAGER GRADE-I/SECTION OFFICER IN CANTEEN STORES DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 7 | 644 | 6 |
| 18 | RESEARCH OFFICER (CIVIL ENGINEERING) IN CENTRAL WATER AND POWER RESEARCH STATION, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 15 | 624 | 14 |
| 19 | LECTURER (ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING), AMBEDKAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | 5 | 609 | 5 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post/Ministry and Pay-Scale | Number of Post | Applications Received | Candidates Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 20 | ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF TRADE MARKS AND GEOLOGICAL INDICATIONS, OFFICE OF CONTROLLER GENERAL OF PATENTS, DESIGN AND TRADE MARKS, MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY (Rs.15600$39100+6600$ ) | 6 | 588 | 6 |
| 21 | ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, GRADE- II, IN THE INDIAN NAVY, INTEGRAL HEADQUARTER(NAVY) MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 +4600) | 5 | 580 | 5 |
| 22 | RESEARCH OFFICER (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING), CENTRAL WATER AND POWER RESEARCH STATION, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.15600-39100+5400) | 3 | 567 | 3 |
| 23 | LECTURER (COMPUTER SCIENCE \& ENGINEERING), AMBEDEKAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNDER DEPARTMENT OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | 5 | 559 | 5 |
|  | TOTAL | 386 | 67805 | 357 |

## Appendix-28

[Vide Chapter-6]
Statement showing Cadres where no Select List of 2010 in r/o IAS (SCS), IPS \& IFoS Cadre and 2011 in r/o IAS (NSCS) was required to be prepared Nil vacancy/None-eligible

| Sl. <br> No. | Cadre/Sub-cadre | Service |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Assam | IAS(NSCS) |
| 2. | Bihar | IFoS |
| 3. | Gujarat | IFoS |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | IAS |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | IAS(NSCS) |
| 6. | Himachal Pradesh | IFoS |
| 7. | Karnataka | IAS(NSCS) |
| 8. | Kerala | IAS(NSCS) |
| 9. | Manipur | IAS(NSCS) |
| 10. | Manipur | IFoS |
| 11. | Meghalaya | IAS(NSCS) |
| 12. | Nagaland | IAS(NSCS) |
| 13. | Orissa | IAS(NSCS) |
| 14. | Orissa | IPS^ |
| 15. | Sikkim | IAS(NSCS) |
| 16. | Uttar Pradesh | IAS(NSCS) |
| 17. | Mizoram | IFoS |
| 18. | West Bengal | IFoS |
| 19. | Haryana | IFoS |
| 20. | Uttarakhand | IAS (NSCS) |
| 21. | Arunachal Pradesh | IAS (NSCS) |
| 22. | Mizoram | IAS (NSCS) |
| 23. | AGMUT(UT) | IAS (NSCS) |
|  |  |  |

*None eligible
Legend: IAS-Indian Administrative Service
IPS-Indian Police Service
IFoS-Indian Forest Service
SCS-State Civil Service
NSCS-Non-State Civil Service

## Appendix-29

[Vide Chapter-6].
Promotion to All India Services - Meetings held during 2011-12

## 1. Meetings held:-

During the year 2011-12, the Commission convened 66 Selection Committee Meetings and 10 Review Meetings involving $\mathbf{1 6 0 1}$ officers for promotion to IAS/IPS/IFoS from State Civil Services, Non-State Civil Services, State Police Services and State Forest Services of different States/UTs. Out of these, in 31 Selection Committee Meetings, the Select Lists for the current year were finalized.
(i) IAS (SCS):- The Commission received 12 proposals for preparation of the Select List for the existing vacancies of 2010. The Selection Committee Meetings for promotion from State Civil Service to the IAS were convened for 08 Cadres. In two cadres the SCM could not be held due to Court order. For the remaining cadres, the Selection Committee Meeting could not be held as the concerned State Govt. could not furnish the deficient documents in time.
(ii) IAS (Non-SCS) :- During the year the Commission received 10 proposals for consideration of the cases of Non-SCS officers for appointment to the IAS and Meetings in respect of 06 Cadres were held. In one cadre, the SCM was adjourned due to court orders. For the remaining cadres, the Selection Committee Meeting could not be held as the concerned State Government/UTs could not furnish the deficient documents/information by $31^{\text {st }}$ December, 2011.
(iii) IPS:- The Commission received 15 proposals for preparation of the Select Lists for the existing vacancies of 2010 and Selection Committee Meetings for promotion to IPS from State Police Service for 12 Cadres were held. Selection Committee Meeting in respect of the remaining Cadres could not be held due to non-receipt of deficient documents.
(iv) IFoS:- The Commission received 06 proposals for preparation of Select Lists for the existing vacancies of 2010. Selection Committee meetings for promotion to IFoS from State Forest Service in respect of 05 cadre/sub-cadres were held. In one cadre the SCM could not be held due to a court order for an RSCM having a bearing on SL 2010. For the remaining cadres the Selection Committee Meeting could not be held as the State Governments could not furnish deficient documents/information.
2. Preparation of year-wise Select Lists:- During the year 2011-12, the Select Lists of previous years were prepared in respect of the following Cadres/Sub-Cadres:-

| Cadre | Service | Select Lists prepared |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Haryana | IFoS | $2008 \& 2009$ |
| Arunachal Pradesh | IFoS | $2008 \& 2009$ |
| Maharashtra | IAS | $2009-A$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | IAS | $2009-A$ |
| West Bengal | IAS | $2009-A$ |
| Goa | IFoS | 2009 |


| Cadre | Service | Select Lists prepared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manipur | IAS | 2008 \& 2009 |
| Madhya Pradesh | IAS | 2008-A \& 2009 |
| Madhya Pradesh | IFoS | 2008-A \& 2009 |
| Bihar | IAS | 2006-A \& 2007 |
| Kerala | IPS | 2008-A \& 2009 |
| Kerala | IFoS | 2007-A -2009 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | IFoS | 2006-A -2009 |
| Sikkim | IAS | 2008-A \& 2009 |
| Maharashtra | IPS | 2008-A \& 2009 |
| West Bengal | IFoS | 2009-A |
| Jharkhand | IAS | 2008-A \& 2009 |
| Nagaland | IPS | 2009 |
| Nagaland | IFoS | 2009 |
| Uttar Pradesh | IFoS | 2000-2009 |

3. Review Selection Committee Meetings:- In pursuance of CAT/High Court/Supreme Court directions, 10 meetings of Review Selection Committee involving 35 officers have been held during the year 2011-12 (Appendix-30).
Appendix-30
Review meetings held as a result of

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | State | Name of Court | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OA/WP/CP } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | In the matter of | Date of the Judgment | Date of Meeting | No of officer considered | No of officer recommended | Service concerned | Select List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Karnataka | CAT Principal Bench, New Delhi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OA No } \\ & 2758 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | S.Puttaswamy | 23.12.10 | 01.03.11 | 1 | 1 | IAS | 2007 |
| 2 | Jharkhand | Supreme court | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CA No } \\ & 2243 / 2010 \end{aligned}$ | State of <br> Jharkhand Vs <br> Arun | $\begin{gathered} \hline 07.12 .10 \& \\ 23.02 .11 \end{gathered}$ | 10.03.11 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IAS (Non- } \\ & \text { SCS) } \end{aligned}$ | 2002 |
| 3 | Goa | CAT, Mumbai | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { OA No } \\ 851 / 2001 \end{array}$ | D.N.F. Carvalho | 03.12.02 | 14.03.11 | 2 | 1 | IFoS | 2001 |
| 4 | Haryana | High Court, Delhi | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { WP No } \\ 6474 / 03 \end{array}$ | J.D.Naharwal | 11.08.11 | 21.03.11 | 23 | 7 | IAS | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ \& 2002 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Orissa | CAT, Cuttack | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { OA No } \\ 443 / 2008 \end{array}$ | Lingaraj Khadenga | 13.08 .10 | 04.04.11 | 1 | 1 | IAS | 2000 |
| 6 | Kerala | CAT, Earnakulam | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OA No } \\ & 407 / 2009 \end{aligned}$ | B.Mohanan | 30.03.10 | 17.06.11 | 1 | 0 | IAS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2006,2007 \\ \& 2008 \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Assam | CAT, Guwahati | OA No 28/10 | R.C.Goswami, Amrit Kr Das, Anup Kr Das | $\begin{aligned} & 13.03 .11 \\ & 26.07 .11 \\ & 26.09 .11 \end{aligned}$ | 09.12.11 | 3 | 1 | IFoS | 2008 |
| 8 | Uttarakhand | CAT, Allahabad | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { OA No } \\ 1243 / 2009 \end{array}$ | G.N.Goswami | 31.03.11 | 23.12.11 | 1 | 1 | IPS | 2002 |
| 9 | Chattisgarh | CAT, Principal Bench | OA No 59/11 | B.P. Singh | 25.08.11 | 23.02.12 | 1 | 1 | IFoS | 2007-A |
| 10 | Uttarakhand | CAT, Allahabad | OA No 863/08 | Pushpak Jyoti | 20.04.11 | 02.03.12 | 1 | 1 | IPS | 2005 |

## Appendix - 31

[Vide Chapter-6]
All India Services-Selection Committee Meetings not held during 2011-12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Cadre | Service | Reasons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | IAS(SCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 2. | Andhra Pradesh | IAS(NSCS) | SCM held but adjourned on court directions. |
| 3. | Assam | IFoS | Incomplete proposal. |
| 4. | Bihar | IAS(SCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 5. | Bihar | IAS(NSCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 6. | Bihar | IPS | Incomplete proposal |
| 7. | Chhattisgarh | IAS(NSCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 8. | Chhattisgarh | IPS | Incomplete proposal |
| 9. | Chhattisgarh | IFoS | Proceedings of an RSCM having a bearing on select list 2010, were yet to attain finality. |
| 10. | Haryana | IAS | Due to various court direction. |
| 11. | Haryana | IAS (NSCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 12. | Jammu and Kashmir | IAS | Proposal not received. |
| 13. | Jammu and Kashmir | IAS (NSCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 14. | Jammu and Kashmir | IPS | Proposal not received. |
| 15. | Jammu and Kashmir | IFoS | Vacancy not determined. |
| 16. | Jharkhand | IAS(SCS) | Previous select list not finalised. |
| 17. | Jharkhand | IAS (NSCS) | Vacancy not determined. |
| 18. | Jharkhand | IFoS | Vacancy not determined. |
| 19. | Karnataka | IFoS | Proposal not received. |
| 20. | Kerala | IAS(SCS) | SCM postponed on request of SG. |
| 21. | Kerala | IPS | Proposal not received |
| 22. | Kerala | IFoS | Vacancy not determined |
| 23. | Maharashtra | IAS(SCS) | Proposal not received |
| 24. | Maharashtra | IPS | Proposal not received |
| 25. | Maharashtra | IFoS | Proposal not received |
| 26. | Madhya Pradesh | IAS(SCS) | Proposal not received |
| 27. | Madhya Pradesh | IAS(NSCS) | Incomplete proposal. |
| 28. | Madhya Pradesh | IFoS | Vacancy not determined. |


| S. <br> No. | Cadre | Service | Reasons |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29. | Manipur | IPS | Vacancy not determined. |
| 30. | Meghalaya | IFoS | Incomplete proposal. |
| 31. | Nagaland | IAS | Incomplete proposal. |
| 32. | Nagaland | IFoS | Vacancy not determined |
| 33. | Punjab | IAS | SCM postponed in view of Court order. |
| 34. | Punjab | IAS (NSCS) | Incomplete proposal. |
| 35. | Punjab | IPS | Proposal not received |
| 36. | Rajasthan | IAS(SCS) | Proposal not received |
| 37. | Rajasthan | IFoS | Papancy not determined. |
| 38. | Rajasthan | IAS(SCS) | Vacancy not determined. |
| 39. | Sikkim | IAS(SCS) | Proposal not received. |
| 40. | Uttar Pradesh | IPS | Proposal not received. |
| 41. | Uttar Pradesh | IFoS | Vacancy not determined. |
| 42. | Uttar Pradesh | IAS | Incomplete Proposal |
| 43. | Uttarakhand | IFoS | Vacancy not determined. |
| 44. | Uttarakhand | IPS | Incomplete proposal |
| 45. | Arunachal Pradesh | IFoS | Prancy not determined |
| 46. | Goa | West Bengal |  |
| 47. | IFot received |  |  |

## Appendix-32

(Vide Chapter 6)
Ministries/Departments/Union Territories who did not forward the half yearly returns of adhoc appointments made to Group ' $A$ ' and Group ' $B$ ' Posts/Services during the year 2011-12

1. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation
2. Department of Agricultural Research and Education
3. Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries
4. Department of Chemicals and PetroChemicals
5. Department of Pharmaceuticals
6. Ministry of Civil Aviation
7. Ministry of Coal
8. Department of Telecommunications
9. Department of Information Technology
10. Ministry of Corporate Affairs
11. Ministry of Culture
12. Ministry of Earth Sciences
13. Ministry of Environment and Forests
14. Ministry of External Affairs
15. Ministry of Finance
16. Ministry of Food Processing Industries
17. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
18. Ministry of Heavy Industries and Public Enterprises
19. Department of Official Language
20. Office of the Registrar General, India
21. Bureau of Police Research \& Development
22. Intelligence Bureau
23. Department of School Education and Literacy
24. Ministry of Information and Broadcasting
25. Ministry of Labour and Employment
26. Department of Legal Affairs
27. Department of Justice
28. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises
29. Ministry of Mines
30. Ministry of Minority Affairs
31. Ministry of New and Renewable Energy
32. Ministry of Overseas Indian Affairs
33. Ministry of Panchayati Raj
34. Department of Personnel and Training
35. Staff Selection Commission
36. Central Bureau of Investigation
37. Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas
38. Ministry of Power
39. Ministry of Railways
40. Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
41. Department of Land Resources
42. Department of Scientific and Industrial Research
43. Department of Bio-Technology
44. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment
45. Ministry of Textiles
46. Ministry of Tourism
47. Ministry of Tribal Affairs
48. Ministry of Urban Development
49. Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation
50. Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports
51. Election Commission of India
52. Administration of Daman \& Diu
53. Pondicherry Administration
54. Lakshadweep Administration
55. Chandigarh Administration
Appendix - 33
Adhoc Appointment to Group ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' Posts/Services in the Ministries/Departments which continued beyond a period of one year during the year 2011-12 and reported to the Commission through half-yearly returns

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Ministry/ <br> Department | Name of Post | Whether RR Exist | Mode of recruitment | Pay Scale/ <br> Pay Band | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year of } \\ \text { adhoc } \\ \text { appointment } \end{gathered}$ | No. of adhoc appointments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.6.2011 |  | 31.12.2011 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gr. 'A' | Gr. 'B' | Gr. 'A' | Gr. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ ' |
| 1 | Ministry of Commerce \& Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Department of Industrial Policy \& Promotion | Economic Officer |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4600 } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | Nil | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | Ministry of Home Affairs |  |  |  |  |  | Nil | Nil |  |  |
| 3 | Ministry of Science \& Technology |  |  |  |  |  | Nil | Nil |  |  |
| 4 | Administration of Dadra \& Nagar Haveli |  |  |  |  |  | Nil | Nil |  |  |
| 5 | Planning Commission | Section Officer |  | Information not furnished | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4800 } \end{aligned}$ | 2007 | Nil | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4800 } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | Nil | 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4800 } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | Nil | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | Assistant |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4200 } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | Nil | 8 |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Ministry/ <br> Department | Name of Post | Whether RR Exist | Mode of recruitment | Pay Scale/ <br> Pay Band | Year ofadhocappointment | No. of adhoc appointments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.6.2011 |  | 31.12.2011 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gr. 'A' | Gr. 'B' | Gr. 'A' | Gr.'B' |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4200 } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | Nil | 25 |  |  |
|  |  | Senior <br> Research Officer |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 15600- \\ & 39100+ \\ & \text { GP-6600 } \end{aligned}$ | 2005 | 1 | Nil |  |  |
|  |  | Economic Officer | under revision |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 9300- \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4600 } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 | Nil | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs.9300- } \\ & 34800+ \\ & \text { GP-4600 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | Nil | 1 |  |  |
| 6 | Department of Defence Production |  |  |  |  |  | Nil | Nil |  |  |

## Appendix-34

Statement showing Ministry/Department wise break-up of vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes officers and the number of Scheduled Castes/ Scheduled Tribes officers recommended for appointment against reserved/unreserved vacancies by the Departmental Promotion Committees for the year 2011-12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Ministries/Departments | No. of vacancies reserved |  |  | No. of Officers recommended against reserved vacancies |  |  | No. of Officers recommended against unreserved vacancies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SC | ST | Total | SC | ST | Total | SC | ST | Total |
| 1 | Agriculture \& Cooperation | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 2 | Civil Aviation | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | Commerce \& Industry | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| 4 | Consumer Affairs | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| 5 | Corporate Affairs | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 6 | Culture | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 7 | Central Bureau of Investigation | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 8 | Defence | 185 | 117 | 302 | 119 | 39 | 158 | 65 | 12 | 77 |
| 9 | External Affairs | 13 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 10 | Finance (CAG) | 6 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 11 | Finance (Revenue) | 50 | 26 | 76 | 48 | 25 | 73 | 42 | 32 | 74 |
| 12 | Expenditure | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| 13 | Economic Affairs | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| 14 | Environment \& Forests | 1 | - | 1 | 6 | - | 6 | 6 | - | 6 |
| 15 | Health \& Family Welfare | - | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | 6 | 39 |
| 16 | Home Affairs (Central Reserve Police Force) | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 17 | Central Industrial Security Force, MHA | 17 | 6 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 19 |
| 18 | Home Affairs (RGI) | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | Intelligence Bureau ( Home Affairs) | 122 | 56 | 178 | 74 | 33 | 107 | 13 | 1 | 14 |
| 20 | Human Resource Development | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | Information \& Broadcasting | 20 | 13 | 33 | 36 | 15 | 51 | 16 | 5 | 21 |
| 22 | Labour \& Empowerment | 6 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 16 |
| 23 | Law \& Justice | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 |
| 24 | Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 25 | Parliamentary Affairs | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 26 | Railways | 64 | 126 | 190 | 67 | 26 | 93 | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| 27 | Deptt.of Personnel \& Training | 110 | 69 | 179 | 93 | 15 | 108 | 69 | - | 69 |
| 28 | Border Security Force (MHA) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 29 | Delhi Jal Board | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 30 | Directorate of Coordination in Police Wireless | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Ministries/Departments | No. of vacancies reserved |  |  | No. of Officers recommended against reserved vacancies |  |  | No. of Officers recommended against unreserved vacancies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SC | ST | Total | SC | ST | Total | SC | ST | Total |
| 31 | Coal | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 32 | Science \& Technology | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 42 | 19 | 61 |
| 33 | Power | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 34 | Telecommunication \& IT | 28 | 9 | 37 | 25 | 7 | 32 | - | - | - |
| 35 | Union Public Service Commission | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 36 | Shipping \& Road Transport \& Highways | 1 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 17 |
| 37 | Supply | 3 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | Statistics \& Prog. Impl. | 8 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 20 |
| 39 | Urban Development | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 7 |
| 40 | Mines | 41 | 18 | 59 | 16 | 4 | 20 | 7 | - | 7 |
| 41 | Water Resources | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 17 |
| 42 | E.S.I.C | 38 | 14 | 52 | 38 | 14 | 52 | 36 | 9 | 45 |
| 43 | N.C.T. of Delhi | 21 | 5 | 26 | 20 | 3 | 23 | 57 | - | 57 |
| 44 | Puducherry Admn | 6 | - | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | - | 4 |
| 45 | Central Vigilance Commission | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 46 | MHA (DANICS) | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 23 | 9 | 32 |
| 47 | MHA (DANIPS) | 29 | 14 | 43 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 11 | 8 | 19 |
|  | TOTAL | 806 | 506 | 1312 | 654 | 237 | 891 | 565 | 168 | 733 |

Recruitment of candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes／Scheduled Tribes／Other Backward Classes against vacancies

|  |  | 9 | む | $\bigcirc$ | in | N | ＋ | へ | m | ＇ | 亿 | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 1 | ＇ | $\bar{\infty}$ | । | $\sqrt{4}$ | \＃ | ＇ | । | を | 《 |
|  |  | $\wedge$ | ミ | ה | $\stackrel{\infty}{=}$ | $\hat{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Z | Z |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 俞 | ®̀ | 6 | Ј | $$ | 《 | 《 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{m}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\circ}{7}$ | $\overline{\sigma_{\delta}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \infty \\ \underset{\substack{n}}{~} \end{array}$ | 《 | そ |
|  |  | $\pm$ | त | ก | さ | 佥 | $\approx$ | $\gtrless$ | ב̆ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { n } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | Z |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | d | ＇ | ＋ | $\cdots$ | ， | m | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | $\sim$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}= \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | \＃ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ |  |
|  |  | $=$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | F | in | の | $\bigcirc$ | ते | $\pm$ | の | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |
|  |  | 앙 | さ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | 古 | $\cdots$ | ส | ते | さ | $\cdots$ | へ |
|  |  | $a$ | g\％ | $\stackrel{\aleph}{\Omega}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | 吉 | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \text { in } \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\wedge}$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | さ | $\bigcirc$ | 尔 | 溒 | n | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | ते | さ | $\cdots$ | $\cong$ |
|  |  | $\wedge$ | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | $\cdots$ | － | ＋ | － | ＇ | ＇ | へ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{1}{4} \\ \text { o } \\ \frac{\pi}{n} \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ， | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | \＃ | ＇ | ＇ | ＇ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{c}} \\ \substack{* \\ k} \end{array}$ |
|  |  | in | ® | $\cdots$ | Ј | $\because$ | $\infty$ | m | ケ | き | $\bigcirc$ | 8 |
|  |  | ＋ | ¢ | $\pm$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\cong}{7}$ | $\sigma$ | m | 7 | き | $\bigcirc$ | $\cong$ |
|  |  | $m$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $$ | $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \infty \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | च | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \underset{\text { IN }}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 응 | N |
|  |  | $\sim$ | ¢ | $\cdots$ | $\sigma$ | $\cong$ | $\infty$ | \％ | 7 | 乌 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Name of the Examina- | SCHEDULED CASTES |  |  |  |  |  | SCHEDULED TRIBES |  |  |  |  |  | OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of vacancies reserved. | No. of candidates appeared. | No. of candi- dates reco- mmend- ed. | No. of <br> candi- <br> dates <br> reco- <br> mmend- <br> ed <br> against <br> the re- <br> served <br> vacan- <br> cies. | Shortfall | No. of candidates reco-mmended at the normal standard. *夫 | No. of vacancies reserved. | No. of candidates appeared. | No. of candidates reco-mmended. | No. of candi- <br> dates <br> reco- mmend- <br> ed <br> against <br> the <br> reserved vacancies. | Shortfall | No. of candidates reco-mmended at the normal standard. | No. of vacancies reserved. | No. of candidates appeared. | No. of candidates reco-mmended. | No. of candi- dates reco- mend- ed against the re- served vacan- cies. | Shortfall | No. of candidates reco-mmended at the normal standard. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Special Class <br> prentices' Railways Ap- <br> Examination, <br> 2010@  | 6 | 12574 | 7 | 6 | - | 1 | 3 | 3053 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 10 | 35588 | 13* | 10 | - | 2 |
| Special Class <br> prentices' Railways Ap- <br> Examination, <br> 2011@  | 6 | 12242 | 6 | 6 | - | - | 4 | 2627 | 4 | 4 | - | - | 11 | 32070 | 13 | 11 | - | 2 |
| Total | 821 | 59469 | 749 | 680 | 102 | **69 | 431 | 21403 | 344 | 328 | 89 | **16 | 1161 | 141838 | 1175 | 874 | 5 | **300 |

[^9]
## Appendix-36

List of posts reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Other Backward Classes for which no such candidate applied during the Year 2011-12

| S1. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of posts reserved for |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Scheduled <br> Castes | Scheduled <br> Tribes | Other <br> Backward <br> Classes |  |
| 1 | Specialist Grade-II (Junior Scale) in radio-diagnosis, <br> Government of Puducherry (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 2 | Senior Lecturer (Radio-diagnosis), Department of <br> Medical Education and Research Government Medical <br> College and Hospital Chandigarh Administration <br> (Rs.15600-39100+6600) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 3 | Training Officer (Secretarial Practice) under women's <br> vocational training programme, Directorate General <br> of Employment and Training, Ministry of Labour and <br> Employment (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | 1 | - | 1 |

## Appendix-37

Number of Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe/Other Backward Classes candidates recommended against unreserved vacancies through Direct Recruitment by Selection during the year 2011-12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (MINING), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 2 | ASSISTANT NAVAL STORE OFFICER GR.I (ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING) IN INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS (NAVY), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | ASSISTANT NAVAL STORE OFFICER GRADE-I (ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS) IN INTEGRATED HEADQUARTERS (NAVY), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | ECONOMIC OFFICER IN DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS, MINISTRY OF FINANCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AIR SAFETY (ENGINEERING)/SENIOR AIR SAFETY OFFICER (ENGINEERING) IN THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 6 | SENIOR MINING GEOLOGIST IN INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES, MINISTRY OF MINES (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | DIRECTOR OF PRINTING AND STATIONERY, DEPARTMENT OF STATIONERY AND PRINTING, GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY (Rs.15600- $39100+7600)$ | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-I (ELECTRONICS), OFFICE OF DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR/MANAGER / TOURIST PROMOTION OFFICER, MINISTRY OF TOURISM (Rs.9300-34800 +4600 ) | 1 | - | - | 1 |


| S1. <br> No. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other <br> Backward <br> Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 10 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR (SAFETY) IN THE DIRECTORATE GENERAL FACTORY ADVICE SERVICE AND LABOUR INSTITUTES, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.1000015200) (PR) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | STATION OFFICER IN DELHI FIRE SERVICE, HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI (Rs.9300-34800+4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (HINDI TYPE-WRITING AND STENOGRAPHY) CENTRAL HINDI TRAINING INSTITUTE, DEPARTMENT OF OFFICIAL LANGUAGE, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | PROGRAMMER, JOINT CIPHER BUREAU, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE (R\&D), MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | ASSISTANT DEFENCE ESTATE OFFICERS (ADEO), DEFENCE ESTATE ORGANISATION, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF DEFENCE, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 15 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER GRADE-I (ENGINEERING), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF QUALITY ASSURANCE, DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.10000-15200) (PR) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 16 | RESEARCH OFFICER (SCIENTIFIC GEOPHYSICS) IN THE CENTRAL WATER AND POWER RESEARCH STATION, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | TRANSLATION OFFICER (RUSSIAN/ ENGLISH) IN INDIAN NAVY, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4200) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | PUBLIC PROSECUTOR IN CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (Rs.15600$39100+5400$ ) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 19 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (TECHNICAL) IN THE OFFICE OF THE TEXTILE COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF TEXTILES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |


| Sl. <br> No. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 20 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH), GRADE-I, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | ASSISTANT PROGRAMMER, CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (Rs.9300$34800+4200$ ) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER GRADE II (FINGER PRINT) IN CENTRAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY (CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION), MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| 23 | ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN BIO-CHEMISTRY IN THE TEACHING SPECIALIST SUB-CADRE OF CENTRAL HEALTH SERVICES, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (Rs.15600-39100 +6600 ) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 24 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, GRADE-II (ECONOMIC INVESTIGATION), OFFICE OF THE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs. $9300-34800+4600$ ) | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| 25 | ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (CIVIL), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF LIGHTHOUSES AND LIGHTSHIPS, MINISTRY OF SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS (Rs.15600$39100+5400$ ) | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| 26 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (METALLUGRY), OFFICE OF DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (ELECTRICAL), OFFICE OF THE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 2 | 2 |


| S1. <br> No. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 28 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ENTOMOLOGY) IN DIRECTORATE OF PLANT PROTECTION, QUARANTINE AND STORAGE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | LABOUR ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (CENTRAL) IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL), MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 + 4200) | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| 30 | SENIOR RESEARCH OFFICER (GEOLOGY) IN PLANNING COMMISSION (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | PRINCIPAL (SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL)/ DEPUTY EDUCATION OFFICER/ LECTURER/ PRINCIPAL(STE)/ DEPUTY DIRECTOR (AE) IN THE DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION, ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR ADMINISTRATION (Rs.1000015200) (PR) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | ASSISTANT EDUCATION OFFICER (PHYSICAL), MUNCIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | DEPUTY MINERAL ECONOMIST (INTELLIGENCE) IN INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES, MINISTRY OF MINES (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | PLANT PROTECTION OFFICER (PLANT PATHOLOGY) IN THE DIRECTORATE OF PLANT PROTECTION, QUARANTINE AND STORAGE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | DEPUTY LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL (HINDI) IN THE LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 36 | PROFESSOR (MATHEMATICS), NAVAL ACADEMY, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.16400-22400) (PR) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | PLANT PROTECTION OFFICER (ENTOMOLOGY) IN THE DIRECTORATE OF PLANT PROTECTION, QUARANTINE AND STORAGE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 1 | - | - | 1 |


| S1. <br> No. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 38 | DIRECTOR OF LABORATORIES, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION, DIRECTORATE OF MARKETING AND INSPECTION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | ASSISTANT EDITOR IN INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES, MINISTRY OF MINES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | INVESTIGATOR GRADE-I, LABOUR BUREAU, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| 41 | LECTURER (HISTORY), JAWAHARLAL NEHRU RAJKEEYA MAHAVIDYALAYA, PORT BLAIR, ANADAMAN AND NICOBAR ADMINISTRATION (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 42 | RESEARCH OFFICER (ENGINEERING) CENTRAL SOIL AND MATERIAL RESEARCH STATION, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.15600$39100+5400$ ) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 43 | CHIEF INSTRUCTOR (MARINE ENGINEERING), CENTRAL INSTITUTE OF FISHERIES, NAUTICAL AND ENGINEERING TRAINING, DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 44 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (MECHANICAL) IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.12000-16500) (PR) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 45 | LECTURER (COMPUTER SCIENCE \& ENGINEERING), AMBEDKAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNDER DEPARTMENT OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 46 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF TRAINING (ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING) UNDER DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYEMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 1 | 1 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 47 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER (GR- II) (ARMAMENT) IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF QUALITY ASSURANCE, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 48 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (BALLISTICS) CENTRAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY, DIRECTORATE OF FORENSIC SCIENCE, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | VETERINARY ASSISTANT SURGEON GR-A, DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | LABOUR ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (CENTRAL) IN THE OFFICE OF CHIEF LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL), MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 51 | LEGAL OFFICER (GR-II) IN THE LEGAL \& TREATIES DIVISION, MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 52 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GRADE-II (NONTECHNICAL) IN THE OFFICE OFTHE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER FOR HANDLOOMS, MINISTRY OF TEXTILES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 53 | ASSISTANT LIBRARY AND INFORMATION OFFICER (GENERAL) IN NATIONAL LIBRARY, MINISTRY OF CULTURE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 54 | ASSISTANT ENGINEER, CENTRAL GROUND WATER BOARD, MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4800) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | SUPERINTENDENT (LEGAL) IN THE DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS, MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4800) | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 56 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (AIRCRAFT <br> ENGINEERING), DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 57 | ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER GRADE-II IN GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF MINES (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |


| S1. <br> No. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled Tribes | Other Backward Classes | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 58 | SENIOR SCIENTIFIC OFFICER GRADE I (METALLURGY) IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF QUALITY ASSURANCE, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.10000-15200) (PR) | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| 59 | DEPUTY AGRICULTURAL MARKETING ADVISER (GROUP-I) (SURVEY, TRAINING AND QUALITY CONTROL) DIRECTORATE OF MARKETING AND INSPECTION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 60 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR (WEAVING) IN WEAVERS' SERVICE CENTRE, OFFICE OF DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER FOR HANDLOOMS, MINISTRY OF TEXTILES (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 61 | OPERATIONS OFFICER IN THE OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (Rs.15600-39100 +5400 ) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 62 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES SAFETY (ELECTRICAL) IN THE DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF MINES SAFETY, MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (Rs.15600-39100 + 7600) | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 63 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (MECHANICAL), ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 1 | - | 15 | 16 |
| 64 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (ELECTRICAL) IN THE ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| 65 | JUNIOR WORKS MANAGER (CLOTHING TECHNOLOGY) IN ORDNANCE FACTORY BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (Rs.9300-34800 + 4600) | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 66 | GENERAL DUTY MEDICAL OFFICER (G.D.M.O.) IN HEALTH DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY (Rs.15600-39100 + 5400) | 2 | - | 30 | 32 |
| 67 | MEDICAL OFFICER (AYURVEDA), DIRECTORATE OF INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE AND HOMOEOPATHY, GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERITORRY OF DELHI (Rs.8000-13500) (PR) | - | - | 1 | 1 |


| S1. | Name of Post and Pay-Scale | Number of Candidates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Scheduled <br> Castes |  | Scheduled <br> Tribes |  |  |
| Other <br> Backward <br> Classes | Total <br> $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ |
| 68 | SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, CENTRAL <br> BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, MINISTRY <br> OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND <br> PENSIONS (Rs.15600-39100 + 6600) | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 69 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (CHEMISTRY) INTHE <br> CENTRAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY, <br> DIRECTORATE OF FORENSIC SCIENCE, <br> MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (Rs.15600-39100 + <br> 6600) | 1 | - | - | 1 |

Appendix－38 （Vide Chapter 8）
Disciplinary cases dealt with during the year 2011－12

| Brought forward | 172 |  | Total cases disposed of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Received during the year | 655 | Closing balance | 679 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ |  | 148 |


| jo pesodstp seses［e7OL |  |  | $\cdots$ | 앙 | $a$ | m | $\pm$ | e | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  |  | 산 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  <br> әэ！̣ре јо ләqunu［ełOL |  |  | $\cdots$ | 앙 | $a$ | ※ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| s．8u！pəəวолd onou－ə |  |  | － | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| ио！̣еләр！suоәәл ио рәтеләт！әл әэ！лри |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { әэ!лре } \\ \text { әп!џәђә [еұоц } \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | 9 | $\infty$ | ※ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | － |
|  |  | poddoxp su！pəәวoءd | $\pm$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $-$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | uoisued u！ 7 D | $\stackrel{3}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | N | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | axnsuəว | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | uo！ <br>  | $\Xi$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | 10 | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { yuex } \\ \text { u! uo!̣号poy } \end{array}$ | の | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | ұนәนәม！ Kıosinduo | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | N |
|  |  | ［enowəy | へ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | ［ess！us！a | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | ،C，dno．n | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $m$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | 6，dno．n | ＋ | $\square$ | N | $\wedge$ | $\square$ | $\wedge$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | ， 9 ，dno．s | $\cdots$ | N | $\square$ | $\stackrel{3}{\square}$ | $m$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | ， V ，dnoin | N | $\ni$ | 10 | $\cdots$ | の | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { UZ } \\ & \text { H } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i n \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & . \vec{U} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dot{i} \dot{\circ}$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | N | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\wedge$ |


| јо pasods！p sases［7OL |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | 18 | in | ¢ | $\stackrel{9}{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| ә！ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  <br>  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{\substack{\text { ® }}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{\text { N }}$ |
| pənss！ฮə7จ <br> әэ！ире јо ләqunu［етоц |  |  | $\infty$ | in | 15 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ |
|  |  |  | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | ＋ |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $+$ | in |
| ио！̣еェәр！suоэәл <br>  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | in |
| in which advice was communicatedPenalty advised |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { әэ!лре } \\ \text { әл!рэәјө }{ }^{\text {[епоо }} \end{array}$ | $\infty$ | \％ | 15 | さ̀ | $\frac{8}{7}$ |
|  |  | paddoxp ภи！ | ＋ | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | 간 | N |
|  |  | uolsuad u！mio | 子 | － | $\checkmark$ | N | $\stackrel{-}{6}$ |
|  |  | ə．xnsuoว | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | N |
|  |  | uопоиолд јо яицрроччн！м | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { so!̣puәd } \\ \text { Kse!̣unood } \neq * \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\square$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | 끽 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { צиех } \\ \text { u! uọ̣эnpəy } \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | F | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | ұиәшәมұәх Kios［nduo | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\pm$ |
|  |  | ［enoury | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | ［ess！us！a | $\checkmark$ | N | $\checkmark$ | N | $\cdots$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 凶 ゙ \\ \tilde{u} \end{gathered}$ |  | ، $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{dnox}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － |
|  |  | ،ว，dno． | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { N }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | ،9，dnox | त | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | in | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ |
|  |  | ،．V，dno． | F | $n$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ก |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W |
| $\dot{\dot{Z}}$ |  |  | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | F |  |

[^10] Government by negligence or breach of orders．
Appendix-39


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the Ministry/ Department/State Government | Cases involving charge affecting integrity |  |  |  | Cases involving charge other than those affecting integrity |  |  |  | Advice to hold De-novo proceedings | Advice of miscellaneous nature | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grand } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { column } \\ 6,10 \& \\ 11 \& 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. in which major penalty | No. in which minor penalty | No. in which no penalty was advised | Total | No. in which major penalty was advised | No. in which minor penalty was <br> advised | No. in which penalty was advised | Total |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1. | AGRICULTURE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2. | CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD \& PD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3. | COMMERCE \& INDUSTRY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. | COMMUNICATIONS \& I.T. | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 57 | 17 | 3 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 5. | DEFENCE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6. | ENVIRONMENT \& FORESTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7. | EXTERNAL AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 8. | FINANCE | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 20 | 5 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 82 |
| 9. | HEALTH \& FAMILY WELFARE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 10. | HOME AFFAIRS | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 17 | 4 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 60 |
| 11. | HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12. | INFORMATION \& BROADCASTING | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 13. | LABOUR \& EMPLOYMENT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 14. | PER., PUB. GRIEVANCES \& PENSIONS | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 14 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the Ministry/ Department/State Government | Cases involving charge affecting integrity |  |  |  | Cases involving charge other than those affecting integrity |  |  |  | Advice to hold De-novo proceedings | Advice of miscellaneous nature | Grand Total of column 6,10 \& $11 \& 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. in which major penalty was advised | No. in which minor penalty was advised | No. in which no penalty was advised | Total | No. in which major penalty was advised | No. in which minor penalty was advised | No. in which no penalty was advised | Total |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 15. | STATISTICS \& PROG IMPLEMENTATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16. | RAILWAYS | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 18 | 5 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 70 |
| 17. | SHIPPING, R.T. \& HIGHWAYS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 18. | TEXTILES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19. | URBAN DEVELOPMENT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 20. | WELFARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 21. | PLANNING COMMISSION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 22. | POWER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 23. | MINES | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 24. | ATOMIC ENERGY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 25. | SPACE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 26. | BIHAR | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 27. | GUJARAT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 28. | HARYANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 29. | HIMACHAL PRADESH | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 30. | MADHYA PRADESH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 31. | RAJASTHAN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 32. | TAmil nadu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 33. | UTTAR PRADESH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 34. | YOUTH AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Total | 58 | 7 | 1 | 66 | 212 | 109 | 28 | 349 | 5 | 4 | 424 |

Appendix-40
Ministry-wise number of cases where offers of appointment to recommended candidates were delayed by the

| S1. <br> No. | Name of Ministry/ Department | Number of Cases/Posts in which offers were delayed by |  |  |  | Number of Cases/Posts in which offers have not yet been made even after a period of |  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One <br> Year and Above But Less Than Two Years | Two <br> Years and Above But Less Than Three Years | Three <br> Years and Above But Less Than Four Years | Four <br> Years and Above | One <br> Year and Above But Less Than Two Years | Two <br> Years and Above But Less Than Three Years | Three Years and Above But Less Than Four Years | Four Years and Above |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| A. RECRUITMENT BY EXAMINATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Water Resources | -- | -- | -- | -- | $6=$ | -- | 1* | 2 * | 9 |
| 2. | Communication <br> \& Information Technology | -- | -- | -- | -- | 81@ | -- | -- | -- | 81 |
| 3. | Power | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6\# | $1^{\wedge}$ | 3\# | -- | 10 |
| 4. | Road Transport \& Highways | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1+ | 2\$ | -- | -- | 3 |
| 5. | Mines | 85 | 2 | -- | -- | -- | 12\$ | 4 | -- | 103 |
| 6. | Urban Development (CPWD) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1* | -- | 1 |
|  | Total | 85 | 2 | -- | -- | 94 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 207 |
| B. DIRECT RECRUITMENT BY SELECTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Agriculture | - | - | - | -- | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | Andaman and Nicobar Administration | - | - | - | -- | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 3 | Chandigarh Administration | - | - | - | -- | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | Civil Aviation | - | - | - | -- | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5 | Defence | - | 2 | - | - | - | 30 | - | - | 42 |


| Sl. <br> No. | Name of Ministry/ Department | Number of Cases/Posts in which offers were delayed by |  |  |  | Number of Cases/Posts in which offers have not yet been made even after a period of |  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One <br> Year and Above But Less Than Two Years | Two <br> Years and Above But Less Than Three Years | Three <br> Years and Above But Less Than Four Years | Four <br> Years and Above | One <br> Year and Above But Less Than Two Years | Two <br> Years and Above But Less Than Three Years | Three Years and Above But Less Than Four Years | Four Years and Above |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| 6 | Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | 6 |
| 7 | Government of Puducherry | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| 8 | Health and Family Welfare | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 9 | Home Affairs | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 10 | Labour and Employment | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 |
| 11 | Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| 12 | Mines | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | - | 7 |
| 13 | Planning Commission | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 14 | Shipping, Road Transport and Highways | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 15 | Urban Development | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| 16 | Water Resources | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 5 |
|  | Total | 3 | 2 | - | - | 32 | 38 | 15 | 5 | 95 |

Note: > Includes cases for which information have not been furnished by the Ministries/Departments regarding the issue of offers of appointment.
$\star \quad$ Non receipt of requisite documents.
$=1$ re-nomination case, 2 Non receipts of document, 1 Services Verification pending,
1 pre appointment formalities not completed, 1 attestation form not received.
(a) Pre-appointment formalities not yet completed.
Verification of character antecedents pending.
Offer cancelled due to non submission of correct caste certificate.
1 case pertains to Engineering Services Examination held in 2009-2010 wherein verification of documents is pending.
$+\quad$ Candidature withheld.
C. DELAY IN THE ISSUE OF OFFER OF APPOINTMENT OF ENGINEERING SERVICES EXAMINATION

| Year of Examination and number of cases shown against each year where offers of appointment has been delayed and not yet made |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1. No. | Ministry | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | Total No. of consolidated cases. |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1. | Water Resources | -- | 2 * | 1* | -- | $6=$ | 9 |
| 2. | Communication \& Information Technology | -- | -- | -- | -- | 81@ | 81 |
| 3. | Power | -- | -- | 3\# | $1^{\wedge}$ | 6\# | 10 |
| 4. | Road Transport \& Highways | -- | -- | -- | 2\$ | $1+$ | 3 |
| 5. | Mines | -- | -- | -- | 1\$ | -- | 1 |
| 6. | Urban Development (CPWD) | -- | -- | 1* | -- | -- | 1 |
|  | Total | -- | 2 | 5 | 4 | 94 | 105 |

[^11]
## Appendix-41

(Vide Chapter 9)
Statement showing the number of cases where the Recruitment Rules were not notified by the Government and the period of delay (position as on March 31, 2012)

| S. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Ministry/Department


| S． <br> No． | Ministry／Department | Nu ¢ in | ～ | ¢ | べ | ㄱ． | ⿹\zh26工力10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | FINANCE |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
|  | ECONOMIC AFFAIRS | 29 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 37 |
|  | EXPENDITURE | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
|  | REVENUE | 8 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
|  | COMPANY AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 11 | FOOD PROCESSING IND． |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | HEALTH \＆FAMILY WELFARE |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
|  | HEALTH | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 21 |
|  | FAMILY WELFARE | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | AYUSH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | HOME AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
|  | INTERNAL SECURITY | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
|  | OFFICIAL LANGUAGE | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
|  | HOME | 25 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 85 |
| 14 | HUMAN RESOURCE DEV． |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | SECR．EDN．\＆HIGHER EDUCATION | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
|  | ELEMENTARY EDUCATION \＆ LITERACY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | WOMEN \＆CHILD DEVELOPMENT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | HEAVY INDUSTRY |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | HEAVY INDUSTRY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | INFORMATION \＆ BROADCASTING |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | INFORMATION \＆BROADCASTING | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17 | LABOUR |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | LABOUR | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | ESIC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | DGE\＆T | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | EPFO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | LAW \＆JUSTICE |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | LEGAL AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| S. <br> No. | Ministry/Department | ¢ ¢ in in | 10 ¢ | ¢ |  | ㄷ. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 19 | PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 20 | PER. PUB. GRIEV. \& PENSIONS |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
|  | PERSONNEL \& TRAINING | 5 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 31 |
|  | ADMN. REFORMS \& PUB. GRIEV. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 21 | RAILWAYS |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | RAILWAYS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 22 | SCIENCE \& TECHNOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | SCIENCE \& TECHNOLOGY | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| 23 | NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 24 | SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT \& HIGHWAYS |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
|  | SHIPPING | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
|  | ROAD TRANSPORT \& HIGHWAYS | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 12 |
| 25 | CORPORATE AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | CORPORATE AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 13 |
| 26 | TEXTILES |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | TEXTILES | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 27 | TOURISM |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | TOURISM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 28 | CULTURE |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
|  | CULTURE | 7 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 31 |
| 29 | UPSC |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  | UPSC | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 19 |
| 30 | URBAN DEVELOPMENT \& POVERTY ALLEVIATION |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | URBAN DEVELOPMENT | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
|  | URBAN DEVELOPMENT POV. ALLEVIATION | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 31 | WATER RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |


| S. <br> No. | Ministry/Department | ¢ ¢ in | ㄴ. | ¢ |  | ㄷ. | $\stackrel{\text { T0 }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WATER RESOURCES | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 15 |
| 32 | ANDAMAN \& NICOBAR ADMN. |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
|  | ANDAMAN \& NICOBAR | 12 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 53 |
| 33 | CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
|  | CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION | 12 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| 34 | DAMAN , DIU \& DADRA \& NAGAR HAVELI |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | DAMAN, DIU \& DADRA \& NAGAR HAVELI | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 35 | GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
|  | ADMINISTRATION | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  | TECHNICAL EDUCATION | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | HEALTH \& FAMILY WELFARE | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
|  | HOME | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 18 |
|  | DEVELOPMENT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | LABOUR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | LAND \& BUILDING | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 36 | LAKSHDWEEP ADMINISTATION |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | LAKSHDWEEP ADMINISTRATION | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 37 | GOVT. OF PUDUCHERRY |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | GOVT. OF PUDUCHERRY | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| 38 | M.C.D |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |
|  | M.C.D | 35 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 45 |
| 39 | PLANNING COMMISSION |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | PLANNING COMMISSION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 40 | PRIME MINISTER'S SECTT. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | PRIME MINISTER'S SECTT. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 41 | N.D.M.C |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | N.D.M.C | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 42 | RURAL DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | RURAL AREAS \& EMPLOYMENT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | RURAL DEVELOPMENT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | LAND RESOURCES | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Ministry/Department | ¢ ¢ in | 1 | ¢ |  | ㄷ. | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DRINKING WATER \& SUPPLY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 43 | CIVIL AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
|  | CIVIL AVIATION | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| 44 | DELHI JAL BOARD |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | DELHI JAL BOARD | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 45 | DEV. COMMR. (M.S.\&M ENTERPRISES) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | DEV. COMMR. (M.S.\&M ENTERPRISES) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | MIN. OF STAT. \& PROG. IMPLEMENTATION |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | STATISTICS \& PROG. IMPLEMENTATION | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 47 | MINISTRY OF MINES |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | MINES | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 48 | MINISTRY OF COAL |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | COAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | DEV. NORTH EASTERN REGION |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | DEV. NORTH EASTERN REGION | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| 50 | SOCIAL JUSTICE \& EMPOWERMENT |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | SOCIAL JUSTICE \& EMPOWERMENT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 51 | TRIBAL AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | TRIBAL AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 52 | INDIA METROLOGICAL DEPTT. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | INDIA METROLOGICAL DEPTT. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 53 | PANCHAYATI RAJ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | PANCHAYATI RAJ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | MINORITY AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | MINORITY AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 320 | 112 | 116 | 112 | 159 | 819 |

## Appendix-42

(Vide Chapter 11)
Posts/Services excluded from the purview of the Commission since the issue of the
U.P.S.C. (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations on September 1, 1958

Schedule - I
(Posts excluded for the purposes of Article 320 (3) (a) \& (b) of the Constitution)

| S. | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Designation of the posts/ services }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Date from } \\ \text { which } \\ \text { excluded }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { All Civil and Criminal Judicial Posts in Union Territories under the control of High Courts or } \\ \text { a Court of Judicial. Commissioner, Other than those included in Item (7). }\end{array}$ | 1.9 .1958 |
| 2. | Posts in the Secretariat and Personal staff of the President and the Vice-President. | 26.3 .1962 |
| 3. | Posts in Government Hospitality Organisation under the Ministry of External Affairs. | 26.3 .1962 |
| 4. | Posts of National Research Professor under the Ministry of Education. | 25.3 .1963 |
| 5. | Posts of Consultant and Chief Consultant\# in the Planning Commission. | 25.4 .1964 |
| 6. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Posts of Private Secretary to the Solicitor General of India and the Additional Solicitor General } \\ \text { of India. }\end{array}$ | 14.4 .1965 |
| 7. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Judicial Commissioners, Additional Judicial Commissioners, District Judges, Session Judges, } \\ \text { Additional District Judges and Additional Sessions Judges in Union Territories. }\end{array}$ | 9.3 .1966 |
| 8. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { All the Services and Posts under or connected with the organisation dealing with external } \\ \text { intelligence in the Government of India vide the U.P.S.C. (Exemptions from Consultation) } \\ \text { Supplementary Regulations, 1970. }\end{array}$ | 14.8 .1970 |
| 9. | Group 'B' Ministerial posts in the Intelligence Bureau other than the posts of Section Officer. |  |$] 12.2 .1973$


| S. | Designation of the posts/ services | Date from <br> which <br> excluded |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 17. | Group 'B' Non-gazetted posts in the Department of Telecommunications. | 29.12 .1989 |
| 18. | Group 'B' Non-gazetted posts under the Andaman and Nicobar Islands and Lakshadweep <br> Administration. | 18.9 .1990 |
| 19. | Posts/ Services to the exclusion of which the Commission agreed under Item (20) of the <br> Schedule to the U.P.S.C (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations: <br> (i) Posts connected with the Special Border Security Scheme under the Ministry of External <br> Affairs. <br> (ii) Posts in the Central Reserve Police and the Indo-Tibetan Border Force. | $1963-64$ |
| (iii) Posts in the High Commission of India, London and in other Indian Missions abroad to <br> which recruitment is made locally by the respective Indian Missions. | 22.7 .1960 |  |
| 20. | Post of Examiner of Patents and Designs, Assistant Controller of Patents and Designs, Deputy <br> Controller of Patents and designs, Joint controller of Patents and Design, Sr. Joint controller <br> of Patents and Designs, Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Senior Documentation Officer, <br> Senior Reprography Officer. Junior Documentation Officer, Reprography Officer and Senior <br> Programmer in the patent office under the Department of Industrial Development, Ministry <br> of Industry. | 6.7 .1988 |
| 21. | Post of Lt. General, Scientist "H" Major General, Scientist "G", Secretary^, Addl. Secretary^, <br> Joint Secretary, Director, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary, Principal Private Secretary, Senior <br> System Analyst^, Research Officer, Private Secretary, Section Officer, Protocol Officer,Assistant, <br> Research Assistant,PersonalAssistant,ProtocolAssistant,Senior Library and InformationAssistant <br> and Draftsman Grade "I" in the National Security Council Secretariat, Cabinet Secretariat. | 31.1 .2001 |
| 22. | Professor of Law, Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration, Mussorie. | 31.1 .2003 |
| 23. | All posts under the National Technical Research Organisation | 14.7 .2005 |
| 24. | Posts of Director Generals of, the Archaeological Survey of India, New Delhi, the National <br> Archives of India, New Delhi and the National Museum, New Delhi and the posts of Directors <br> of, the National Gallery of Modern Art, New Delhi, the Anthropological Survey of India, <br> Kolkata, the National Library, Kolkata, and the National Research Laboratory for Conservation <br> of Cultural Property, Lucknow, and the post of the Librarian in the Central Reference Library, <br> Kolkata, under the Ministry of Culture. | 30.04 .2009 |
| 25. | Post of Chief Economic Adviser, Department of Economic Affairs. |  |

\# Inserted vide DoPT's Notification dated April 25, 1977.

* Inserted vide DoPT's Notification dated January 31, 2003.

Schedule - II
(Posts excluded for the purposes of Article 320 (3) (b) of the Constitution of India)

| S. <br> No. | Designation of the posts/services | Date from <br> which <br> excluded |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Group 'B' Non-gazetted posts under the Ministry of Water Resources. | 13.11 .1991 |
| 2. | Direct recruitment to all Group "B" Posts in the various Ministries/Departments of the <br> Government of India and their attached and subordinate offices and which are in the scale of <br> pay, the maximum of which is below Rs.10,500/-. | 21.5 .1999 |
| 3. | Direct Recruitment to all Non-gazetted posts carrying the pay scale of Rs.6500-10,500/-. | 29.9 .2005 |
| 4. | Post of Special Director of Enforcement in the Enforcement Directorate in the pay scale of <br> Rs.16,400-20,000. | 1.6 .2006 |
| 5. | Post of Deputy Secretary in the pay band -3 of Rs.15,600 - 39,100 with grade pay of <br> Rs.7600/- and Director in the pay band -4 of Rs.37,400 - 67,000 in the grade pay of <br> Rs.8700/- of Central Secretariat Service (CSS). | 30.7 .2008 |
| 6. | Recruitment on deputation basis as may be made at any time within a period of three years <br> to the posts of Superintendent of Police (Non-IPS), <br> Additional Superintendent of Police, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Deputy Legal Adviser, <br> Senior Public Prosecutor, Public Prosecutor, Senior System Analyst, Programmer, Assistant <br> Programmer, Network Administrator, Administrative Officer, Inspectors, Sub-Inspectors, <br> Office Superintendent, Senior Private Secretary, Stenographer Grade-C/Personal Assistant, <br> Assistant and Accountant in the National Investigation Agency under the Ministry of Home <br> Affairs, for full deputation tenure. | 6.1 .2010 |

## Appendix-43

## Cadre and Group-wise staff strength and detailed break-up of

 staff strength of posts of the CommissionTable- 1: Cadre-wise, Group-wise Staff Strength of the Commission

| Particulars | Group 'A' |  | Group 'B' |  |  |  | Group 'C' |  | Group 'D' |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gazetted |  | Non-Gazetted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.3.11 | 31.3.12 | 31.3.11 | 31.3.12 | 31.3.11 | 31.3.12 | 31.3.11 | 31.3.12 | 31.3.11 | 31.3.12 | 31.3.11 | 31.3.12 |
| Secretariat Cadres | 129 | 129 | 196 | 196 | 346 | 417 | 397 | 319 | -- | -- | 1068 | 1061 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { UPSC's } \\ & \text { Cadres } \end{aligned}$ | 54 | 55 | 41 | 40 | 30 | 29 | 749 | 749 | -- | -- | 874 | 873 |
| Cadres ofother participating Ministries/ Departments | 2 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | -- | -- | 26 | 27 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Departmental } \\ \text { Canteen } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | -- | 4 | 38 | 34 | -- | -- | 38 | 38 |
| Total | 185 | 187 | 244 | 243 | 385 | 459 | 1192 | 1110 | -- | -- | 2006 | 1999 |

Table-2: Cadres/Posts whose sanctioned strength has changed during 2011-12

| Total Sanctioned Strength as on 31-03-2011 |  | Total Sanctioned Strength as on 31-03-2012 |  | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 1999 |  | -7 |
| S1. <br> No. | Name of Post | Sanctioned Strength as on 31-3-2011 | Sanctioned Strength as on 31-3-2012 | Difference |
| 1 | Deputy Director (Official Language) | 1 | 2 | +1 |
| 2 | Assistant | 285 | 356 | +71 |
| 3 | Superintendent <br> (Typing Language) | 1 | - | -1 |
| 4 | Senior Translator | 4 | 6 | +2 |
| 5 | Junior Translator | 5 | 3 | -2 |
| 6 | Upper Division Clerk | 258 | 187 | -71 |
| 7 | Lower Division Clerk | 105 | 98 | -7 |
|  |  |  | Total Difference | -7 |

Table- 3: Group wise, Cadre-wise and Designation-wise break up of Staff Strength

| S1. <br> No. | Particulars | As on 31.03.2011 | As on 31.03.2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| A. | GROUP 'A' | 185 | 187 |
| I. | Secretariat Cadres | 129 | 129 |
| 1. | Secretary | 1 | 1 |
| 2. | Additional Secretary | 1 | 1 |
| 3. | Additional Secretary \& Controller of Examinations | 1 | 1 |
| 4. | Additional Secretary (ER) | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | Joint Secretary | 12 | 12 |
| 6. | Principal Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 7. | Deputy Secretary | 30 | 30 |
| 8. | Senior PPS | 10 | 10 |
| 9. | Under Secretary | 67 | 67 |
| 10 | Principal Private Secretary | 5 | 5 |
| II. | UPSC's Cadres | 54 | 55 |
| 11. | Executive Director (Examination Reforms) | 1 | 1 |
| 12. | Executive Director (Information Systems) | 1 | 1 |
| 13. | Senior Manager (Information System) | 1 | 1 |
| 14. | Joint Director (Research Statistics \& Analysis) | 1 | 1 |
| 15. | OSD to Chairman | 1 | 1 |
| 16. | Joint Director (Examination Reforms) | 5 | 5 |
| 17. | Manager (EDP) | 3 | 3 |
| 18 | Manager (DP) | 1 | 1 |
| 19. | Finance \& Budget Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 20. | Library \& Information Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 21. | Administrative Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 22. | Senior Research Officer (RS\&A) | 2 | 2 |
| 23. | Senior Research Officer (Language medium) | 1 | 1 |
| 24. | Senior Programmer | 5 | 5 |
| 25. | Senior Engineer | 1 | 1 |
| 26. | Deputy Controller (DP) | 2 | 2 |
| 27. | Deputy Director (ER) | 2 | 2 |


| S1. <br> No. | Particulars | As on 31.03.2011 | As on 31.03.2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| 28. | Assistant Director (Vig.) | 1 | 1 |
| 29. | Assistant Controller (DP) | 3 | 3 |
| 30. | Research Officer (RS\&A) | 4 | 4 |
| 31. | Programmer | 10 | 10 |
| 32. | Engineer | 1 | 1 |
| 33. | Officer on Special Duty (Confidential) | 3 | 3 |
| 34. | Assistant Director (Confdl.) | 1 | 1 |
| 35. | Sr. EM\&MO | 1 | 1 |
| 36. | Staff Officer to Chairman | -- | 1 |
| III. | Cadres of other participating Ministries/ Departments | 2 | 3 |
| 37. | Director (Official Language) | 1 | 1 |
| 38. | Deputy Director (OL) | 1 | 2 |
| B. | Group 'B' | 629 | 698 |
|  | Group 'B' Gazetted | 244 | 243 |
| I. | Secretariat Cadres | 196 | 196 |
| 39. | Section Officer | 141 | 141 |
| 40. | Private Secretary | 55 | 55 |
| II. | UPSC's Cadres | 41 | 40 |
| 41. | Junior Analyst | 1 | 1 |
| 42. | Junior Research Officer | 4 | 4 |
| 43. | Accounts Officer | 6 | 6 |
| 44. | Superintendent (DP) | 21 | 21 |
| 45. | Reception Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 46. | Estate Manager \& Meeting Officer | 2 | 2 |
| 47. | Assistant Library \& Information Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 48. | Security Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 49. | Assistant Administrative Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 50. | Staff Officer to Chairman | 1 | -- |
| 51. | Staff Officer to Secretary | 1 | 1 |
| 52. | Protocol Officer | 1 | 1 |


| S1. <br> No. | Particulars | As on 31.03.2011 | As on 31.03.2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| III. | Cadres of other participating Ministries/ Departments | 7 | 7 |
| 53. | Assistant Director (OL) | 4 | 4 |
| 54. | Pay \& Accounts Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 55. | Assistant Accounts Officer/ JAO (P\&AO) | 2 | 2 |
|  | Group 'B' Non-Gazetted | 385 | 455 |
| I. | Secretariat Cadres | 346 | 417 |
| 56. | Assistant | 285 | 356 |
| 57. | Personal Assistant(Gr. 'C' of CSSS) | 61 | 61 |
| II. | UPSC's Cadres | 30 | 29 |
| 58. | Data Processing \&Programming Assistant | 3 | 3 |
| 59. | Sr. Machine Operator | 1 | 1 |
| 60. | Superintendent (Typing-Language) | 1 | -- |
| 61. | Assistant Superintendent (Telephones) | 1 | 1 |
| 62. | Research Assistant (Work Study) | 4 | 4 |
| 63. | Junior Accounts Officer | 9 | 9 |
| 64. | Programme Asstt.-cum-Console Operator | 4 | 4 |
| 65. | Vigilance Assistant | 2 | 2 |
| 66. | Supervisor (Confd.) | 1 | 1 |
| 67. | Estate Supervisor | 2 | 2 |
| 68 | Receptionist | 1 | 1 |
| 69. | House Keeper | 1 | 1 |
| III. | Cadres of other participating Ministries/ Departments | 9 | 9 |
| 70. | Senior Translator | 4 | 6 |
| 71. | Junior Translator | 5 | 3 |
| C. | Group 'C' | 1192 | 1076 |
| I. | Secretariat Cadres | 397 | 319 |
| 72. | Upper Division Clerk | 258 | 187 |
| 73. | Stenographer (Grade 'D' of CSSS) | 18 | 18 |
| 74 | Lower Division Clerk | 105 | 98 |
| 75. | Staff Car Driver | 16 | 16 |


| S1. <br> No. | Particulars | As on 31.03.2011 | As on 31.03.2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| II. | UPSC's Cadres | 749 | 749 |
| 76. | Head Typist (Hindi) | 1 | 1 |
| 77. | Data Entry Operator (Grade 'D') | 45 | 45 |
| 78. | Research Assistant (RS\&A) | 5 | 5 |
| 79. | Technical Assistant (Accounts) | 15 | 15 |
| 80. | Library \& Information Assistant | 1 | 1 |
| 81. | Confidential Assistant | 1 | 1 |
| 82. | Senior Typist (Hindi) | 2 | 2 |
| 83 | Care Taker | 2 | 2 |
| 84. | Motor Transport Supervisor | 1 | 1 |
| 85. | Data Entry Operator (Grade 'C') | 10 | 10 |
| 86. | Junior Reception Officer | 2 | 2 |
| 87. | Protocol Assistant | 1 | 1 |
| 88. | Carpenter | 1 | 1 |
| 89. | Data Entry Operator (Grade 'B’) | 25 | 25 |
| 90. | Asstt. Supervisor (Confd.) | 1 | 1 |
| 91. | Machine Operator | 4 | 4 |
| 92. | Lower Division Clerk (Ex-cadre) | 39 | 39 |
| 93. | General Duty Clerk | 5 | 5 |
| 94. | Despatch Rider | 2 | 2 |
| 95. | Jr. Machine Operator | 2 | 2 |
| 96. | Assistant Caretaker | 1 | 1 |
| 97. | Senior Record Keeper | 13 | 13 |
| 98. | Cook (Advisers' Suite) | 5 | 5 |
| 99. | Assistant Cook (Adv. Suite) | 1 | 1 |
| 100. | Library Clerk | 2 | 2 |
| 101. | Record Keeper | 21 | 21 |
| 102. | Staff Car Driver (Bullet Proof) | 1 | 1 |
| 103. | Security Assistant | 1 | 1 |
| 104. | Typist (Hindi) | 1 | 1 |
| 105. | Linemen | 1 | 1 |


| S1. <br> No. | Particulars | As on 31.03.2011 | As on 31.03.2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| 106. | Multi-Tasking Staff | 532 | 532 |
| 107. | Library Attendant | 3 | 3 |
| 108. | Franking Machine Operator | 2 | 2 |
| III. | Cadres of other participating Ministries/ Departments | 8 | 8 |
| 109. | Senior Accountant/ Accountant (P\&AO unit) | 8 | 8 |
| E. | CANTEEN STAFF | 38 | 38 |
| I. | GROUP 'B' | -- | 4 |
| 110. | General Manager (Canteen) | -- | 1 |
| 111. | Assistant Manager-cum-Accountant | -- | 1 |
| 112. | Assistant Manager-cum-Store Keeper | -- | 2 |
| I. | GROUP 'C' | 38 | 34 |
| 113. | General Manager (Canteen) | 1 | -- |
| 114. | Assistant Manager-cum-Accountant | 1 | -- |
| 115. | Assistant Manager-cum-Store Keeper | 2 | -- |
| 116. | Halwai | 2 | 2 |
| 117. | Canteen Clerk | 6 | 6 |
| 118. | Cook | 2 | 2 |
| 119. | Assistant Halwai | 2 | 2 |
| 120. | Tea/ Coffee Maker | 2 | 2 |
| 121. | Bearer | 14 | 14 |
| 122. | Wash Boy | 4 | 4 |
| 123. | Safai Karamchari | 2 | 2 |

Appendix-44
Organization Chart of the Commission
Organization Chart of the Commission as on $31^{\text {st }}$ March, 2012

SECRETARY
As on 31.3.2012


| LEGENDS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  <br> R | Administration, Appointments, General, Services-I, <br> Recruitment Rules \& Recruitment |  <br> FA | AIS, Examination Reforms, Services-II, Information <br> Systems, Controller of Exams \& Financial Adviser |
| AS | Additional Secretary | JS | Joint Secretary |
| APTT. | Appointment | OL | Official Language |
| Gen. \&Vig. | General \& Vigilance | JD | Joint Director |
| CONFDL | Confidential | RR | Recruitment Rules |
| DS | Deputy Secretary | RECTT. | Recruitment |
| EDP | Electronic Data Processing | S-I \& S-II | Services-I \& Services-II |
| ER | Examination Reforms | F\&BO | Finance \& Budget Officer |
| EXAM | Examination | AIS | All India Services |
| RTI | Right To Information |  |  |

Appendix－45
Representation of Scheduled Castes，Scheduled Tribes \＆Other Backward
Table 1：Representation of SCs，STs and OBCS

|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | ＋ | ＋ | － | ＋ | ｜ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\pm$ | － | － | － | － | ＋ | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\text { In }}{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | F | $\sim$ | － | ＋ | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | － | ＋ | $\cdots$ | ｜ | ＋ | $\infty$ |
|  |  | － | $\exists$ | ＋ | － | in | － | ＋ | $\because$ |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{-}$ | q | ते | $\pm$ | ＋ | ＋ | $\infty$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ê } \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | ＋ | ＋ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | $\infty$ | － | ＋ | $\sim$ | － | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | － | $\wedge$ | ＋ | $\wedge$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | ｜ | ＋ | 2 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { II }}{6}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | \％ | ま | － | ＋ | ¢ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | in | ＋ | さ | $\stackrel{\odot}{+}$ | I | ＋ | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | Y | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | ｜ | ＋ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | N | $\cdots$ | ત̃ | $\sigma$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\square}$ | ｜ | ＋ | － |
|  |  | Ј | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | in | ＇ | ＋ | 莳 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ä } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $-$ | d 0 0 0 0 | \％ | U |  |  | － |

Table 2: Representation of Persons with Disabilities

| Group | Number of Employees |  |  |  | Direct Recruitment |  |  |  |  |  |  | Promotion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | No. of Vacancies Reserved |  |  | No. of Appointments made |  |  |  | No. of Vacancies Reserved |  |  | No. of Appointments made |  |  |  |
|  | Total | vH | HH | OH | vH | HH | OH | Total | VH | HH | OH | vH | нH | OH | Total | VH | HH | OH |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Group ' A ' | 149 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Group 'B' | 568 | --- | --- | 1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | - | --- |
| Group 'C' | 757 | --- | --- | 8 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 36 | 1 | 1 | --- | --- | --- | 7 | --- | -- | --- |
| Group 'D' | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | - | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | 1474 | --- | --- | 9 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 36 | 1 | 1 | --- | --- | --- | 7 | --- | --- | --- |

## Appendix-46

(Vide chapter-11)
Statement showing the receipts and expenditure of the Union Public Service
Commission during the year 2011-2012

## A - Receipts

| S. No. | Name of the Receipts | (Rupees in lakhs) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | Other Receipts | 54.21 |
| 2. | Examination Fees | $723.96^{\star}$ |

## B-Expenditure

| S. No. | Administrative Expenses | (Rupees in lakhs) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | Salaries | 6432.46 |
| 2. | Wages | 75.72 |
| 3. | Over Time Allowance | 11.53 |
| 4. | Medical | 144.68 |
| 5. | Travel Expenses (Within the Country) | 139.88 |
| 6. | Travel Expenses (Abroad) | 11.99 |
| 7. | Office Expenses | 774.66 |
| 8. | Publications | 4.33 |
| 9. | Other Administrative Expenses | 51.58 |
| 10. | Minor Works | 52.62 |
| 11. | Payment To Professional Services | 221.99 |
| 12. | Grants-In-Aid | 1.06 |
| 13. | Other Charges | 0.97 |
| 14. | Other Expenditure (Minor Head) Departmental Canteen | 78.93 |
|  | Expenditure on Exam \& Selections | $\mathbf{1 4 4 7 6 . 6 7}$ |
| 15. | TA (Examination \& Selection) | 402.14 |
| 16. | Other Charges (Examination \& Selection) | 6072.13 |
|  | Grand Total |  |

$\star \quad$ Excludes the receipts under Recruitment fee stamps \& Application fee directly accounted for by the Controller General of Accounts combined for Staff Selection Commission and Union Public Service Commission.

## Appendix-47

(Vide Chapter-2)
List of former Chairmen and Members of the Commission
Table 1: List of former Chairmen of the Commission (since 1926)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Date when took over charge | Date on which relinquished charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sir Ross Barker | October, 1926 | August, 1932 |
| 2. | Sir David Petrie | August, 1932 | 1936 |
| 3. | Sir Eyre Gorden | 1937 | 1942 |
| 4. | Sir F.W. Robertson | 1942 | 1947 |
| 5. | Sh. H.K. Kripalani | 1.4.1947 | 13.1.1949 |
| 6. | Sh. R.N. Banerjee | 14.1.1949 | 9.5.1955 |
| 7. | Sh. N. Govindarajan | 10.5.1955 | 9.12.1955 |
| 8. | Sh.V.S.Hejmadi | 10.12.1955 | 9.12.1961 |
| 9. | Sh. B.N.Jha | 11.12.1961 | 22.2.1967 |
| 10. | Sh. K.R. Damle | 18.4.1967 | 2.3.1971 |
| 11. | Sh. R.C.S. Sarkar | 11.5.1971 | 1.2.1973 |
| 12. | Dr.A.R.Kidwai | 5.2.1973 | 4.2.1979 |
| 13. | Dr.M.L. Shahare | 16.2.1979 (AN) | 16.2.1985 |
| 14. | Sh. H.K.L. Capoor | 18.2.1985 | 5.3.1990 |
| 15. | Sh. J.P.Gupta | 5.3.1990 (AN) | 2.6.1992 |
| 16. | Mrs. R.M.Bathew (Kharbuli) | 23.9.1992 | 23.8.1996 |
| 17. | Sh. S.J.S. Chhatwal | 23.8.1996 (AN) | 30.9.1996 |
| 18. | Sh. J.M. Qureshi | 30.9.1996 (AN) | 11.12.1998 |
| 19. | Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Surinder Nath | 11.12.1998(AN) | 25.06.2002 |
| 20. | Sh. P.C. Hota | 25.06.2002(AN) | 08.09.2003 |
| 21. | Sh. Mata Prasad | 08.09.2003(AN) | 04.01.2005 |
| 22. | Dr. S.R. Hashim | 04.01.2005(AN) | 01.04.2006 |
| 23. | Sh. Gurbachan Jagat | 01.04.2006(AN) | 30.06.2007 |
| 24. | Sh. Subir Dutta | 30.06.2007(AN) | 16.08.2008 |

Table 2: List of former Members of the Commission (Since 1926)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Date when took-over charge | Date on which relinquished charge | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sir Philip Hatog | 1.10.1926 | 5.4.1930 |  |
| 2. | Mr. A.H. Ley | 1.10.1926 | 1.10.1931 |  |
| 3. | Mr. Sayed Raza Ali | 1.10.1926 | 31.11.1931 |  |
| 4. | Sir T.V. Raghvachari | 1.10.1926 |  |  |
| 5 | Mr. M. Keane |  |  |  |
| 6. | Khanbahadur Sir Abdul Qadir | 13.7.1929 | 30.11.1929 |  |
| 7. | Mr. J.N. Roy | 16.9.1929 | 2.4.1930 |  |
| 8. | Raibahadur A.N. Chatterjee | 6.1.1930 | 1.10.1930 |  |
| 9. | Mr. J.R. Chunnigham | 20.1.1930 | 5.4.1930 |  |
| 10. | Sir J. Charles Weir | 16.6.1930 | 16.12.1935 |  |
| 11. | Raibahadur B.P.Varma | 1.10.1930 | 1.10.1935 |  |
| 12. | Sir David Patrie | 1.10.1931 | 8.8.1932 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 13. | Dr. L.K. Hyder | 2.1.1932 | 31.12 .1936 |  |
| 14. | Mr. H.S. Crosthwaite | 16.2.1935 | 1.5.1939 |  |
| 15. | Sir Shafaat Ahmed Khan | 18.5.1935 | 10.9.1935 |  |
| 16. | Mr. P.L.Dhawan | 18.5.1935 | 20.9.1940 |  |
| 17. | Mr. D. Raynell | 31.8.1936 | 29.11.1936 |  |
| 18. | Sir A.F.Rehman | 7.1.1937 | 7.5.1942 |  |
| 19. | Sir C.C. Chitham | 2.12.1938 | 15.4.1939 |  |
| 20. | Mr. L.P. Misra | 18.7.1938 | 4.9.1938 |  |
| 21. | Sir John Rutherford Dain | 8.5.1939 | 16.2.1942 |  |
| 22. | Mr. K. Sanjiva Row | 20.9.1940 | 1.4.1947 |  |
| 23. | Mr. W.R.G. Smith | 16.2.1942 | 1.4.1947 |  |
| 24. | Col. M.A. Rehman | 1.1.1946 | 30.6 .1946 |  |
| 25. | Mr.W.A. Cosgrave | 7.4.1944 | 25.10.1944 |  |
| 26. | Mr.N.J. Roughton | 1.1.1945 | 20.9.1945 |  |
| 27. | Maj. Naunihal Singh Mann | 31.7.1946 | 17.11.1946 |  |
| 28. | Mr. F.C. Edmonds | 12.4.1946 | 6.6.1946 |  |
| 29. | Mr. O.E.Windle | 1.7.1946 | 6.8.1946 |  |
| 30. | Mr. R.P. Patwardhan | 5.2.1947 | 5.8 .1947 |  |
| 31. | Mr. S.G. Grubb | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 .1945 \\ & 9.12 .1946 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.10 .1946 \& \\ 23.2 .1950 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 32. | Mr. Javad Hussain | 14.3.1947 | 31.3.1952 |  |
| 33. | Mr. K. Zachariah | 1.7.1947 | 18.1.1950 |  |
| 34. | Mr.W.R. Puranik | 1.4.1947 | 31.3.1952 |  |
| 35. | Mr. J.L. Kapur | 4.12.1947 | 31.5.1949 |  |


| S. <br> No. | Name | Date when took-over charge | Date on which relinquished charge | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36. | Mr. Balwant Singh Puri | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 .1948 \\ 17.9 .1948 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 .1948 \\ & 30.4 .1949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 37. | Mr. S.C.Tripathi | 5.6.1948 | 14.2.1950 |  |
| 38. | Dr. L.D. Joshi | 12.6.1948 | 18.2.1949 |  |
| 39. | Mr. G.C. Chatterjee | 1.8.1949 | 31.10.1953 |  |
| 40. | Mr. N. Govindarajan | 31.5.1950 | 9.5.1955 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 41. | Mr. C.B. Nagarkar | 18.12.1950 | 18.12.1956 |  |
| 42. | Mr. N.K. Sidhanta | 16.4.1951 | 31.7.1955 |  |
| 43. | Mr. A.A.A. Fyzee | 2.6.1952 | 31.5.1957 |  |
| 44. | Mr. S.V. Kanungo | 29.9.1952 | 29.9.1958 (FN) |  |
| 45. | Mr. J.S. Pillai | 17.8.1955 | 16.8.1961 (AN) |  |
| 46. | Mr. C.V. Mahajan | 2.1.1956 | 2.1.1960(FN) |  |
| 47. | Dr. J.N. Mukherjee | 1.9.1956 | 22.4.1958 |  |
| 48. | Mr. P.L.Varma | 24.11.1956 | 24.11.1962 (FN) |  |
| 49. | Mr. S.H. Zaheer | 1.6.1957 | 31.5.1963 (AN) |  |
| 50. | Dr. G.S. Mahajani | 1.7.1957 | 30.6.1963 (AN) |  |
| 51. | Dr.A.T. Sen | 1.9.1958 | 31.8.1964 (AN) |  |
| 52. | Mr.M.L. Chaturvedi | 1.3.1960 | 6.7.1964 (AN) |  |
| 53. | Mr. M.A.V. Naidu | 11.3.1960 | 14.1.1965 (AN) |  |
| 54. | Mr.A.V. Ramaswami | 14.12.1961 | 14.7.1964 (AN) |  |
| 55. | Mr. Batuk Singh | 19.4.1963 | 20.9.1968 (AN) |  |
| 56. | Mr. N.L. Ahmad | 1.6.1963 | 25.4.1967 (AN) |  |
| 57. | Smt. B. Khongmen | 9.1.1964 | 8.1.1970 (AN) |  |
| 58. | Mr. Desraj Mehta | 29.1.1964 | 20.11.1967 (AN) |  |
| 59. | Dr.A.Appadorai | 9.12.1964 | 15.3.1967 (AN) |  |
| 60. | Mr. M.S. Doriswami | 14.9.1965 (AN) | 14.11.1967 (AN) |  |
| 61. | Mr. R.C.S. Sarkar | 31.1.1966 | 11.05.1971 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 62. | Mr. Hari Sharma | 22.5.1967 (AN) | 22.05.1973 |  |
| 63. | Dr.A.R. Kidwai | 29.9.1967 | 05.02.1973 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 64. | Maj. Gen. P.C. Gupta | 3.2.1968 | 02.02.1974 |  |
| 65. | Dr. M.L. Shahare | 14.2.1968 | 13.2.1974 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 66. | Mr. D.P. Kohli | 16.10.1968 | 08.02.1972 |  |
| 67. | Prof. H.N. Ramachandra Rao | 9.5.1969 | 08.05.1975 |  |
| 68. | Mr. R.N. Muttoo | 25.6.1971 | 24.6.1977 |  |
| 69. | Dr. A.K. Dhan | 28.6.1971 | 5.11.1975 |  |
| 70. | Mr. R.G. Rajwade | 23.8.1973 | 5.1.1974 |  |
| 71. | Prof. P.L. Bhatnagar | 1.10.1973 | 18.7.1975 |  |
| 72. | Mr. Asoka Sen | 22.1.1974 | 21.1.1980 |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Date when took-over charge | Date on which relinquished charge | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73. | Air Marshal T.S.Virk | 22.4.1974 | 21.4.1980 |  |
| 74. | Mr. M. Singaravelu | 24.7.1974 | 16.3.1980 |  |
| 75. | Dr. Sarup Singh | 12.2.1975 | 14.3.1978 |  |
| 76. | Mr. N.S. Saksena | 4.6.1977 | 4.6.1983 |  |
| 77. | Dr. P.C.Vaidya | 1.7.1977 | 22.10.1978 |  |
| 78. | Prof. S. Sampath | 10.8.1977 | 28.8.1981 |  |
| 79. | Dr. N.A. Noor Muhammed | 30.11.1978 | 17.10.1981 |  |
| 80. | Mrs. R.O. Dhan | 1.12.1978 | 30.11.1984 |  |
| 81. | Prof. Bhubaneshwar Behara | 12.12.1978 | 31.12 .1980 |  |
| 82. | Mr. S.R. Mehta | 17.3.1980 | 16.12.1982 |  |
| 83. | Mr. J.R. Bansal | 17.5.1980 | 16.5.1986 |  |
| 84. | Air Vice Marshal A.K.S. Bakshi | 27.7.1981 | 14.11.1986 |  |
| 85. | Mr. A.M. Abdul Hamid | 11.12.1981 | 25.3.1986 |  |
| 86. | Dr. K.Venkata Ramiah | 24.12.1981 | 23.12.1987 |  |
| 87. | Mr. S. Samaddar | 24.5.1982 | 23.5.1988 |  |
| 88. | Mr. Jagdish Rajan | 25.9.1984 | 25.9.1990 |  |
| 89. | Mr. J.P. Gupta | 1.7.1985 | 5.3.1990 (FN) | Appointed as Chairman |
| 90. | Dr. R. Arockiasamy | 5.7.1985 | 4.7.1991 |  |
| 91. | Mr. Surendra Nath | 23.12.1985 | 7.8.1991 (FN) |  |
| 92. | Mr. Qazi Mukhtar Ahmad | 4.4.1986 | 14.3.1991 |  |
| 93. | Mrs. R.M. Bathew (Kharbuli) | 8.6.1987 | 22.9.1992 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 94. | Lt. Gen. R.S. Dayal | 31.7.1987 | 13.5.1988 |  |
| 95. | Vice Admiral G.M. Hiranandani | 13.4.1989 | 12.4.1995 |  |
| 96. | Mr. A. Padmanabhan | 17.4.1989 | 13.12.1993 |  |
| 97. | Mr. J.A. Kalyankrishnan | 29.12.1989 | 28.12.1995 |  |
| 98. | Mr. Harish Chandra | 15.1.1990 | 14.1.1996 |  |
| 99. | Mrs. Otima Bordia | 11.5.1990 | 10.05.1996 |  |
| 100. | Mr. S.J.S. Chhatwal | 14.1.1991 | $\begin{array}{r} 23.8 .1996(\mathrm{AN}) \\ 30.09 .1996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Appointed as Chairman |
| 101. | Mr. J.M. Qureshi | 1.4.1991 | 30.09.1996 (AN) | Appointed as Chairman |
| 102. | Mr. S.K. Misra | 21.8.1991 (AN) | 21.08.1997 |  |
| 103. | Dr. (Ms.) P. Selvie Das | 19.9.1991 | 28.05.1997 |  |
| 104. | Mr. B. Krishna Mohan | 20.09.1993 (AN) | 25.01.1998 | Expired |
| 105. | Smt. Kanta Kathuria | 24.05.1995 | 22.08.1998 |  |
| 106. | Lt.Gen. (Retd.) Surinder Nath | 20.09.1995 | 11.12.1998 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 107. | Sh. P.C. Hota | 27.09.1996(AN) | 25.06.2002 | Appointed as Chairman |


| S. <br> No. | Name | Date when took-over charge | Date on which relinquished charge | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108. | Sh. K.K. Madan | 01.11.1996 (AN) | 01.11.2002 |  |
| 109. | Dr. K.G. Adiyodi | 14.11.1996 (AN) | 28.05.2001 | Expired on 28.05.2001 |
| 110. | Sh. P. Abraham | 05.06.1997 | 04.06.2003 |  |
| 111. | Sh.M.K. Deb Barma | 06.06.1997 | 05.06.2003 |  |
| 112. | Dr. L. Siddaveere Gowda | 11.6.1997 (AN) | 05.09.2001 |  |
| 113. | Sh.T.K. Banerji | 21.08.1997 (AN) | 21.08.2003 |  |
| 114. | Sh. Mata Prasad | 23.4.1998 (AN) | 08.09.2003 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 115. | Km. Arundhati Ghose | 03.09.1998 | 02.09.2004 |  |
| 116. | Dr. Om Nagpal | 05.04.1999 (AN) | 02.03.2002 | Expired on 2.3.2002 |
| 117. | Dr. S.D. Karnik | 18.09.2001 | 16.07.2002 | Resigned on 16.07.2002 |
| 118. | Dr. S.R. Hashim | 19.03.2002 (AN) | 04.01.2005 (AN) | Appointed as Chairman |
| 119. | Dr. (Smt.) Prakashwati Sharma | 20.03.2002 (AN) | 07.02.2005 |  |
| 120. | Shri Gurbachan Jagat | 14.08.2002 (AN) | 01.04.2006 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 121. | Sh. B.N. Navalawala | 05.12.2002 (AN) | 14.04.2007 |  |
| 122. | Sh. Subir Dutta | 04.07.2003 (AN) | 30.06.2007 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 123. | Air Marshal Satish Govind Inamdar | 12.12.2003 (AN) | 09.01.2008 |  |
| 124. | Dr. Bhure Lal | 14.10.2004 (AN) | 08.02.2008 |  |
| 125. | Ms. Chokila Iyer | 01.02.2005 (AN) | 28.06.2007 |  |
| 126. | Prof. D.P. Agrawal | 31.10 .2003 | 16.08.2008 | Appointed as Chairman |
| 127. | Ms Parveen Talha | 30.09.2004 (AN) | 03.10.2009 |  |
| 128. | Shri K. Roy Paul | 18.05.2005 (AN) | 08.06.2009 |  |
| 129. | Prof. E. Balagurusamy | 20.12.2006 (AN) | 02.05.2010 |  |
| 130. | Prof. K.S. Chalam | 01.06.2005 (AN) | 01.06.2011 |  |
| 131 | Lt. Gen (Retd.) Nirbhay Sharma | 07.05.2008 | 10.10.2011 |  |


[^0]:    $\wedge \wedge$ Information not available.

[^1]:    * Includes $\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST} / \mathrm{OBC}$ candidates recommended against unreserved posts.

[^2]:    Offer of appointment issued but delayed
    Offer of appointment not yet issued

[^3]:    Detailed object head wise expenditure and receipts for the year 2011-12 is at Appendix-46.

[^4]:    * Result declared - 531 posts.
    @ Result declared - 878 posts.
    \# Result declared - 988 posts
    \$ Result declared - 921 posts

[^5]:    Note: Success rate is the number of candidates recommended as a percentage of those appeared.

[^6]:    Note : Only four chances are allowed for General Category candidates. However additional three chances are allowed for PH candidates.

    * These applications were rejected after Preliminary examination.

[^7]:    *Actually 53,877 candidates appeared in the written examination but candidature of 1,010 candidates has been cancelled by the Commission. Therefore figure of 52,867 candidates has been taken as number of candidates appeared in the written examination.

[^8]:    Lゅ8I: GヨMaintalini salvaianvo

[^9]:    ** Out of 385 candidates belonging to SC/ST/OBC, recommended at normal standard, 11 candidates of Indian Forest Service Examination, 2011, 5 candidates for Indian Economic Services/ Indian Statistical Services Examination, 2010, 29 candidates for Section Officers'/ Stenographers' (Grade-'B'/ Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, 2006,2007 \& 2008 and 3 . Status candidates for Special Class Railways Apprentices Examination, 2010 and 2 candidates for Special Class Raile)
    (a) There is no Reserve list rule in respect of Indian Forest Service Examination, Indian Economic Service/Indian Statistical Service Examination, Special Class Railways Apprentices' Examination, Central Industrial Security Force (Assistant Commandants) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination and Section Officers'/Stenographers' (Grade 'B'/Grade-I) Limited Departmental Competitive Examination.
    \# The shortfall of $3 \mathrm{SC}, 2 \mathrm{ST} \& 3 \mathrm{OBC}$ candidates is due to the fact that these candidates have applied \& qualified for both Cat.-I \& Cat.- II posts. However they will be appointed against only one post, either category- I or category-II, according to their respective merit position \& preference given by them.
    $\underset{\star \star \star}{\star} 1$ vacancy was kept unfilled due to non-availability of suitable candidates.

[^10]:    $\star \star$ Include the penalties of reduction to a lower stage in the time scale，withholding of increments of pay and recovery from pay of whole or part of any pecuniary loss caused to the

[^11]:    Non receipt of requisite documents.
    1 re-nomination case, 2 Non receipts of document, 1 Services Verification pending,
    1 pre appointment formalities not completed, 1 attestation form not received.
    Pre-appointment formalities not yet completed.
    Verification of character antecedents pending.
    Offer cancelled due to non submission of correct caste certificate.
    Pending verification of documents.
    $+\quad$ Candidature withheld.

